ijbms.mums.ac.ir

The first report of *Enterobacter gergoviae* carrying *bla*_{NDM-1} in Iran

Reza Khashei ^{1*}, Fatemeh Edalati Sarvestani ¹, Yalda Malekzadegan ¹, Mohammad Motamedifar ¹

¹Department of Bacteriology and Virology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

ARTICLEINFO	ABSTRACT
<i>Article type:</i> Original article	Objective(s): Prompt detection of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) and carbapenemase-producing enterobacteriaceae is crucial for infection prevention and control strategies. The present
<i>Article history:</i> Received: Jun 22, 2019 Accepted: Apr 22, 2020	study aimed to characterize the ESBL and carbapenemase genes among <i>Enterobacter</i> isolates from an Iranian inpatient population. <i>Materials and Methods:</i> A total of 96 <i>Enterobacter</i> isolates obtained from inpatients between June 2016 and March 2017, were identified by the conventional microbiological methods and diagnostic
Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance β-lactamase bla _{NDM-1} Carbapenems Enterobacter	kits. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern was performed using the disk diffusion method. The ESBL and carbapenemase genes were screened using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Results: All clinical isolates of <i>Enterobacter</i> were classified as <i>E. gergoviae</i> (52, 54.2%), <i>E. aerogenes</i> (34, 35.4%), <i>E. cloacae</i> (7, 7.3%), <i>Cronobacter</i> (<i>E</i>). sakazakii (3, 3.1%). The highest and lowest antimicrobial resistance rates were observed against ampicillin (93.8%) and imipenem (21.9%). High prevalence of multi-drug resistance (MDR=96.9%) was substantial. Of the 96 <i>Enterobacter</i> isolates, 35 (36.5%) and 28 (29.2%) were phenotypically ESBL-positive and non-susceptible carbapenem, respectively. Overall, the frequency of evaluated genes was as follows: bla _{CTX.M} =25 (26%), bla _{TEM} =30 (31.3%), bla _{SHV} =12 (12.5%), bla _{IMP} =3 (3.1%), bla _{VIM} =0 (0%), bla _{NDM} =8 (8.3%), and bla _{KPC} =0 (0%). Conclusion: In this study, we report for the first time the presence of <i>E. gergoviae</i> harboring bla _{NDM} from an Iranian population. Regarding the increase of MDR <i>Enterobacter</i> spp. in our region, strict hygiene rules will be needed to control the quick spread of ESBL and carbapenemase-producing <i>Enterobacter</i> isolates in healthcare facilities of developing countries.

► Please cite this article as:

Khashei R, Edalati Sarvestani F, Malekzadegan Y, Motamedifar M. The first report of *Enterobacter* gergoviae carrying *bla*_{NDM-1} in Iran. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2020; 23:1184-1190. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2020.41225.9752

Introduction

Among enterobacteriaceae members, Enterobacter spp. is of particular concern, since it exhibits a higher level of resistance to antibiotics than other genera (1). The presence of β -lactamases, especially extendedspectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) among Gram-negative bacteria is a major issue in clinical settings (2). The production of ESBLs is one of the most important mechanisms of resistance to extended-spectrum penicillins, third-generation of cephalosporins and monobactams, except for cephamycins and carbapenems (3-5). These enzymes have been reported in many enterobacteriaceae members, including Enterobacter spp (2, 3). The increasing prevalence of ESBL-producers is seen among both in and outpatients worldwide, ranging from 3-60% (2-4). The members of TEM, SHV, and CTX-M β-lactamases in Klebsiella and Enterobacter spp. are the most important ESBLs which have been growing all around the world (6).

Carbapenems are frequently used to treat infections due to cephalosporinase or ESBL-producing multidrugresistant (MDR) Gram-negative rods such as *Enterobacter* species. However, the emergence of carbapenemases among these bacteria has restricted use of carbapenems in medical practice (7, 8). The main mechanism in emergence of carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae (CRE), including *Enterobacter* spp., is the production of carbapenemases. Different carbapenemases have been described amongst these bacteria, including Ambler class A $bla_{_{\rm KPC}}$ metallo- β -lactamases (MBL) class B such as $bla_{_{\rm VIM}}$, $bla_{_{\rm IMP}}$, $bla_{_{\rm NDM}}$, etc. (9, 10).

IJ MS

Nosocomial infections caused by CRE are considered serious clinical challenges for physicians worldwide, and this issue is due to the capability of their rapid spread around the world. The mortality rate of infections caused by CRE is considerable, ranging from 30-44% (9-11). Moreover, infections caused by ESBL-producing bacteria, including *Enterobacter* spp., among inpatients are accompanied by increased mortality (12).

Reports about the prevalence of ESBL and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacter* spp. from Iran are scarce. This study was undertaken to characterize infections caused by ESBL-positive and carbapenemresistant *Enterobacter* spp. collected in Shiraz Namazi Hospital, Shiraz, Iran.

Materials and Methods

Clinical isolates

A total of 96 non-repetitive *Enterobacter* isolates were obtained from patients hospitalized at a university-affiliated medical center (Namazi) in Shiraz, Southwest of Iran from June 2016 to March 2017. Only one isolate was collected per patient. The isolates were recovered from different clinical samples, namely blood, wound, sputum, endotracheal tube aspirates, abdominal discharge, urine, and eye. *Enterobacter* spp. was initially identified by standard microbiological tests and confirmed using API 20E (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile,

*Corresponding author: Reza Khashei. Department of Bacteriology and Virology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Tel:+98-71 32305410; Fax: +98-71 32304356; Email: khasheir@sums.ac.ir

France) and Microgene[™] GnA+B-ID system (Microgen Bioproducts Ltd, UK) diagnostic kits. Confirmed *Enterobacter* spp. isolates were stored in tryptic soy broth (TSB) (Merck Co., Germany) containing 20% glycerol at -70 °C until further study. This study was in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and ethical approval was sought from the institutional Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (approval No. EC IR.SUMS.REC.1396.S526). However, because we only used leftovers from clinical specimens, the local ethics committee waived the need for informed consent.

Susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern was determined by the disk diffusion method on Muller-Hinton agar plates (Merck Co., Germany) following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (13). Guidelines of the CLSI were used for ampicillin, ceftazidime, cefoxitin, gentamicin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT Co-trimoxazole), nitrofurantoin, amoxicillinor clavulanate, and imipenem (Mast Co., UK). E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as the quality control strain. MDR was defined as non-susceptibility to ≥ 1 agent in ≥ 3 different antibiotic classes (14).

ESBL phenotypic detection was performed using the combination disk method in accordance with CLSI recommendations (13). All ceftazidime (as a thirdgeneration cephalosporin) resistant isolates were selected for evaluation of ESBL production. In this test, ceftazidime (30 µg) and cefotaxime (30 µg) disks were applied alone and in combination with clavulanic acid (30/10 µg). An increase of \geq 5 mm in the inhibition zone of the agent in combination with clavulanic acid was considered ESBL producer. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 were used as negative and positive control strains, respectively.

Genotypic detection of ESBL and carbapenemase genes

Genomic DNA was extracted from overnight TSB culture using a Cinna-pure kit (CinnaGen Co., Iran) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Molecular characterization of ESBLs ($bla_{\text{TEM'}}$, $bla_{\text{SHV'}}$ and $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$) and carbapenemases $(bla_{\rm KPC'}, bla_{\rm VIM'}, bla_{\rm IMP}, and bla_{\rm NDM})$ were screened in all isolates by PCR amplification using specific previously reported primers (15, 16). PCRs were performed using a thermal cycler 5530 (Ependrof master, Germany) with 1 µl of each specific primer (1 μ M), 3 μ l DNA template, 2.5 μ l PCR buffer (1X), 1 μ l deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates solution (dNTPs, 200 μ M), 1.5 μ l MgCl₂ (1.5 mM), and 0.25 μ l Taq DNA polymerase (1 Unit) in a total volume of 25 µl. PCRs comprised 5 min at 94 °C as initial denaturation, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 sec, annealing (the eventual annealing temperatures chosen were 45-60 °C for corresponding genes), extension of 1 min at 72 °C, and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72 °C. The positive PCR products were screened by electrophoresis on agarose 1.5% w/v gels and stained with safe stain load dye (CinnaGen Co., Iran) and visualized through UV transillumination.

DNA sequence analysis

To confirm the accuracy of amplified carbapenemase genes (one sample of each positive gene and three samples for *bla*_{NDM} gene), the amplicons were submitted for sequencing (Bioneer Co., Munpyeongseoro, Daedeokgu, Daejeon, South Korea) and the sequences were compared using online BLAST software (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). For ESBL genes, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 was used as control strain.

Statistical analysis

The Chi-square (χ^2) test was used to analyze significant differences between the studied resistance genes and the clinical outcome, using SPSS (ver. 21.0; IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) software. The results of demographic and clinical manifestations were presented as descriptive statistics in terms of relative frequency. A *P*-value<0.05 was considered as significant clinical relevance.

Results

Study population and clinical characteristics of Enterobacter isolates

The isolates were collected from 96 individuals admitted as inpatients, consisting of 62 (64.6%) men and 34 (35.4%) women with a median age of 42 years (range=9 days to 75 years). Distribution of isolation of Enterobacter spp. from different clinical samples was as follows: respiratory tract infection (RTI) (n=49, 51%), skin and soft tissue infection (SSTI) (n=18, 18.8%), urinary tract infection (UTI) (n=12, 12.5%), bloodstream infection (BSI) (n=7, 7.3%), abdominal infection (n=5, 5.2%), and eye infection (n=5, 5.2%). Moreover, the recovered Enterobacter isolates from Intensive Care Unit (ICU), Internal, Surgery, and Transplantation wards were 55 (57.3%), 36 (37.5%), 4 (4.2%), and 1 (1%), respectively. All 96 clinical isolates of Enterobacter were classified as E. gergoviae (n=52, 54.2%), E. aerogenes (n=34, 35.4%), E. cloacae (n=7, 7.3%), and Cronobacter (E) sakazakii (n=3, 3.1%).

Antimicrobial resistance among Enterobacter isolates

The results of susceptibility testing are depicted in Table 1. All 96 clinical isolates revealed resistance to all antimicrobials with different proportions. The highest resistance (non-susceptible isolates) rate was seen to β -lactams, including ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefoxitin, and ceftazidime. Conversely, the lowest resistance rate was against imipenem (29.2%), followed by amikacin (30.2%). Among different *Enterobacter* spp., *E. gergoviae* represented the highest (90%) resistance to antimicrobial agents. The majority of isolates (n=93, 96.9%) exhibited a multi-drug resistant (MDR) phenotype. Except for *E. gergoviae* isolates whose MDR rate was 94.2%, all the remaining isolates from other species were MDR.

Totally, among 96 *Enterobacter* isolates, 35 (36.4%) were positive for the ESBL phenotype. The prevalence of ESBL in *E. gergoviae*, *E. aerogenes*, *E. cloacae*, and *C. sakazakii* was 28.8% (15/52), 50% (17/34), 28.6% (2/7), and 33.3% (1/3), respectively. Among the antimicrobial agents evaluated, imipenem was the

Table 1. Distribution of antibiotic resistant *Enterobacter* isolates according to ESBL production

Antibiotic		Total (N=96) No. (%)		ESE	BL-Positive (N= No. (%)	=35)	<i>P</i> -value ^a
	R	Ι	S	R	Ι	S	
Ampicillin	90 (93.8)	5 (5.2)	1 (1)	31 (88.6)	4 (11.4)	0	0.45
Amoxicillin-clavulanate	84 (87.5)	6 (6.3)	6 (6.3)	30 (85.7)	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	0.48
Cefoxitin	80 (80.3)	7 (7.3)	9 (9.4)	30 (85.7)	1 (2.9)	4 (11.4)	0.6
Ceftazidime	70 (72.9)	2 (2.1)	24 (25)	30 (85.7)	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	0.005
Imipenem	21 (21.9)	7 (7.3)	68 (70.8)	5 (14.3)	2 (5.7)	28 (80)	0.13
Gentamicin	39 (40.6)	1 (1)	56 (58.3)	14 (40)	0	21 (60)	0.8
Amikacin	22 (22.9)	7 (7.3)	67 (69.8)	6 (17.1)	6 (17.1)	23 (65.7)	0.51
Trimethoprim	45 (46.9)	6 (6.3)	45 (46.9)	19 (54.3)	4 (11.4)	12 (34.3)	0.061
sulfamethoxazole	10 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (10.5)	19 (0 110)	. (11.1)	12 (0 110)	0.001
Nitrofurantoin	68 (70.8)	14 (14.6)	14 (14.6)	22 (62.9)	5 (14.3)	8 (22.9)	0.082
Ciprofloxacin	31 (32.3)	4 (4.2)	61 (63.5)	8 (22.9)	3 (8.6)	24 (68.6)	0.44

^a Compared with susceptibility rates of ESBL-negative isolates

R: resistant; I: intermediate-resistant; S: susceptible

most active antibiotic (80%) against the ESBL-positive isolates, and ciprofloxacin had a notable *in vitro* activity (68.6%). There was no significant correlation between ESBL production and higher antibiotic resistance, except for ceftazidime (Table 1). All ESBL producers were MDR; however, compared to non-ESBL producers (95.1%) the differences were not statistically significant (P=0.18).

Characterization of ESBL and carbapenemase genes

Of the 35 isolates identified as ESBL-producers, 16 (45.7%) isolates harbored the TEM type enzyme, and 15 (42.8%) and 8 (22.8%) carried CTX-M and SHV type enzymes, respectively. A statistically significant difference was determined between ESBL-positive isolates and the presence of TEM, CTX-M, and SHV genes with values 0.021, 0.004, and 0.02, respectively. $bla_{\text{TEM}} + bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ was found to be the frequent combination (n=9, 9.4%), followed by $bla_{\text{TEM}} + bla_{\text{SHV}} + bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ (n=3, 3.1%) (Table 3). Among ESBL-producers, bla_{IMP} and

 $bla_{\rm NDM}$ genes were sought in 2 (5.7%) and 5 (14.3%) of the isolates, respectively. Furthermore, there was no significant correlation between any of the mentioned genes among ESBL-producing isolates.

Of the 96 *Enterobacter* spp., 28 (29.2%) were phenotypically non-susceptible carbapenem isolates (Table 1); however, 3 (3.1%) and 8 (8.3%) of them harbored $bla_{\rm IMP}$ and $bla_{\rm NDM}$ genes. No PCR products were detected for any of the $bla_{\rm VIM}$ and $bla_{\rm KPC}$ genes investigated (Table 2). Meanwhile, sequencing results confirmed that all of the tested $bla_{\rm NDM}$ positive isolates were NDM-1 variant.

Discussion

An increase in the emergence of MDR *Enterobacter* spp. producing ESBLs and carbapenemases has limited therapeutic options. Therefore, to reduce the mortality of nosocomial infections caused by these species, their early identification is necessary (17, 18). In the

Table 2. Distribution of ESBL and carbapenemase genes among <i>Enterobacter</i> s
--

Species		ESBL genes No. (%)		Carbapenemases genes No. (%)			
	CTX-M	TEM	SHV	IMP	NDM	VIM	KPC
E. gergoviae (N=52)	14 (26.9)	19 (36.5)	9 (17.3)	0	6 (11.5)	0	0
E. aerogenes (N=34)	8 (23.5)	8 (23.5)	2 (5.9)	3 (8.8)	1 (2.9)	0	0
E. cloacae (N=7)	2 (28.6)	2 (28.6)	1 (14.3)	0	1 (14.3)	0	0
C. sakazakii (N=3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0	0	0	0	0
Total (N=96)	25 (26)	30 (31.3)	12 (12.5)	3 (3.1)	8 (8.3)	0	0

 Table 3. Resistance genes pattern identified among Enterobacter isolates

Gene pattern	Frequency	Percent
No gene	50	52.1
TEM	10	10.4
SHV	4	4.2
СТХ-М	7	7.3
NDM	1	1.0
TEM/SHV	2	2.1
TEM/CTX-M	9	9.4
TEM/IMP	2	2.1
TEM/NDM	1	1.0
SHV/NDM	1	1.0
CTX/NDM	2	2.1
TEM/SHV/CTX-M	3	3.1
TEM/CTX-M/IMP	1	1.0
TEM/CTX-M/NDM	1	1.0
SHV/CTX-M/NDM	1	1.0
TEM/SHV/CTX- M/NDM	1	1.0
Total	96	100.0

present study, we characterized the antimicrobial resistance pattern and the presence of seven ESBL and carbapenemase genes among 96 clinical isolates of *Enterobacter* recovered from an Iranian population. In the literature, *E. cloacae* and *E. aerogenes* have been suggested as the most common species of Enterobacter (1, 8). In our survey, by contrast, E. gergoviae was found the most frequently isolated species (54.2%), followed by E. aerogenes, E. cloacae, and C. sakazakii with frequencies 35.4%, 7.3%, and 3.1%, respectively. To our knowledge, there has been no further report of this species as an emerging nosocomial pathogen until this work in Iran. But in studies in Germany, Spain, and Hong Kong, E. gergoviae was isolated from clinical samples with frequencies of 26.1%, 6.6%, and 2.9%, respectively (19-21). In another survey from a nosocomial outbreak of bacteremia, 11 E. gergoviae were isolated from 11 babies in neonatal ICU (NICU) (22).

Enterobacter spp. are responsible for a wide variety

of nosocomial infections, particularly wound infections, bacteremia, and pneumonia (1, 23). In the current study, most isolates (51%) were recovered from RTIs. Consistent with our work, Qin and co-workers (11) and Hoffmann *et al.* (17) isolated 91% and 37.8% of strains from respiratory tract samples, respectively. In contrast, in several studies from Brazil (7), China (24), a global surveillance program (25), and Korea (8), blood and abdominal samples were the most common sites of *Enterobacter* isolation. In our study, 57.3% of isolates were obtained from the ICU ward. Likewise, two authors from Germany (17) and Spain (26) showed most strains were isolated from ICU.

Members of ESBL-producing and CRE, including *Enterobacter* spp., have been emerging and increasing around the world and become a matter of great concern (11, 23, 27). By analysis of susceptibility testing, it is found the majority of our isolates were remarkably resistant to most of the antimicrobials tested, with 96.9% of strains showing MDR phenotype, making them a public health concern in our area. This finding does not coincide with two previously reported works from Iran with prevalence of 17.5% and 47.5% (28, 29). Carbapenem resistance was defined as resistance to one or more carbapenems according to CLSI guidelines (7). In the current study, 29.2% of isolates were nonsusceptible to imipenem (carbapenem-resistant). In several studies from different areas, these rates were reported 8.7%, 25.7%, 35.1%, 18.3%, and 5.1% (8, 23, 28, 30, 31). Although CRE isolates are usually extensively drug-resistant, some isolates may be still susceptible to amikacin and ciprofloxacin. Hu and co-workers reported the rate of susceptibility of their isolates to amikacin and ciprofloxacin were 10.4 and 13%, respectively (32). Instead, 69.8% and 63.5% of our isolates were fortunately susceptible to amikacin and ciprofloxacin, correspondingly, indicating an alternative choice to treatment of infections caused by Enterobacter resistant isolates, especially ESBL-producers.

Thirty-five (36.4%) of our isolates were ESBLproducers using the phenotypic tests. The result was less than those observed by two other studies from Iran with prevalence of 52.6% and 44.2%, respectively (28, 29). The use of antimicrobials, including cefoxitin and ceftazidime in Iran, could partly explain this slightly high rate of ESBL among Enterobacter isolates. In agreement with our findings, in two investigations performed in Korea (33) and Germany (17), 35.4% and 40% of E. cloacae were ESBL-positive, respectively; however, Villa and colleagues (31) and Yu et al. (34) detected only 5.1% and 15% of isolates as ESBL-producers, correspondingly. On the other hand, in a report from China, ESBL-producing Enterobacter isolates comprised 65.7% (23). These discrepancies might be due to the differences in the epidemiology of isolates or sample sizes of studies.

It has been suggested that CTX-M and SHV-type beta-lactamases have been the predominant ESBLs in *Enterobacter* spp. (35). Conversely, beta-lactamases belonging to the TEM (31.3%) family were the ESBLs encountered most frequently in our isolates, followed by CTX-M (26%) and SHV (12.5%) types. Likewise, Ghanavati and colleagues reported bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} as the

most and less prevalent ESBL genes in their Enterobacter species (28). In a study from Brazil, $bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$ and $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ were the frequently identified ESBL genes with no $bla_{\rm SHV}$ among *E. aerogenes* and *E. cloacae* isolates studied (7). In several studies from Algeria, Spain, and Korea, high rates of the CTX-M type with frequencies of 76%, 52.3%, 53.3%, and 60.8% have been reported, respectively (12, 26, 33, 36). Conversely, in an investigation from England (37), in none of *Enterobacter* spp. isolated from blood and urine samples, the $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ gene was detected. This result is not in agreement with our findings. The rate *bla*_{SHV} was observed in our study was similar to another study with frequency of 10% (12), but much lower than those identified (52%) in Korea (36). On the contrary, in a work from Spain (26), no $bla_{\rm SHV}$ and $bla_{\rm TEM}$ were identified among *Enterobacter* obtained isolates.

Among evaluated carbapenemase genes, only *bla*_{NDM} (n=8, 8.3%) and $bla_{\rm IMP}$ (n=3, 3.1%) were detected. In other words, $bla_{\rm NDM}$ was the most prevalent MBL as a mechanism of resistance to carbapenems in our Enterobacter spp. This study is the second reported presence of *bla*_{NDM} among clinical isolates of *Enterobacter* in Iran. While in the first report 2.5% of isolates were carried *bla*_{NDM-1}, the species and origin of isolates were not mentioned (30). In our work, 6 (75%) NDM-positive isolates were related to E. gergoviae, which is the first report of this species in Iran, and two other cases belonged to E. aerogenes and E. cloacae. This result is not consistent with those published in other countries such as China (23), Spain (38), Korea (8), and Mexico (9) with frequencies of 2.8%, 0%, 0%, and 100%, respectively, where *bla*_{NDM} had been identified in *E. aerogenes* and/or E. cloacae.

bla_{kpc} has been reported as the predominant carbapenemase gene associated with CRE intrahospital infections (9). The importance of KPC enzymes is due to high-level resistance to all beta-lactams and distinct levels of resistance to the carbapenem antibiotics (39). A study in Brazil showed 88.6% of *E. aerogenes* and 100% of *E. cloacae* isolates harbored *bla*_{KPC}, and 8 other carbapenemase genes evaluated were not detected in any isolate (7). In an investigation in the United States, 11 (25%) isolates of the 44 ertapenem-nonsusceptible Enterobacter isolates were found to be KPC-producer (40). In our study, by contrast, no Enterobacter isolates harboring $bla_{\rm KPC}$ were diagnosed. This result is consistent with results from other researchers who reported the rate of 0% for the $bla_{\rm KPC}$ gene (8). However, in the studies from China and Spain, the frequencies of 19.3% and 6.8% were determined, respectively (11, 31).

It has been mentioned that carbapenemase production is mostly related to the presence of VIM and IMP types (23). Indeed, VIM-1-producing *Enterobacter* isolates, especially *E. cloacae*, have been frequently reported in some European countries and particularly in Spain and become major nosocomial pathogens in southern Europe and Asia (31, 38). In our investigation, however, no isolate carrying $bla_{\rm VIM}$ was found and only 3.1% of isolates (3 *E. aerogenes* isolates) harbored the $bla_{\rm IMP}$ gene. In accordance with the literature, 52% and 100% (7 isolates) of *E. cloacae* isolates in two studies from Spain were found to be $bla_{\rm VIM}$ producers (31, 38). On the other hand, in research from the Far East the

rates of $bla_{\rm IMP}$ (0.5%) and $bla_{\rm VIM}$ (0.25%) were reported rare (8), similar to our findings. Furthermore, in a recent work from Iran, no carbapenemase gene was detected among clinical isolates of *Enterobacter* spp. (28). Taken together, these discrepancies in results are probably due to the distribution of geographically different regions and genetic heterogeneity of strains.

A limitation of the current study is the relatively small sample size. Another limitation of the work is that we could not evaluate the presence of other ESBL and carbapenemase genes from different classes of betalactamases to better assess beta-lactam resistance in our isolates.

Conclusion

ESBL-positive and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacter* spp., particularly *E. gergoviae* have become a concern in our area. With respect to the findings, amikacin may still be suitable for treatment of infections caused by MDR *Enterobacter* isolates. Additionally, educational programs for healthcare workers about diminishing risk of transmission of *Enterobacter* isolates as serious nosocomial pathogens should be implemented in our hospitals.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Vice-Chancellor for Research of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran for funding this project (grant No. 95-01-01-12981). The results presented in this paper were part of a medical microbiology master's degree thesis by Mrs. Fatemeh Edalati Sarvestani.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Perez A, Poza M, Fernández A, Fernández Mdel C, Mallo S, Merino M, *et al.* Involvement of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in the resistance, fitness, and virulence of *Enterobacter cloacae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;56:2084-2090.

2. Anago E, Ayi-Fanou L, Akpovi CD, Hounkpe WB, Agassounon-Djikpo Tchibozo M, Bankole HS, *et al.* Antibiotic resistance and genotype of beta-lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* in nosocomial infections in Cotonou, Benin. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2015;14:5.

3. Khanfar HS, Bindayna KM, Senok AC, Botta GA. Extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: trends in the hospital and community settings. J Infect Dev Ctries 2009;3:295-299.

4. Kohlenberg A, Schwab F, Rüden H. Wide dissemination of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. in acute care and rehabilitation hospitals. Epidemiol Infect 2012;140:528-534.

5. Haghighatpanah M, Mozaffari Nejad AS, Mojtahedi A, Amirmozafari N, Zeighami H. Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) and plasmid-borne $bla_{\text{CTX-M}}$ and bla_{TEM} genes among clinical strains of *Escherichia coli* isolated from patients in the north of Iran. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2016;7:110-113.

6. Yoo JS, Byeon J, Yang J, Yoo JI, Chung GT, Lee YS. High prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae isolated from long-term care facilities in Korea. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2010;67:261-265. 7. Rosa JF, Rizek C, Marchi AP, Guimaraes T, Miranda L, Carrilho C, *et al.* Clonality, outer-membrane proteins profile and efflux pump in KPC- producing *Enterobacter* sp. in Brazil. BMC Microbiol 2017;17:69.

8. Lee JY, Hong YK, Lee H, Ko KS. High prevalence of non-clonal imipenem-nonsusceptible *Enterobacter* spp. isolates in Korea and their association with porin down-regulation. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2017;87:53-59.

9. Bocanegra-Ibarias P, Garza-González E, Morfín-Otero R, Barrios H, Villarreal-Treviño L, Rodríguez-Noriega E, *et al.* Molecular and microbiological report of a hospital outbreak of NDM-1-carrying Enterobacteriaceae in Mexico. PLoS One 2017;12:e0179651.

10. Tacao M, Correia A, Henriques IS. Low Prevalence of carbapenem-resistant bacteria in river water: resistance is mostly related to intrinsic mechanisms. Microb Drug Resist 2015;21:497-506.

11. Qin X, Yang Y, Hu F, Zhu D. Hospital clonal dissemination of *Enterobacter aerogenes* producing carbapenemase KPC-2 in a Chinese teaching hospital. J Med Microbiol 2014;63:222-228.

12. Nedjai S, Barguigua A, Djahmi N, Jamali L, Zerouali K, Dekhil M, *et al.* Prevalence and characterization of extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter cloacae* strains in Algeria. J Infect Dev Ctries 2013;7:804-811.

13. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 26th Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2016. 2016.

14. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, *et al.* Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;18:268-281.

15. Dallenne C, Da Costa A, Decré D, Favier C, Arlet G. Development of a set of multiplex PCR assays for the detection of genes encoding important beta-lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65:490-495.

16. Poirel L, Walsh TR, Cuvillier V, Nordmann P. Multiplex PCR for detection of acquired carbapenemase genes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;70:119-123.

17. Hoffmann H, Stürenburg E, Heesemann J, Roggenkamp A. Prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in isolates of the *Enterobacter cloacae* complex from German hospitals. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:322-330.

18. Shahid M, Malik A, Akram M, Agrawal LM, Khan AU, Agrawal M. Prevalent phenotypes and antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* at an Indian tertiary care hospital: plasmid-mediated cefoxitin resistance. Int J Infect Dis 2008;12:256-264.

19. Stock I, Wiedemann B. Natural antibiotic susceptibility of *Enterobacter amnigenus, Enterobacter cancerogenus, Enterobacter gergoviae* and *Enterobacter sakazakii* strains. Clin Microbiol Infect 2002;8:564-578.

20. Cantón R, Oliver A, Coque TM, Varela Mdel C, Pérez-Díaz JC, Baquero F. Epidemiology of extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter* isolates in a Spanish hospital during a 12-year period. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:1237-1243.

21. Ho PL, Shek RH, Chow KH, Duan RS, Mak GC, Lai EL, *et al.* Detection and characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among bloodstream isolates of *Enterobacter* spp. in Hong Kong, 2000-2002. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005;55:326-332.

22. Ganeswire R, Thong KL, Puthucheary SD. Nosocomial outbreak of *Enterobacter gergoviae* bacteraemia in a neonatal intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2003;53:292-296. 23. Dai W, Sun S, Yang P, Huang S, Zhang X, Zhang L. Characterization of carbapenemases, extended spectrum beta-lactamases and molecular epidemiology of carbapenemnon-susceptible *Enterobacter cloacae* in a Chinese hospital in Chongqing. Infect Genet Evol 2013;14:1-7.

24. Wang S, Xiao SZ, Gu FF, Tang J, Guo XK, Ni YX, *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility and molecular epidemiology of clinical *Enterobacter cloacae* bloodstream isolates in Shanghai, China. PLoS One 2017;12:e0189713.

25. Peirano G, Matsumura Y, Adams MD, Bradford P, Motyl M, Chen L, *et al.* Genomic epidemiology of global carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacter* spp., 2008-2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2018;24:1010-1019.

26. Fernandez J, Montero I, Martínez Ó, Fleites A, Poirel L, Nordmann P, *et al*. Dissemination of multiresistant *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates producing OXA-48 and CTX-M-15 in a Spanish hospital. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2015;46:469-474.

27. Asgharzadeh Kangachar S, Mojtahedi A. The presence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase as a risk factor for MDR in clinical isolation of *Escherichia coli*. Trop Biomed 2017;34:98-109.

28. Ghanavati R, Emaneini M, Kalantar-Neyestanaki D, Maraji AS, Dalvand M, Beigverdi R, *et al.* Clonal relation and antimicrobial resistance pattern of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase- and AmpC beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter* spp. isolated from different clinical samples in Tehran, Iran. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2018;51:88-93.

29. Peymani A, Farivar TN, Sanikhani R, Javadi A, Najafipour R. Emergence of TEM, SHV, and CTX-M-extended spectrum betalactamases and class 1 integron among *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates collected from hospitals of Tehran and Qazvin, Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2014;20:424-430.

30. Armin S, Fallah F, Azimi L, Samadi Kafil H, Ghazvini K, Hasanzadeh S, *et al.* Warning: spread of NDM-1 in two border towns of Iran. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2018;64:125-129. 31. Villa J, Viedma E, Brañas P, Orellana MA, Otero JR, Chaves F. Multiclonal spread of VIM-1-producing *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates associated with In624 and In488 integrons located in an IncHI2 plasmid. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2014;43:451-455. 32. Hu F, Chen S, Xu X, Guo Y, Liu Y, Zhu D, *et al.* Emergence of carbapenem-resistant clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates from a teaching hospital in Shanghai, China. J Med Microbiol 2012;61:132-136.

33. Park YJ, Park SY, Oh EJ, Park JJ, Lee KY, Woo GJ, *et al.* Occurrence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among chromosomal AmpC-producing *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, and *Serratia marcescens* in Korea and investigation of screening criteria. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;51:265-269.

34. Yu WL, Cheng KC, Chi CJ, Chen HE, Chuang YC, Wu LT. Characterisation and molecular epidemiology of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacter cloacae* isolated from a district teaching hospital in Taiwan. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:579-582.

35. Nilsen E, Haldorsen BC, Sundsfjord A, Simonsen GS, Ingebretsen A, Naseer U, *et al.* Large IncHI2-plasmids encode extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) in *Enterobacter* spp. bloodstream isolates, and support ESBL-transfer to *Escherichia coli*. Clin Microbiol Infect 2013;19:E516-518.

36. Park YJ, Yu JK, Lee S, Park JJ, Oh EJ. Evaluation of phoenix automated microbiology system for detecting extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among chromosomal AmpC-producing *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Citrobacter freundii*, and *Serratia marcescens*. Ann Clin Lab Sci 2007;37:75-78.

37. Swayne R, Ellington MJ, Curran MD, Woodford N, Aliyu SH. Utility of a novel multiplex TaqMan PCR assay for metallo-betalactamase genes plus other TaqMan assays in detecting genes encoding serine carbapenemases and clinically significant extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2013;42:352-356.

38. Coelho A, Piedra-Carrasco N, Bartolomé R, Quintero-Zarate JN, Larrosa N, Cornejo-Sánchez T. Role of IncHI2 plasmids

harbouring *bla*_{VIM-1}, *bla*_{CTX-M-9}, *aac*(6')-Ib and *qnrA* genes in the spread of multiresistant *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains in different units at Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2012;39:514-517. 39. Tuon FF, Scharf C, Rocha JL, Cieslinsk J, Becker GN, Arend

LN. KPC-producing *Enterobacter aerogenes* infection. Braz J Infect Dis 2015;19:324-327.

40. Ahn C, Syed A, Hu F, O'Hara JA, Rivera JI, Doi Y. Microbiological features of KPC-producing *Enterobacter* isolates identified in a U.S. hospital system. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;80:154-158.