Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences

ijbms.mums.ac.ir

Prevalence of integron classes in Gram-negative clinical isolated bacteria in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Ali Pormohammad¹, Ramin Pouriran², Hadi Azimi³, Mehdi Goudarzi^{4*}

¹ Student Research Committee, Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ² School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ English Language Teaching Department, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴ Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLEINFO	ABSTRACT
<i>Article type:</i> Review article	Objective(s) : Integrons, as a potential element in the distribution and maintenance of drug resistance, have thoroughly been established. It is known that the high prevalence of integrons in multidrug-
<i>Article history:</i> Received: May 20, 2018 Accepted: Oct 6, 2018	resistant (MDR) clinical isolates has become a serious public health concern. The objective of the present study was to determine the frequency of different classes of integrons in clinical isolates in Iran.
Keywords: Bacteria Integron Iran MDR Meta-Analysis	<i>Materials and Methods:</i> Electronic global databases were systematically searched. The raw data for integrons among bacterial isolates were collected and their prevalence was analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis V2.0 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA) software. <i>Results:</i> In a comprehensive literature review, 29 eligible studies were determined with their meta-analyses indicating the prevalence of integron class 1 to be 41% (95% Cl 36.3-46.1) and integron class 2 as 17.7% (95% Cl 13-23.3) in Gram-negative bacteria. The highest prevalence of integron class 1 was reported in <i>Acinetobacter spp</i> (58%) while the highest prevalence of integron class 2 was reported in <i>Shigella</i> isolates (83.7%). The frequencies of class 1 integron in MDR (79%) and non-MDR isolates (41%) were higher than those for class 2 integron in MDR (13.4%) and non-MDR isolates (17.7%). <i>Conclusion:</i> The current systematic review demonstrated the significant presence of integrons among clinical isolates. Our analysis showed that measures such as estimates of the prevalence of this transposable element and diligence in continued surveillance might be necessary to prevent its spread.

Please cite this article as:

Pormohammad A, Pouriran R, Azimi H, Goudarzi M. Prevalence of integron classes in Gram-negative clinical isolated bacteria in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2019; 22:118-127. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2018.32052.7697

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance, as a growing threat, is the cause of 700'000 deaths worldwide and is forecasted to cause 10 million deaths a year by 2050 in the absence of coherent programs to combat it. These increasing threats will perhaps grow even more dramatically in the developing countries (1). According to available data, antimicrobial resistance is linked to occurrence and distribution of genetic elements (2). The genetic elements were primarily described in the late 1980s; apparently, they have been extensively recognized for their transpicuous role for the spread of resistance determinants distinctly among Gramnegative strains. Obviously, integrons as a peculiar group of genetic elements, have general and important roles in bacterial adaptation and genome evolution (3). Recently, integrons, as a common component of bacterial genomes, are widely known for their role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance (4). Integrons form a complex mobilome in the majority of environments and, in addition, they are capable of moving between species over evolutionary periods, and have a vast pool of new genes available whose functions are not still transparent (5, 6). In fact, integrons contain three essential core features: 1) integrase, a member of the tyrosine recombinase family, encoded by intl, which

catalyzes recombination of captured gene cassettes, 2) a primary integron-associated recombination site, attl, and 3) an integron-associated promoter, Pc, which lies between attl site and intl gene (4, 7, 8).

IJ=MS

Although integrons are not mobile in their own right, they are considered as major players in the development and spread of antimicrobial resistance, particularly among Gram-negative bacteria (9). There are five classes of "mobile" antibiotic resistance-associated integrons. Classes 1, 2, and 3 are frequently detected from clinical sources; class 4 is primarily detected on the SXT element of Vibrio cholera, and finally, class 5, which is identified on the pRSV1 plasmid in Alivibrio salmonicida (10-12). Antibiotic resistance integrons have numerous characteristics which are common among them. For instance, they are ordinarily mobile and their cassettes sequence is short and prevalently encoded for antibiotic resistance (13). Contemporary, the antibiotic resistance phenomenon has dramatically been increased in antibiotic resistance-associated integrons in patients, thus consequently, increasing the contingency of new and more complex resistance to abundant antibiotic classes, heavy metals, and disinfectants among bacterial strains (14). Conversely, it was demonstrated that three classes of mobile integrons, including class 1, 2, and 3, are involved in the multi-drug resistance phenotypes.

^{*}Corresponding author: Mehdi Goudarzi. Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Tel/Fax: +98-2123872556; Email: gudarzim@yahoo.com

The mentioned integrons provide pathogens with a gene capture system which improves the challenges for multiple-antibiotic treatment regime (15).

Unfortunately antimicrobial screening programs have not received enough attention in Iran and currently infections caused by multidrug-resistant bacterial strains are among the main factors influencing morbidity and mortality in Iranian patients (16). The importance of antibiotic resistance-associated integrons in clinical settings has notably been reflected in their global epidemiological surveillance, monitoring, prevalence, and evolution. Apparently, some reports present the significance between multidrug resistance and integron carriage among clinical isolates fermentative and nonfermentative Gram-negative bacilli in Iran (17-19); nevertheless, there is insufficient information regarding the structure and epidemiology of antibiotic resistanceassociated integrons among bacterial populations isolated in clinical samples in Iran. Therefore, the purpose of the present meta-analysis was to confirm the prevalence of antibiotic resistance-associated integrons class 1 and 2 among the clinical bacterial isolates in published reports in Iran.

Materials and Methods Data acquisition

A literature search of the English-language databases including MEDLINE, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, and Science Direct was conducted on the studies published from Jan 1, 2000 to Jan 31, 2016. In addition, the entire relevant articles in national databases such as Iranmedex (www.iranmedex.com), Scientific Information Database (www.sid.ir), Magiran (www. Magiran.com), Irandoc (www.irandoc.ac.ir), and Iranian National Library (www.nlai.ir) were searched using a similar strategy and related Persian keywords. The search was restricted to original research articles. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) keywords and synonyms used included "integrons", "integron classes", "chromosomal integrons", "gene cassette", "mobile genetic elements", "antibiotic resistance", "bacteria", "drug resistant", "multidrug resistant", "prevalence", and "Iran". In addition, we searched related journals, citations lists (backward citation), and references (forward citation) and corresponded with authors (recommended with Cochrane guideline) (20). Furthermore, no contact was made with the expert authors regarding our previous experiences (21, 22). To improve the sensitivity and specificity, the literature review was carried out by three independent investigators. The present study was conducted according to the systematic review following PRISMA guidelines (23).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Evaluation of the studies for inclusion in the current meta-analysis was done independently by two experts. Inclusions of the studies were conducted following three stages: titles, abstracts, and full-text evaluation. In all included articles, a standard molecular assay (polymerase chain reaction (PCR), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), multiple locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA)) was performed for

detection of integron class 1 and class 2 among clinical isolates of Gram-negative bacteria. Indeed, some studied were excluded from the analysis because of the following reasons: studies which included only specific groups of patients, those which identified integrons using different techniques, and those which did not report the prevalence of integrons. Moreover, reviews, case reports, and abstracts without appropriate data were also excluded.

Quality assessment and Data extraction

Full manuscripts of the included studies were assessed by three investigators. Disagreements in quality assessment were discussed and resolved by consensus. Quality assessment of obtained articles was performed according to the checklist which was provided by the Joanna Briggs Institute (24). For all studies, the extracted data included the following: first author's name, data of carrying out the study, publication date, study location, methods for conducting studies, source of samples, sample size, prevalence of each integron class in all the isolates, and prevalence of each single integron class in multidrug-resistant (MDR) isolates. In addition, information on bacterial species, antibiotic resistance rate, and the strain type (if reported) were extracted from the included studies.

Data pooling and statistical analysis

The pooled prevalence of integron classes in different species of bacteria and MDR isolates were calculated for each bacterial species. Random effect model was used to pool the estimated effects. The analysis was carried out using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software Version 2.0 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ) and determination of heterogeneity among studies was undertaken making use of the chi-squared test (Cochran's Q) to assess the appropriateness of pooling data. *I2* value, with *I2* \geq 75% denoted a high degree of statistically significant heterogeneity. The point estimates of effect size,



Figure 1. Flow diagram of literature search and study selection

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

First author	Published	Province	No. Isolate bacteria	Organism	Detection method	No. Int1	No. Int2	No. Both
Ranjbar (25)	2007	Tehran	57	Shigella sonnei	PFGE	UN	50	UN
Japoni (26)	2011	Shiraz	88	Acinetobacter	RFLP	42	3	2
Adabi (27)	2009	Tehran, Zahedan, Golestan, and Qom	60	Vibrio cholerae	PCR	1	UN	UN
Taherikhani (28)	2011	Tehran	100	Acinetobacter baumannii	Repetitive element palindromic PCR	58	14	9
Peymani (29)	2012	Tabriz	100	A. baumannii	UN	80	0	UN
Naghoni (30)	2010	Tehran	138	Salmonella spp	PCR	54	11	UN
Firoozeh (31)	2011	Tehran	58	Salmonella spp	PCR	UN	UN	UN
Rezayi (32)	2011	Tabriz	140	Escherichia coli	PCR	UN	UN	UN
Mirnejad (33)	2013	Tehran	50	A. baumannii	PCR	21	41	15
Rajaei (34)	2011	Tehran	84	Salmonella	UN	50	14	14
Mobarak (35)	2013	Tehran	104	Klebsiella pneumoniae	PCR	22	3	UN
Derakhshan (36)	2014	Tehran	31	K. pneumoniae	PCR	8	0	UN
Eftekhari (37)	2013	Tehran and Khorasan	32	Shigella spp	PFGE	13	25	UN
Kargar (38)	2014	Yasouj	164	E. coli	PCR	UN	UN	UN
Bromand (39)	2015	Tehran	20	Haemophilus influenzae	PCR	0	0	UN
Peerayeh (40)	2015	Tehran	123	A. baumannii	MLVA	UN	UN	UN
Haddadi (41)	2015	Karaj	111	E. coli	PCR-RFLP	25	1	UN
Memariani (42)	2014	Tehran	42	E.coli	PCR	24	2	UN
Salimian (43)	2015	Tehran	110	Enterobacter spp.	PCR	29	0	7
Azami (44)	2013	Tehran	130	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	PCR	74	0	UN
Ashayeri (45)	2014	Tehran	35	K. pneumoniae	PCR	21	3	2
Shams (46)	2015	Tabriz	72	E. coli	UN	11	11	9
Shams (46)	2015	Tabriz	63	K. pneumoniae	PCR	22	5	14
Rezayi (47)	2012	Tabriz	150	K. pneumoniae	PCR	UN	UN	UN
Seyedjavadi (48)	2013	Tehran	174	E. coli	PCR	59	22	3
Seyedjavadi (48)	2013	Tehran	30	K. pneumoniae	PCR	4	0	0
Japoni (49)	2008	Shiraz	200	E. coli	PCR-RFLP	UN	UN	UN
Fallah (50)	2012	Tehran	200	E. coli	RFLP	UN	UN	UN

* UN=Unknown

prevalence of integron classes, and its 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were estimated in each study. Values P<0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of included studies

Primarily, a total of 894 articles were collected (Figure 1). In the secondary screening, 770 articles were excluded based on the title and abstract evaluation. As a matter of fact, the exclusion were mainly because of the following reasons: the articles were based on case reports or reviews, assessment of typing methods was

based on specific class of integrons, the samples were isolated from integrons from animals or environment, and reported integrons were from specific patients. In the next step, 66 of the remaining 124 studies were excluded upon a full text assessment because they reported specific subtypes of integron classes with different techniques. A total of 29 eligible studies were chosen for further investigation. Characteristics of the selected articles are presented in Table 1. As a matter of fact, the entire included studies were cross-sectional studies and the majority of the included studies detected intergron classes using PCR assay. It is worth

Group by Bactoria	Study name	Statisti	cs for eac	h study					Event rate	and 95% Cl			
Lactoria		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total								Relative weight
Acinetobacter	Japoni(28)	0.477	0.375	0.581	42/88		1			I		1	25.61
Acinetobacter	Taherikhani (30)	0.580	0.481	0.673	58 / 100								25.85
Acinetobacter	Peymani (31)	0.800	0.710	0.867	80 / 100								24.74
Acinetobacter	Mmejad (35)	0.420	0.292	0.559	21/50					-			23.81
Acinetobacter		0.581	0.410	0.734	201 / 338							-	
E.coli	Haddadi (43)	0.225	0.157	0.312	25/111								25.88
E.coli	Memariani (44)	0.571	0.420	0.711	24 / 42							.	23.58
E.coli	Shams (48)	0.153	0.087	0.255	11/72								23.13
E.coli	Sevedjavadi (50)	0.339	0.273	0.413	59/174						-		27.41
E.coli		0.303	0.181	0.463	119/399								
Enterobacter	Salimian (45)	0.264	0.190	0.354	29/110								100.00
Enterobacter	. ,	0.264	0.190	0.354	29/110					-			
H. influenzae	Bromand (41)	0.024	0.001	0.287	0/20								100.00
H. influenzae	. ,	0.024	0.001	0.287	0/20								
K.pneumoniae	Mobarak (37)	0.212	0.144	0.300	22/104								22.72
K.pneumoniae	Derakhshan (38)	0.258	0.135	0.437	8/31					<u> </u>	_		18.82
K.pneumoniae	Ashaveri (47)	0.600	0.433	0.747	21/35							-	20.38
K.pneumoniae	Shams (48)*	0.349	0.242	0.474	22/63								22.21
K.pneumoniae	Sevedjavadi (50)*	0.133	0.051	0.306	4/30					<u> </u>			15.87
K.pneumoniae		0.299	0.177	0.458	77 / 263								
Pseudomonas	Azami (46)	0.569	0.483	0.652	74 / 130					_	+		100.00
Pseudomonas		0.569	0.483	0.652	74 / 130								
Salmonella	Naghoni (32)	0.391	0.314	0.475	54 / 138					-	-		51.39
Salmonella	Rajaei (36)	0.595	0.487	0.695	50 / 84								48.61
Salmonella	, , ,	0.490	0.299	0.684	104 / 222					-			
Shigella	Eftekhari (39)	0.406	0.253	0.581	13/32								100.00
Shigella	. ,	0.406	0.253	0.581	13/32								
Vibrio	Adabi(29)	0.017	0.002	0.109	1/60					-			100.00
Vibrio	. /	0.017	0.002	0.109	1/60								
Overall		0.411	0.363	0.461	618 / 1574					ľ -	•		
						-1	.00	-0.50	0.	00	0.50	1.00	

Meta Analysis

Groups		Effect siz	ze and 95%	interval	Test of nu	ıll (2-Tail)		Hetero	geneity	
Group	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared
Mixed effects analy	vsis									
Acinetobacter	4	0.581	0.410	0.734	0.927	0.354	26.931	3	0.000	88.861
E.coli	4	0.303	0.181	0.463	-2.389	0.017	24.291	3	0.000	87.650
Enterobacter	1	0.264	0.190	0.354	-4.747	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
H. influenzae	1	0.024	0.001	0.287	-2.594	0.009	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
K.pneumoniae	5	0.299	0.177	0.458	-2.439	0.015	21.595	4	0.000	81.477
Pseudomonas	1	0.569	0.483	0.652	1.574	0.116	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Salmonella	2	0.490	0.299	0.684	-0.096	0.924	8.577	1	0.003	88.341
Shigella	1	0.406	0.253	0.581	-1.054	0.292	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Vibrio	1	0.017	0.002	0.109	-4.043	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Total between							81.395	11	0.000	
Overall	20	0.411	0.363	0.461	-3.452	0.001	105.772	8	0.000	
							187.166	19	0.000	89.849

Figure 2. Fores	t plot of the meta	-analvsis on pr	evalence of integron	class 1 in Gram-	negative bacteria
0		· · · · · ·			-0

noting that the bacteria were isolated from different clinical samples including blood, urine, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), Broncho Alveolar lavage (BAL), and other body fluids.

The prevalence of integron in different species of bacteria

The heterogeneity test indicated that there were heterogeneities between studies for integron class 1 (I2=89.8, P<0.001) and for integron class 2 (I2=93, P<0.001); therefore, the random effect model was used to combine the prevalence of integron class 1 and 2. As it is present in Figure 2 and 3, the combined prevalence of integron class 1 and 17.7% (95% CI 13-23.3), respectively, in gram-negative bacteria in Iran. Moreover, Figure 2 and 3 shows the forest plot of meta-analysis of integron class 1 and 2 prevalence in gram-negative bacteria, respectively.

The prevalence of integron class 1 and integron class 2 in different species

As shown in Table 2, the highest pooled prevalence rates across all reports for integron class 1 was 58% for *Acinetobacter spp* and the highest pooled prevalence for integron class 2 was 83.7% in *Shigella* isolates. In the

29 included studies, integron 3 was not detected, except in Kargar *et al.* (2014) study, which was 18/164 (10.97 %) in *Escherichia coli* isolates. Pooled prevalence rates of integron class 1 and 2 in Gram-negative bacteria with time point subgrouping are shown in Figure S1 and S2 (in supplementary materials).

The prevalence of both class 1 and 2 integron in different species of bacteria

The random effect model was used to combine the prevalence of both integron class 1 and 2 due to significant heterogeneity (I2=81, P<0.001). Pooled prevalence of both integron class 1 and 2 was 11 % (95% CI 7.7-16) in Gram-negative bacteria. Moreover, the highest and lowest pooled prevalence rates in integron class 1 and 2 were 16.7 % in *Shigella* and 5% in *E. coli* isolates, respectively (Figure 4).

The prevalence of integron class 1 in multidrug resistance isolates

The heterogeneity test indicated that there were heterogeneities (I2=96, P<0.001) between studies; therefore, the random effect model was used to combine the prevalence of integron class 1 in MDR isolates. Pooled prevalence of integron class 1 was 79 % (95%)

Table 2. Meta-analysis, prevalence of integron class 1 and 2 in all clinical and multi-drug resistance isolates

Bactoria	integron classes	In al	isolates	In MDR	isolates
DALLEIIA	-	Prevalence (%)	Heterogeneity test, I2 (%) (P value)	Prevalence (%)	Heterogeneiy test, 12 (%) (P value)
Escherichia coli	Int 1	119/399 (30.3)	87.64 (0)	165/389 (49.3)	94.63 (0)
	Int 2	36/399 (8.4)	69.87 (0.019)	84/389 (24.7)	98.01 (0)
(lebsiella pneumonia	Int 1	77/263 (29.9)	81.47 (0)	77/149 (51.7)	0 (0)
	Int 2	11/263 (5.4)	6.35 (0.37)	20/149 (13.4)	0 (1)
Acinetobacter spp	Int 1	201/338 (58.1)	88.86 (0)	103/110 (93.3)	0 (0.43)
	Int 2	58/338 (11.7)	96.24 (0)	13/30 (43.3)	0 (1)
almonella spp	Int 1	104/222 (49)	88.34 (0.003)	49/54 (89.4)	0 (0.46)
	Int 2	25/222 (11.7)	73.65 (0.051)	1/11 (9.1)	0 (1)
higella spp	Int 1	13/32 (40.6)	0 (1)	-	-
	Int 2	75/89 (83.7)	28.04 (0.23)	-	-
librio cholera	Int 1	1/60 (1.66)	0 (1)	-	-
I. influenzae	Int 1	0/20 (0)	0 (1)	-	-
	Int 2	0/20 (0)	0 (1)	-	-
Enterobacter spp.	Int 1	29/110 (26.36)	0 (1)	-	-
	Int 2	0/110 (0)	0 (1)	-	-
Pseudomonas neruginosa	Int 1	74/130 (56.92)	0 (1)	-	-
	Int 2	0/130 (0)	0 (1)	-	-

Meta Analysis

Group by	Study name	Statisti	cs for eac	h study					Event rate	and 95% CI		
		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total							Relative weight
Acinetobacter	Japoni(28)	0.034	0.011	0.100	3/88	1		1			1	25.88
Acinetobacter	Taherikhani (30)	0.140	0.085	0.223	14 / 100							27.07
Acinetobacter	Peymani (31)	0.005	0.000	0.074	0 / 100					-		20.23
Acinetobacter	Mmejad (35)	0.820	0.689	0.904	41 / 50							26.82
Acinetobacter		0.117	0.011	0.601	58/338						-	
E.coli	Haddadi (43)	0.009	0.001	0.061	1/111					-		12.06
E.coli	Memariani (44)	0.048	0.012	0.171	2/42							18.27
E.coli	Shams (48)	0.153	0.087	0.255	11/72							32.89
E.coli	Seyedjavadi (50)	0.126	0.085	0.185	22 / 174							36.78
E.coli		0.084	0.039	0.171	36/399					-		
Enterobacter	Salimian (45)	0.005	0.000	0.068	0/110					-		100.00
Enterobacter		0.005	0.000	0.068	0/110							
H. influenzae	Bromand (41)	0.024	0.001	0.287	0/20							100.00
H. influenzae		0.024	0.001	0.287	0/20							
K.pneumoniae	Mobarak (37)	0.029	0.009	0.086	3/104					-		26.23
K.pneumoniae	Derakhshan (38)	0.016	0.001	0.206	0/31							4.79
K.pneumoniae	Ashayeri (47)	0.086	0.028	0.234	3/35							24.83
K.pneumoniae	Shams (48)*	0.079	0.033	0.177	5/63							39.36
K.pneumoniae	Seyedjavadi (50)*	0.016	0.001	0.211	0/30							4.79
K.pneumoniae		0.054	0.030	0.095	11/263					٠		
Pseudomonas	Azami (46)	0.004	0.000	0.058	0 / 130					-		100.00
Pseudomonas		0.004	0.000	0.058	0 / 130							
Salmonella	Naghoni (32)	0.080	0.045	0.138	11 / 138					-		49.07
Salmonella	Rajaei (36)	0.167	0.101	0.262	14 / 84					-8		50.93
Salmonella		0.117	0.055	0.231	25/222					•		
Shigella	Ranjbar(27)	0.877	0.764	0.940	50 / 57							52.08
Shigella	Eftekhari (39)	0.781	0.607	0.892	25/32							47.92
Shigella		0.837	0.722	0.910	75 / 89			1				1
Overall		0.177	0.132	0.233	205 / 1571					•		
						4	20	0.50	•	00	0.50	00

Groups		Effect si	ze and 95%	interval	Test of n	ull (2-Tail)		Hetero	geneity	
Group	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared
Mixed effects ana	ysis									
Acinetobacter	4	0.117	0.011	0.601	-1.629	0.103	79.867	3	0.000	96.244
E.coli	4	0.084	0.039	0.171	-5.764	0.000	9.958	3	0.019	69.874
Enterobacter	1	0.005	0.000	0.068	-3.808	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
H. influenzae	1	0.024	0.001	0.287	-2.594	0.009	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
K.pneumoniae	5	0.054	0.030	0.095	-9.120	0.000	4.272	4	0.370	6.358
Pseudomonas	1	0.004	0.000	0.058	-3.927	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Salmonella	2	0.117	0.055	0.231	-4.829	0.000	3.796	1	0.051	73.655
Shigella	2	0.837	0.722	0.910	4,719	0.000	1.390	1	0.238	28.044
Total between							99.282	12	0.000	
Overall	20	0.177	0.132	0.233	-8.716	0.000	172.620	7	0.000	
							271.902	19	0.000	93.012

Figure 3. Forest plot of the meta-analysis on prevalence of integron class 2 in Gram-negative bacteria

Group by	Study name						Eve	ent rate and 95%	CI		
Bacteria		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total						Relative weight
Acinetobacter	Japoni(28)	0.023	0.006	0.086	2/88			⊨-		1	28.39
Acinetobacter	Taherikhani (30)	0.090	0.047	0.164	9 / 100						35.49
Acinetobacter	Mirnejad (35)	0.300	0.190	0.440	15 / 50				⊢		36.12
Acinetobacter		0.100	0.025	0.323	26/238				-		
E.coli	Shams (48)	0.125	0.066	0.223	9/72						52.41
E.coli	Seyedjavadi (50)	0.017	0.006	0.052	3/174			.			47.59
E.coli		0.050	0.007	0.291	12/246						
Enterobacter	Salimian (45)	0.064	0.031	0.127	7/110						100.00
Enterobacter	. ,	0.064	0.031	0.127	7/110			•			
K.pneumoniae	Ashaveri (47)	0.057	0.014	0.202	2/35						34.88
Kpneumoniae	Shams (48)*	0.222	0.136	0.341	14/63				-		46.10
K.pneumoniae	Seyedjavadi (50)	* 0.016	0.001	0.211	0/30						19.02
K.pneumoniae		0.088	0.020	0.314	16/128				.		
Salmonella	Raiaei (36)	0.167	0.101	0.262	14 / 84						100.00
Salmonella		0.167	0.101	0.262	14 / 84						
Overall		0.111	0.077	0.159	75/806			•			
						-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	

Meta Analysis

Groups		Effect si	ze and 95%	interval	Test of nu	ıll (2-Tail)		Hetero	geneity	
Group	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared
Mixed effects and	alysis									
Acinetobacter	3	0.100	0.025	0.323	-2.953	0.003	19.022	2	0.000	89.486
E.coli	2	0.050	0.007	0.291	-2.811	0.005	9.434	1	0.002	89.401
Enterobacter	1	0.064	0.031	0.127	-6.884	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
K.pneumoniae	3	0.088	0.020	0.314	-2.948	0.003	7.139	2	0.028	71.986
Salmonella	1	0.167	0.101	0.262	-5.497	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Total between							35.596	5	0.000	
Overall	10	0.111	0.077	0.159	-9.854	0.000	11.337	4	0.023	
							40.000	0	0.000	00.004

Figure 4. Forest plot of the meta-analysis on prevalence of both integron classes 1 and 2 in Gram-negative bacteria

Group by	Study name						Eve	nt rate and 95	5% CI			
Bacteria		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total						Relat wei	tive ght
Acinetobacter	Peymani (31)	0.925	0.843	0.966	74/80						10	0.00
Acinetobacter		0.925	0.843	0.966	74 / 80					-		
Ecoli	Rezayi (34)	0.220	0.155	0.304	26/118				-		2	4.90
Ecoli	Kargar (40)	0.783	0.670	0.864	54 / 69						2	3.67
Ecoli	Japoni (51)	0.333	0.266	0.409	55 / 165						2	5.73
Ecoli	Fallah (52)	0.361	0.290	0.440	56 / 155						2	5.70
Ecoli		0.417	0.241	0.617	191 / 507					-		
K.pneumoniae	Rezavi (49)	0.785	0.712	0.844	117/149				-	-	10	0.00
K.pneumoniae		0.785	0.712	0.844	117 / 149					•		
Salmonella	Firoozeh (33)	0.884	0.749	0.951	38/43						9	0.22
Salmonella	Raiaei (36)	0.958	0.575	0.997	11/11						-	9.78
Salmonella	-1	0.894	0.777	0.954	49/54					-		
Overall		0.791	0.736	0.837	431 / 790					•		
						-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.0	00	
Groups		Effect	size and	95% inte	erval	Test of n	ıll (2-Tail)		Hetero	geneity		
Group	Number Studies	Point estimate	Low Iimi	er U t	lpper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared	
Mixed effects and	lysis											
Acinetobacter	1	0.925	5 0.	843	0.966	5.919	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000	
E.coli	4	0.41	7 0.	241	0.617	-0.811	0.417	50,433	3	0.000	94.052	
K.pneumoniae	1	0.785	5 0.	712	0.844	6.499	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000	
Salmonella	2	0.894	4 0.	777	0.954	4.728	0.000	0.530	1	0.467	0.000	
Total between								50.963	4	0.000		
Overall	8	0.79	1 0.	736	0.837	8.552	0.000	130.045	3	0.000		
								181.008	7	0.000	96.133	

Meta Analysis

Figure 5. Forest plot of the meta-analysis on prevalence of integron class 1 in Gram-negative multi-drug resistance bacteria

CI 73.6-83.7) in Gram-negative MDR isolates. Moreover, the highest and lowest pooled prevalence in integron class 1 was 92.5 % in *Acinetobacter spp* and 41.7 % in *E. coli* isolates, respectively (Figure 5).

The prevalence of integron class 2 in MDR isolates

The heterogeneity test indicated that there were heterogeneities (I2=96, P<0.001) between studies; therefore, the random effect model was used to combine the prevalence of integron class 2 in MDR isolates.

Pooled prevalence of integron class 2 was 13.4 % (95% CI 9-19.5) in gram-negative MDR isolates (Figure 6).

The prevalence of both integron class 1 and 2 in multidrug resistance isolates

The random effect model was used to combine the prevalence of both integron class 1 and 2 due to significant heterogeneity (I2=80, P<0.001). Pooled prevalence of both integron class 1 and 2 was 9 % (95% CI 5.8-14) in Gram-negative MDR isolates (Figure 7).

Meta Analysis

Group by	Study name	•					Event	rate and	95% Cl		
Bacteria		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total						Relative weight
E.coli	Rezayi (34)	0.051	0.023	0.109	6/118		1	-			24.50
E.coli	Kargar (40)	0.768	0.654	0.853	53 / 69					-	25.15
E.coli	Japoni (51)	0.067	0.037	0.116	11 / 165			-			25.04
E.coli	Fallah (52)	0.129	0.085	0.192	20 / 155			-			25.32
E.coli		0.174	0.032	0.572	90 / 507						
K.pneumoniae	Rezayi (49)	0.134	0.088	0.199	20 / 149						100.00
K.pneumoniae		0.134	0.088	0.199	20 / 149			•			
Salmonella	Rajaei (36)	0.091	0.013	0.439	1/11			- -			100.00
Salmonella		0.091	0.013	0.439	1/11						
Overall		0.134	0.090	0.194	111 / 667			•			
						-1.00	-0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	
							Favours A		Favours B		

Groups Group	l oggie display	Effect si	ze and 95%	interval	Test of n	ull (2-Tail)	Heterogeneity			
	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared
Mixed effects ana	lysis									
E.coli	4	0.174	0.032	0.572	-1.651	0.099	116.433	3	0.000	97.423
K.pneumoniae	1	0.134	0.088	0.199	-7.757	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Salmonella	1	0.091	0.013	0.439	-2.195	0.028	0.000	0	1.000	0.000
Total between							116.433	3	0.000	
Overall	6	0.134	0.090	0.194	-8.212	0.000	3,712	2	0.156	
							120.145	5	0.000	95.838

Figure 6. Forest plot of the meta-analysis on prevalence of integron class 2 in Gram-negative MDR bacteria

0.090

0.058

Group by	Study name					Event rate and 95% Cl							
Bacteria		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Total					F	Relative weight		
E.coli	Japoni (51)	0.048	0.024	0.094	8 / 165					1	60.13		
E.coli	Fallah (52)	0.013	0.003	0.050	2/155			.			39.87		
E.coli		0.029	0.008	0.099	10/320								
K.pneumoniae	Rezayi (49)	0.107	0.067	0.168	16/149						100.00		
K.pneumoniae		0.107	0.067	0.168	16/149			•					
Overall		0.090	0.058	0.139	26 / 469			•					
						-1.00	-0.50	0.00 0	.50	1.00			
						Favours A	Favours B						
Groups		Effect s	size and 9	5% interv	val	Test of nu	ıll (2-Tail)		Hetero	aeneitv			
Group	Number Studies	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upp lim	per nit	Z-value	P-value	Q-value	df (Q)	P-value	l-squared		
Mixed effects ana	lysis												
E.coli	2	0.029	0.0	08	0.099	-5.283	0.000	2.902	1	0.088	65.53		
K.pneumoniae Total between	1	0.107	0.0	67	0.168	-8.003	0.000	0.000	0	1.000	0.00		
	_							2.002		0.000			

Meta Analysis

Figure 7. Forest plot of the meta-analysis on prevalence of both integron classes 1 and 2 in gram-negative multi-drug resistance bacteria

0.139

Discussion

Recently, the spread of integron has become a dilemma for infection control in health care systems. The current systematic review focused on the prevalence of integrons in the isolates recovered from clinical samples and their interactions with MDR in Iran. Although different comprehensive analysis for bacterial genomes revealed that approximately 9-17% of sequenced bacterial genomes carry an integron integrase (51), the current systematic review reports the rates of 41% and 17.7%

Overall

for the existence of integron class 1 and 2 among clinical strains in Iran. Based on our analysis, the prevalence of both class 1 and 2, simultaneously, in clinical isolates was found to be 11 %. The high prevalence of integron was detected among *Acinetobacter spp* isolates (58%).

0.006

0.006

80,669

7 4 4 4

10.346

0.000

-9.388

Given the high prevalence of integron class 1 in Acinetobacter spp isolates, several hypotheses can be deduced. First, improper use of antibiotic for treatment of Acinetobacter spp leads to express gene cassettes contained within integrons class 1 and, as a result, MDR will occur. Second, the ability of integrons to acquire

new gene cassettes, and to rearrange those already within arrays, due to antibiotic selective pressure, leads to disseminating antibiotic resistance among *Acinetobacter* spp clinical isolates. Finally, failure to implement standard principles of infection control in hospitals and health care settings leads to survival of MDR *Acinetobacter* spp isolates carrying integron and dissemination of resistance integrons between other *Acinetobacter* spp isolates and bacteria.

Although it is well established that in *Shigella* spp, the spread of resistance genes is mostly facilitated by the ability of this bacterium to acquire transposons or plasmids, the present analysis revealed that the highest prevalence of integron class 2 was 83.7 % in Shigella isolates. Unfortunately, in Iran, physicians treat patients with diarrhea without considering the susceptibility testing results and even in many cases patients with diarrhea take antibiotic therapy prior to visiting a doctor, regardless of whether the diarrhea was caused by bacteria or virus. Of course, the improper use of antibiotics in domestic animals either therapeutically or for the purpose of growth promotion which leads to MDR patterns and high occrance of mobile resistance integrons should not be overlooked (52). Therefore, as a part of the public health strategy, it is important to monitor the prevalence of integron and regional and local antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Shigella* clinical isolates.

Our analyses showed that the frequencies of class 1 integron in MDR (79%) and non MDR isolates (41%) were higher than those of class 2 integron in MDR (13.4%) and non MDR isolates (17.7%). Particularly, the high frequency of class 1 integron, as a major experimental model of integron; moreover, its role in the distribution and spread of antimicrobial resistance has been well established. It seems that the location of class 1 integrons on genetic elements such as conjugative plasmids and transposons provide further support of this idea that class 1 integrons are widespread as compared to the other classes (15).

According to our analyses, only one study reported the existence of class 3 integron (10.97%), which is in accordance with world reports (53). Up to now, class 3 integrons have been described in *Acinetobacter* spp., *Alcaligenes, Citrobacter freundii, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, P. putida, Salmonella* spp, and *Serratia marcescens.* Based on the previous published data, it is demonstrated that class 3 integrons from clinical contexts are associated with antibiotic resistance. Therefore, they do not carry a great diversity of gene cassettes (54).

Our results clearly suggest that integron, as indicator of drug resistance, could pose a challenge for public health surveillance. Ostensibly, the emergence and increasingly widespread of introng-related resistance among clinical strains in Iran is a challenge for public health surveillance and support the hypothesis of improper use of antimicrobial agents, because of the low cost of many drugs, inappropriate antibiotic prescription protocols, and failure to implement standard principles of infection control. In this regard, physicians and patients should be educated about prescriptions and use of drugs. As previously stated, antibiotic resistance, as a global multifaceted phenomenon, has become a major threat to global health which highlights the need for heightened awareness among clinicians, veterinarians, scientists, and policymakers and also implementation of action plans to reduce the spread of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms (1). The increasing global phenomenon of antimicrobial resistance is commonly linked to the "selective pressure" caused by the inappropriate use, overuse, or underuse of antibiotics in humans and animals. On the other hand, the role of antibiotics usage in agriculture that leads to antibiotic resistance in bacteria living on plant surfaces, which might then be transferred into clinically important bacteria, should not be ignored (1, 2, 16).

Iran is a middle income country that consumes a high volume of antibiotics in the world. Overall, Iranian Health Ministry broadly outlines different policies as cornerstones of the effort to tackle antimicrobial resistance including 1) education and improvement of awareness about antimicrobial resistance and selfmedication, 2) prohibition of antibiotic sales without a medical prescription, 3) establishment of national laboratories with the ability to identify resistant bacteria, 4) recruitment of clinical pharmacists as an important stakeholder beside the other physicians in respect to antibiotic management, and 5) implementation of national surveillance program and standard infection control measures to reduce the incidence of infection and limited and rational use of antimicrobial agents (55, 56).

The present study had some limitations which should be considered prior to interpretation of the results. Indeed, the present meta-analysis, included studies from almost all regions of Iran. In fact, only the chosen studies were included in the analysis; therefore, the number of eligible studies selected could possibly affect the statistical analysis for detecting funnel plot asymmetry, which could lead to publication bias. As a result, because of the restricted information obtained from the included articles, the demographic data, history of hospitalization, and previous antibiotic treatment history could not be analyzed. There was also a considerable heterogeneity among the included studies.

Conclusion

Our data supports the claim that integrons are prevalent in Iran. The emergence of integron and extremely rapid spread of MDR in different bacteria species is becoming a serious public health concern in Iran. The present systematic review presents the prevalence of integrons in different bacteria species. Overall, the current article emphasizes that detection of integron as remarkable genetic platforms with the ability to acquire, rearrange, and express diverse genes should be prioritized in different bacteria species isolated from patients in Iran.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported financially by the grant (No. 1396/67196) from Student Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Conflicts of Interest

We declare no conflict of interest for the authors of the present study.

References

1. Ferri M, Ranucci E, Romagnoli P, Giaccone V. Antimicrobial resistance: a global emerging threat to public health systems. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 2017; 57:2857-2876.

2. Prestinaci F, Pezzotti P, Pantosti A. Antimicrobial resistance: a global multifaceted phenomenon. Pathog Glob Health 2015; 109:309-318.

3. Cambray G, Guerout AM, Mazel D. Integrons. Annu Rev Genet 2010; 44:141-166.

4.Boucher Y, Labbate M, Koenig JE, Stokes HW. Integrons: mobilizable platforms that promote genetic diversity in bacteria. Trends Microbiol 2007; 15:301-309.

5. Hall RM. Integrons and gene cassettes: hotspots of diversity in bacterial genomes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012; 1267:71-78.

6. Gillings MR. Integrons: past, present, and future. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2014; 78:257-277.

7. Messier N, Roy PH. Integron integrases possess a unique additional domain necessary for activity. J Bacteriol 2001; 183:6699-6706.

8. Jove T, Da Re S, Denis F, Mazel D, Ploy MC. Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. PLoS Genet 2010; 6:e1000793.

9. Davies J, Davies D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2010; 74:417-433.

10. Partridge SR. Analysis of antibiotic resistance regions in Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2011; 35:820-855.

11. Hochhut B, Lotfi Y, Mazel D, Faruque SM, Woodgate R, Waldor MK. Molecular analysis of antibiotic resistance gene clusters in vibrio cholerae 0139 and 01 SXT constins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45:2991-3000.

12. Sorum H, Roberts MC, Crosa JH. Identification and cloning of a tetracycline resistance gene from the fish pathogen *Vibrio salmonicida*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1992; 36:611-615. 13. Partridge SR, Tsafnat G, Coiera E, Iredell JR. Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2009; 33:757-784.

14. Wright GD. Antibiotic resistome: A framework linking the clinic and the environment: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey; 2012.

15. Mazel D. Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006; 4:608-620.

16. Mobaraki S, Aghazadeh M, Barhaghi MH, Memar MY, Goli HR, Gholizadeh P, Kafil HS. Prevalence of integrons 1, 2, 3 associated with antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from Northwest of Iran. BioMedicine. 2018;8:12-17.

17. Zeighami H, Haghi F, Masumian N, Hemmati F, Samei A, Naderi G. Distribution of Integrons and Gene Cassettes Among Uropathogenic and Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* Isolates in Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2015; 21:435-440.

18. Moazami Goudarzi S, Eftekhar F. Multidrug resistance and integron carriage in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Tehran, Iran. Turk J Med Sci 2015; 45:789-793.

19. Peymani A, Farajnia S, Nahaei MR, Sohrabi N, Abbasi L, Ansarin K, *et al.* Prevalence of class 1 integron among multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Tabriz, northwest of Iran. Pol J Microbiol 2012; 61:57-60.

20. Van Tulder M, Furlan A, Bombardier C, Bouter L, Group EBotCCBR. Updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review Group. Spine 2003; 28:1290-1299.

21. Houri H, Pormohammad A, Riahi SM, Nasiri MJ, Fallah F, Dabiri H, *et al.* Acute bacterial meningitis in Iran: Systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one 2017; 12:e0169617.

22. Pormohammad A, Riahi S-M, Nasiri MJ, Fallah F, Aghazadeh M, Doustdar F, *et al.* Diagnostic test accuracy of adenosine deaminase for tuberculous meningitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect 2017; 74:545-554.

23. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche

PC, Ioannidis JP, *et al.* The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. Ann Intern Med 2009; 151:W-65-W-94.

24. Munn Z, Moola S, Lisy K, Riitano D. The Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2014. The systematic review of prevalence and incidence data. Adelaide, SA: The Joanna Briggs Institute 2014.

25. Ranjbar R, Aleo A, Giammanco GM, Dionisi AM, Sadeghifard N, Mammina C. Genetic relatedness among isolates of *Shigella sonnei* carrying class 2 integrons in Tehran, Iran, 2002–2003. BMC Infect Dis 2007; 7:1.

26. Japoni S, Japoni A, Farshad S, Ali AA, JAMALIdOUST M. Association between existence of integrons and multi-drug resistance in Acinetobacter isolated from patients in southern Iran. Pol J Microbiol 2011; 60:163-168.

27. Adabi M, Bakhshi B, Goudarzi H, Zahraei SM, Pourshafie MR. Distribution of class I integron and sulfamethoxazole trimethoprim constin in *Vibrio cholerae* isolated from patients in Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2009; 15:179-184.

28. Taherikalani M, Maleki A, Sadeghifard N, Mohammadzadeh D, Soroush S, Asadollahi P, *et al.* Dissemination of class 1, 2 and 3 integrons among different multidrug resistant isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Tehran hospitals, Iran. Iran Pol J Microbiol 2011; 60:169-174.

29. Peymani A, Farajnia S, Mohammad R, Nasrollah Sohrabi L, Abbasi Ka, Azhari F. Prevalence of class 1 integron among multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Tabriz, northwest of Iran. Pol J Microbiol 2012;61(1):57-60.

30. Naghoni A, Ranjbar R, Tabaraie B, Farshad S, Owlia P, Safiri Z, *et al.* High prevalence of integron-mediated resistance in clinical isolates of *Salmonella enterica*. Jpn J InfectDis 2010; 63:417-421.

31. Firoozeh F, Shahcheraghi F, Salehi TZ, Karimi V, Aslani M. Antimicrobial resistance profile and presence of class I integrongs among *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated from human clinical specimens in Tehran, Iran. Iran J Microbiol 2011; 3:112-117.

32. Rezaee MA, Sheikhalizadeh V, Hasani A. Detection of integrons among multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from clinical specimens in northern west of Iran. Braz J Microbiol 2011; 42:1308-1313.

33. Mirnejad R, Mostofi S, Masjedian F. Antibiotic resistance and carriage class 1 and 2 integrons in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* from Tehran, Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 2013; 3:140-145.

34. Rajaei B, Siadat SD, Razavi MR, Aghasadeghi MR, Rad NS, Badmasti F, *et al.* Expanding drug resistance through integron acquisition in Salmonella spp. isolates obtained in Iran. Afr J Microbiol Res 2011; 5:2249-2253.

35. Mobarak-Qamsari M, Ashayeri-Panah M, Eftekhar F, Feizabadi MM. Integron mediated multidrug resistance in extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Braz J Microbiol 2013; 44:849-854.

36. Derakhshan S, Peerayeh SN, Fallah F, Bakhshi B, Rahbar M, Ashrafi A. Detection of class 1, 2, and 3 integrons among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from children in Tehran hospitals. Arch Pediatr Infect Dis 2014; 2:164-168.

37. Eftekhari N, Bakhshi B, Pourshafie MR, Zarbakhsh B, Rahbar M, Hajia M, *et al.* Genetic diversity of Shigella spp. and their integron content. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2013; 10:237-242.

38. Kargar M, Mohammadalipour Z, Doosti A, Lorzadeh S, Japoni-Nejad A. High prevalence of class 1 to 3 integrons among multidrug-resistant diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in Southwest of Iran. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2014; 5:193-198.

39. Goudarzi G, Lashkarara M. Prevalence of *aac (3)-IIa, aph (3)-Ia* and *ant (2)-Ia* Genes among Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolates. Med Lab J 2014; 8:61-67.

40. Peerayeh SN, Karmostaji A. Molecular identification of resistance determinants, integrons and genetic relatedness of extensively drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolated from hospitals in Tehran, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2015; 8: e27021.

41. Azam H. Prevalence of class 1 and 2 integrons among the multidrug resistant uropathogenic strains of *Escherichia coli*. Asian Biomed 2015; 9:49-51.

42. Memariani M, Peerayeh SN, Mostafavi SKS, Salehi TZ. Detection of Class 1 and 2 Integrons Among Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Isolates. Arch Ped Infect Dis 2014; 2: e16372.

43. Rizi KS, Najar-Peerayeh S, Bakhshi B, Rahbar M. Prevalence of ESBLs and Integrons in Clinical Isolates of Salmonella spp. From Four Hospitals of Tehran. Int J Enteric Pathog 2015; 3: e21820.

44. Azami S, Abdi Ali A, Asgarani E. Association Between Metallo-β-lactamases and Integrons with Multi-Drug Resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates. J Med Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 1:46-51.

45. Ashayeri-Panah M, Feizabadi MM, Eftekhar F. Correlation of Multi-drug Resistance, Integron and bla ESBL Gene Carriage With Genetic Fingerprints of Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2014; 7: e8747.

46. Shams F, Hasani A, Rezaee MA, Nahaie MR, Hasani A, Haghi MHSB, *et al.* Carriage of Class 1 and 2 Integrons in Quinolone, Extended-Spectrum- β -Lactamase-Producing and Multi Drug Resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*: High Burden of Antibiotic Resistance. Adv Pharm Bull 2015; 5:335.

47. Rezaee MA, Langarizadeh N, Aghazadeh M. First report of class 1 and class 2 integrons in multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from northwest Iran. Jpn J InfectDis

2012; 65:256-259.

48. Seyedjavadi S, Eslami G, Goudarzi M, Goudarzi H, Fallah F. Integrons and multidrug resistance among E. coli and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from children with urinary tract infections. Research in Medicine 2013; 7:243.

49. Japoni A, Gudarzi M, Farshad S, Basiri E, Ziyaeyan M, Alborzi A, *et al.* Assay for integrons and pattern of antibiotic resistance in clinical *Escherichia coli* strains by PCR-RFLP in Southern Iran. Jpn J Infect Dis 2008; 61:85.

50. Fallah F, Karimi A, Goudarzi M, Shiva F, Navidinia M, Hadipour Jahromi M, *et al.* Determination of integron frequency by a polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism method in multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli*, which causes Urinary tract infections. Microb Drug Resist 2012; 18:546-549.

51. Cambray G, Guerout A-M, Mazel D. Integrons. Annual review of genetics 2010; 44:141-166.

52. Gillings MR. Integrons: past, present, and future. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2014; 78:257-277.

53. Fluit A, Schmitz FJ. Resistance integrons and superintegrons. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004; 10:272-288.

54. Goldstein C, Lee MD, Sanchez S, Hudson C, Phillips B, Register B, *et al.* Incidence of class 1 and 2 integrases in clinical and commensal bacteria from livestock, companion animals, and exotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45:723-726. 55. Abdollahiasl A, Kebriaeezadeh A, Nikfar S, Farshchi A, Ghiasi G, Abdollahi M. Patterns of antibiotic consumption in Iran during 2000–2009. I Int J Antimicrob Agents 2011; 37:489-490.

56. Soleymani F, Valadkhani M, Dinarvand R. Challenges and achievements of promoting rational use of drugs in Iran. Iran J Public Health 2009. 38:166-168.