https://ijbms.mums.ac.ir

IJ MS

Targeting autophagy for breast cancer prevention and therapy: From classical methods to phytochemical agents

Sadegh Rajabi ¹, Heewa Shakib ², Nahid Safari-Alighiarloo ³, Marc Maresca ^{4*}, Maryam **Hamzeloo-Moghadam 5 ***

1 Traditional Medicine and Materia Medica Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

2 Cellular and Molecular Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Endocrine Research Center, Institute of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

4 Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13013 Marseille, France

5 Traditional Medicine and Materia Medica Research Center and Department of Traditional Pharmacy, School of Traditional Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article type: Review

Article history: Received: Apr 19, 2024 Accepted: Jun 2, 2024

Keywords: Autophagy Breast cancer Cell death Phytochemicals Targeted therapy

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous illness comprising diverse biological subtypes, each of which differs in incidence, response to therapies, and prognosis. Desp¹te the presence of novel medications that effectively target vital cellular signaling pathways $e^{i\theta}$, their application in clinical practice, breast cancer can still develop resistance to therapies by ν rious mechanisms. Autophagy is a conserved catabolic cellular process that maintains in ac , $\frac{d}{dx}$ metabolic homeostasis by removing dysfunctional or unnecessary cellular materials to recycle cytosolic components. This process serves as an adaptive survival response to diverse stress stime if thereby contributing to tumor initiation, progression, and drug resistance, leading to estriction of the effectiveness of chemotherapeutic treatments. Regarding this potential role of auto_{ph}agy, molecular regulation and signal transduction of this process represent an attractive a_1 proach to combat cancer development and drug resistance. Among various therapeutic agents, bioa tive plant-derived compounds have received significant interest as promising anticancer drugs. A piction of evidence has shown that phytochemicals with the capacity to modulate autophagy may have the potential to be used as inhibitors of breast cancer growth. In this review, we describe recent findings on autophagy targeting along with conventional methods for breast cancer therapy. Subsequently, we introduce phytochemical compounds with the capacity to modulate autopha_{b,} for breast cancer treatment. Signal of this process tepresent and uniquely solution and solution of individual sciences. Tehran, Iran

A B S T R A C T

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous illness comprising diverse ioto, arcas differs in incidence, resp

*►*Please cite this article as:

Rajabi S, Shakib H, Safari-Alighiarloo N, Maresca M, Ham, eloophagam M. Targeting autophagy for breast cancer prevention and therapy: From classical methods to phytochemical agents Iran J Basic / led Sci 2024; 27:

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common ϵ nc ϵ globally, and estimates show that the burd cn of breast cancer will increase to more than 3 million new cases and 1 million deaths annually by 2040 (1). Breast cancer can be quietly cured using current therapeutic μ , th as, especially when the disease is in its early stages. However, many breast cancer patients resist regular pharmacological therapies (2). Thus, a deeper comprehension of the processes leading to the emergence of resistance contributes to improving current treatment regimens and may result in developing new remedies that help to overcome therapy resistance.

Autophagy, which translates to "self-eating," is a tightly controlled catabolic cellular activity conserved from yeast to more complex eukaryotes such as humans (3). Cytoplasmic components are transported into the lysosome, where resident hydrolases break them down to maintain cellular homeostasis. The breakdown products are then recycled into the cytoplasm (3). Since basal autophagy inhibits the gradual accumulation of damaged protein aggregates and organelles, it acts as the quality-control machinery for cytoplasmic components and is crucial for homeostasis (4).

Additionally, the proper function of autophagy is essential for preserving other aspects of cellular physiology, such as well-organized cell metabolism and genomic integrity (5).

Various pathological conditions such as cancer, aging, metabolic disorders, and neurological illnesses are associated with autophagy dysfunction (6). The role of autophagy in cancer is more complicated than other pathophysiological conditions due to its dual role in suppressing or promoting carcinogenesis (7). Several factors affect autophagy's paradoxical function in tumorigenesis, including tumor stage, nutrient availability, immune status, pathogenic conditions, and microenvironment stress (8). Autophagy suppresses tumor development in the early stages. Still, several studies have indicated that autophagy plays an important role in cancer progression in the late stages after establishing cancer (9). Autophagy also has a diverse effect on breast cancer, including tumor-suppressive and tumorpromoting impacts at every stage of tumorigenesis (10).

Plants contain a variety of phytochemicals that are beneficial for human health (11). Phytochemical compounds show many biological activities, including anticancer, anti‐ inflammatory, anti-oxidant, and antimicrobial effects (12).

,Corresponding authors: Maryam Hamzeloo-Moghadam. Traditional Medicine and Materia Medica Research Center and Department of Traditional Pharmacy, **
School of Traditional Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sci CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13013 Marseille, France. Email: m.maresca@univ-amu.fr

 \bigodot \bigodot \bigodot 2024 mums.ac.ir All rights reserved. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

These compounds show anticancer effects by inducing cell death, targeting signaling pathways, regulating oxidative stress, and inhibiting metastasis in cancer cells (13). Various phytochemicals have been shown to act as potent autophagy modulators in cancer cells (14). This review article overviews the molecular mechanisms implicated in autophagy and the importance of autophagy targeting in breast cancer. Additionally, we outline recent findings on autophagy modulation combined with conventional methods for treating breast cancer. Finally, we introduce phytochemical compounds that modulate autophagy to inhibit breast cancer initiation, promotion, and progression.

Molecular mechanisms of autophagy

There are three different types of autophagy: 1) macroautophagy, which is characterized by the formation of a unique double-membrane organelle of autophagosome; 2) microautophagy, which involves the lysosome membrane invagination, protrusion, and septation to engulf cytoplasm at the lysosome surface directly; and 3) chaperone-mediated autophagy, which is mediated by direct translocation of proteins across the lysosomal surface through a putative pore (15). Macroautophagy is the best-studied type and will be referred to as autophagy in this review. Autophagy can also be categorized into selective and nonselective autophagy based on how cargo is delivered to the lysosome (16). Damaged proteins (aggrephagy), damaged organelles such as mitochondria (mitophagy), endoplasmic reticulum (endoplasmic reticulum-phagy), and invaded pathogens (xenophagy) are degraded by selective autophagy. In

contrast, nonselective autophagy is triggered during cellular stress conditions such as starvation, which converts cytoplasmic contents into molecules rich in energy to be used for cell recovery (17).

Autophagy involves the formation of a double-membrane vesicle known as autophagosome, which is encapsulated by autophagy-related gene products (ATGs). By fusing with lysosomes, the autophagosome degrades its contents via lysosomal hydrolase activity (18). An autophagosome is typically formed by passing the following stages: initiation, phagophore nucleation, phagophore membrane elongation/ expansion, autophagosome maturation, and fusion with the lysosome. Figure 1 depicts a schematic representation of the autophagy process in intracellular spaces.

Initiation

Several factors can activate autophagy, including starvation, hypoxia, deficiency of growth factors, ATP/AMP ratio, intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels, pathogens, and chemical agents (19). The phagophore assembly site (PAS) is a subc α iu. r location where ATGs are recruited following the activation of intracellular signaling pathways (20). The origin of the phagophore and PAS in mammalian cells is x rently a topic of debate. However, increasing ev^{\dagger} now that the phagophore formation occurs in an *ndop* asmic reticulum (ER) membrane subdomain ca^{ted} omegasome. This membrane is enriched with p^h osphatid linositol 3-phosphate (PI3P) (21).

 Av (o_k ['] agosome formation is initiated by the assembly of Ul^TC-5₁-like kinase (ULK) complex comprising ULK1,

Figure 1. Overview of autophagy process

Initiation: Autophagy is triggered under stresses like starvation, inducing mTORC1 inhibition and releasing ULK1. Consequently, ULK1 phosphorylates and activates Atg13, Atg101, and FIP200. Adenosine monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which measures the energy status of the cell, also stimulates the ULK complex. Nucleation: ULK1 protein phosphorylates and activates a class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3KC3) complex. This complex consists of Beclin1, Vps34, Vps15, AMBRA1, UVRAG (p63), and Atg14. As a result of the activation of the PI3KC3 complex, PI3P is produced at the site of phagophore formation, which is essential for subsequent autophagy regulatory proteins to be recruited. Elongation/Expansion: The phagophore expands using multiple lipid sources to form enclosed vesicles called autophagosomes upon initiation and nucleation. Autophagosome formation is predominantly modulated by two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems, ATG5-ATG12 and ATG8-phosphatidylethanolamine (PE). These systems involve ATG5, ATG12, ATG10, ATG16, and the ATG8 family members, including LC3 and GABARAP subfamilies, which conjugate to the lipids and recruit to the membrane. Maturation and Fusion: Upon completion, autophagosomes undergo a maturation process that seems to occur almost simultaneously with the separation from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Maturation is associated with the dissociation of PIP3 by phosphoinositide phosphatases and the cleavage of Atg8/LC3 through the action of the Atg4 protease family. A mature phagophore eventually surrounds the destined cargo and fuses to form a double membrane phagosome. Once most ATG machinery is released, the encapsulated cargo is transported into the acidic and hydrolytic lysosomal lumen to be degraded. This final step necessitates the fusion of the outer autophagosomal membrane with the lysosome, leading to the formation of the autolysosome. LC3 (Microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3) plays an important role in autophagosome formation, selecting substrates for lysis by the autolysosome. LC3 is synthesized as pro-LC3, processed, and cleaved by ATG4 to produce LC3-I, which is then conjugated to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) by Atg7 and Atg3 to form LC3-II. LC3-II is located on the outer or inner side of the expanding phagophore's membrane, and its presence is critical for autophagy regulation.

ULK2, FIP200, ATG13, and ATG101 (22). Autophagy is suppressed in normal conditions by the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), which phosphorylates and inhibits the ULK1 complex (23). Autophagy is triggered under stresses like starvation, inducing mTORC1 inhibition and releasing ULK1. Consequently, ULK1 phosphorylates and activates Atg13, Atg101, and FIP200 (24). Adenosine monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which measures the energy status of the cell, also stimulates the ULK complex (25). Two upstream kinases known as the tumor suppressor, liver kinase B1 (LKB1) and calcium/ calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase-β (CaMKKβ), activate AMPK (26). The active AMPK phosphorylates ULK1 on multiple sites to stimulate the ULK1 complex and impedes mTORC1 via phosphorylating TSC2 and raptor (27). As a result, ULK1 is a point at which AMPK and mTOR regulate a certain metabolic pathway in opposing ways (28).

Nucleation

In autophagy, the process of mobilizing a small group of molecules toward the PAS is called nucleation. The nucleation process results in phagophore expansion through further recruitment of proteins (29). ULK1 protein phosphorylates and activates a class ІІІ phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3KC3) complex. This complex consists of Bcl-2-interacting myosin-like coiled-coil (Beclin1), Vacuolar protein sorting 34 (Vps34), Vps15, activation molecule in Beclin 1-regulated autophagy protein 1 (AMBRA1), UV radiation resistance-associated gene protein (UVRAG; also known as p63), and Atg14 (30). As a result of the activation of the PI3KC3 complex, PI3P is produced at the site of phagophore formation, which is essential for subsequent autophagy regulatory proteins to be recruited (31). By recruiting effector proteins, like WD repeat-domain phosphoinositide-interacting proteins (WIPIs) and zincfinger FYVE domain-containing protein 1 (DFCP1), PI3P on the PAS prepares the phagophore for elongation (32).

Elongation/expansion of phagophore

The phagophore expands using multiple l vid sources to form enclosed vesicles called autophagoson os upon
initiation and nucleation. Autor age some formation Autophagosome formation. is predominantly modulated by two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems, ATG5-ATG12 and ATG8 phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) $(3\sqrt{ATG12})$ binds ATG5 by E1-like ATG7 and E2-like ATG10, interacting with ATG16 to form the ATG12-ATG5-ATG16 complex. This complex promotes autophagosome membrane expansion (34). These proteins aid the ATG8 family members, which are comprised of the microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3 (LC3) and GABA type A receptorassociated protein (GABARAP) subfamilies, to bind the membrane lipids (35). LC3 is synthesized as pro-LC3 and then is processed and cleaved by ATG4 to produce a diffuse cytosolic form known as LC3-I. Subsequently, LC3-I conjugates to PE by Atg7 and Atg3 to form LC3-II (36). LC3- II can be located on the outer or inner side of the expanding phagophore's membrane, and it plays an essential role in selecting substrates for lysis by the autolysosome. A mature phagophore eventually surrounds the destined cargo and fuses to form a double membrane phagosome (37).

Maturation and fusion

Upon completion, autophagosomes undergo a maturation process that seems to occur almost simultaneously, along with separation from ER (38). Maturation is associated with the dissociation of PIP3 by phosphoinositide phosphatases and the cleavage of ATG8/LC3 through the action of the ATG4 protease family. As a result, a large portion of the autophagosome-associated ATG proteins dissociates from the complex, leading to the activation of the fusion machinery (39). Once most ATG machinery is released, the encapsulated cargo is transported into the acidic and hydrolytic lysosomal lumen to be degraded. This final step necessitates the fusion of the outer autophagosomal membrane with the lysosome, which is facilitated by several proteins, including soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment receptors (SNAREs), membranetethering complexes, and Rab GTPases (40). Rab proteins bind particular membranes and draw tethering complexes, which serve as a bridge to connect the compartments for fusion. Consequently, SNARE proteins use these tethering complexes to physically drive the fusion of opposing lipid bilayers (41).

Targeting autophagy for breast cand r therapy

Breast cancer is a heterogene us amor with distinct biological features that result $\frac{1}{2}$ different response patterns to various therapies (42) . Breast cancer can be divided into at least three subtypes b_i ed on the expression of estrogen receptor (ER) , ∞ sterone receptor (PR) , and human epidermal growth actor receptor 2 (HER2). Triple-negative tumors (TNBC) lack ER, PR, and HER2 expression (43). Lumin. breast cancer is classified into types A and B. Luminal A is the most common breast cancer subtype characterized by ER+ and/or PR+/HER2⁻ status (44). Luminal B accounts for 10% of all breast cancer cases and exhibits a lower expression of ER and low or no expression of PR. The HER2 subtype (HER2+/ER−/PR−) occurs in 20– 25% of breast cancers worldwide (44). of mobilizing a small group
bilayers (41).

S is called nucleation. The **Targeting autophagy for breast cance** it a

in phagophore expansion Breast cancer is a heterogene. The proteins (29) ULK1 protein biological feature

Autophagy plays a crucial role in breast tumor cell survival. This function of autophagy in improving/reducing breast tumor viability is primarily due to its antitumor and tumor-promoting properties (45). Besides proliferation, autophagy profoundly affects metastasis and invasion of breast tumors (45). Generally, autophagy promotes metastasis in the early stage, as it affects circulating tumor cells' dissemination and survival and dormant tumor cells' maintenance and survival. Autophagy, on the other hand, exhibits antimetastatic effects in the late stages of metastasis because it prevents the development of aggressive cancer cell subpopulations and macrometastatic growth. Due to autophagy's complicated function, inhibiting or inducing autophagy in tumors requires careful consideration (10).

Breast cancer molecular subtypes affect autophagy's function differently and differ in the expression of autophagy-related proteins and metastatic organs (46). For instance, LC3-II overexpression is associated with a poor prognosis in TNBC, while it is not a biomarker in other breast tumor types. Autophagy can promote metastasis and decrease a patient's response to therapy in TNBC (47). Additionally, autophagy-targeting treatments require biomarkers that accurately reflect autophagy status. For example, autophagy-related proteins such as Beclin1, LC3-I, LC3-II, and p62 indicate autophagy status in human breast tumors (48).

Although manipulating autophagy for breast cancer therapy is fraught with challenges, modulating autophagy may be effective as a monotherapy or in combination with

other treatments for breast cancer (49). Chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hormone therapy, and targeted therapy are

currently available treatments for metastatic breast cancer (50). Stimulation of autophagy could enhance therapeutic effects by increasing the death of cancer cells. However, coadministration of an autophagy inhibitor during protective autophagy may alleviate treatment resistance and enhance therapeutic effects (10). The following sections discuss autophagy modulation in combination with conventional methods against breast cancer in preclinical and clinical studies. Table 1 shows the relationship between autophagy and different treatment methods for breast cancer.

Radiation therapy and autophagy

Radiation therapy is one of the three classical treatment modalities for breast cancer patients with lymph node metastases (51). However, it frequently fails to control tumor growth due to the development of resistance and dose-limiting side effects (51). Ionizing radiation (IR) is known to activate autophagy *in vitro* and *in vivo*, most likely by modulating endoplasmic stress and acting on the mTOR pathway. However, the underlying mechanism is unknown (52).

Combining autophagy inhibitors and radiation therapy has demonstrated strong efficiency in treating cancer. For instance, autophagy inhibitors BafA1 and chloroquine (CQ) markedly boost radiation-induced death in MDA-MB 231 breast cancer cells (53). This increase in radio sensitivity seems to be due to the suppression of transforming growth factor-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) (53). Zhou *et al*. investigated the effects of inhibition of checkpoint kinase 1 (Chk1) on the radio-sensitivity of human TNBC cell lines MDA-MB-231, BT-549, and CAL-51 as well as MDA-MB-231 xenograft tumors in nude mice (54). According to their findings, irradiation significantly increased autophagy marked by enhancing the number of autophagosomes, elevating Atg5 levels, and stimulating the transformation of LC3-I to LC3-II. However, pretreatment with Chk1 inhibitor repressed the observed effects, suggesting that inhibition of Chk1 amplifies TNBC cell radio-sensitivity by obstructing irradiation-mediated autophagy (54). Another study revealed that the radio-resistant MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells showed a noticeably higher degree of autophagy following IR than radiosensitive HBL-100 cells, indicating that autophagy serves a critical role in protecting breast cancer cells from IR (55).

Chemotherapy and autophagy

By blocking autophagy, tume cells may become more susceptible to standard medications or lose their chemotherapeutic resistance. CQ and its analog hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), as anti-malaria drugs, are the only recognized autophor winhibitors that can be used for cancer there ρ y. CQ and HCQ improve the cytotoxicity of several medications, including 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, and

Table 1. Relationship between autophagy and classical methods of breast cancer therapy

Chk1: Checkpoint kinase 1; TNBC: Triple-negative breast cancer; ER: Estrogen receptor; PR: Progesterone receptor; HER2: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; G6PD: Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; HDACI: Histone deacetylase inhibitor

temozolomide (56). For instance, in a study, combination therapy using trastuzumab and CQ efficiently reduced breast tumor growth by >90% in HER2+ breast cancer xenografts resistant to trastuzumab (57).

As the literature indicates, PI3K/AKT inhibitors can induce autophagy in different cancers, leading to drug resistance in aggressive tumors. In this regard, Cocco *et al*. have declared that two PI3K/AKT inhibitors, ipatasertib and taselisib, could induce autophagy in TNBC *in vitro* and *in vivo*. They showed that CQ, in combination with conventional paclitaxel (PTX), strongly potentiates the antitumor activity of PI3K/AKT suppressors (58). Researchers created a novel method based on co-delivery of an ATG7 siRNA and docetaxel (DTX) in a cross-linked, reducible, peptide-based micellar system for breast cancer treatment. Their research revealed that breast cancer cell lines vary significantly in their dependency on autophagy under normal or stressful circumstances. In addition, micellar siATG7 could successfully silence the ATG7 gene, hindered DTX-induced autophagy, and showed better anticancer effects (59). TNBC cell lines adapted to grow in the presence of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, or docetaxel developed cross-resistance to chemotherapy and lower apoptotic sensitivity, as demonstrated by Das *et al*. Their further analyses used the autophagy inhibitor, BafA, and lentiviral knockdown of ATG5. Elevated cytoprotective autophagy was partially responsible for augmented cell survival and drug resistance in these cells (60).

These results are inconsistent with some findings that point out the role of autophagy stimulation in improving the efficiency of conventional chemotherapy*.* In the microenvironment of breast tumors, adipocytes secrete many bioactive molecules known as adipocytokines. Among them, ADIPOQ/adiponectin is well known for its anticancer properties (61). Researchers found that ADIPOQ/ adiponectin significantly slows the growth of breast cancer cells and triggers apoptosis through stimulation of autophagic flux. Accordingly, obstructing autophagosome formation, hindering autophagosome-lysosome fusion or genetic knockout Beclin1 and ATG7 effectively $a \overline{c}$, is growth-inhibition and apoptosis stimulation caused by ADIPOQ/adiponectin. Moreover, they illustrated that cotreatment of ADIPOQ/adiponectin with various 'rugs, including carboplatin, PTX, or doxorubicin, raised the therapeutic efficacy of these chemotherapeutic neutcations (62). Bajbouj et al. discovered that desp. \circ the failure of estrogen therapy in inducing a optosis, it improves the apoptotic effects of doxorubicin in MCF-7 cells. After assessing the expression of key auto $_{\text{p}\text{-} \text{agg}}$ and senescence markers as well as mitochondrial damage following estrogen therapy, they concluded that estrogen induces autophagy and a type of mitochondrial damage that results in cell senescence of breast cancer cells (63).

Hormonal therapy and autophagy

One of the most effective methods for treating ER^+ breast cancer is using estrogen signaling regulators despite the occurrence of *de novo* and acquired resistance (64). Tamoxifen is a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) that inhibits ER activity by competing with estrogen for this receptor, thereby lowering estrogen-mediated proliferation (65). Tamoxifen has been used as a hormonal medication for early and advanced ER⁺ breast cancer for over 40 years (65). However, primary or acquired resistance limits its effectiveness (66). Tamoxifen stimulates autophagy as a crucial mechanism for cell survival in tamoxifentreated ER ⁺ breast cancer cells (67). Thus, circumventing autophagy may provide a novel way to improve antiestrogens' effectiveness in combating tumors. According to a study, tamoxifen treatment of MCF7 cells raised the expression of lysosome-associated membrane protein 3 (LAMP3), a protein involved in autophagy. However, the shRNA-mediated knockdown of LAMP3 and/or the siRNA-mediated knockdown of autophagy-linked genes MAP1LC3B, ATG5, and BECN1 improved tamoxifen sensitivity (65). These findings have led to the development of numerous treatment strategies that target autophagy in combination with endocrine therapy.

Thomas *et al.* reported that treating ER⁺ breast cancer cells with a combination of histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor and tamoxifen triggered cell death. However, a subpopulation of cells showed resistance to apoptosis due to autophagy-associated survival caused by down-regulation of Bcl-2 and up-regulation of Beclin-1. Their results reveal that suppression of HDAC and autophagy resulted in the apoptosis of autophagy-protected cells and prevented tamoxifen resistance (64).

Aromatase inhibitors (AIs) su has the non-steroidal Anastrozole (Ana), Letrozole (Let), and steroidal Exemestane (Exe) are selective ϵ trogen receptor downregulators and considered the First-line hormone therapy in postmenopausal patients with breast cancer (68). Although the clinical efficacy of these medications for ER^+ breast tumors has been proven, the main obstacle is the emergence of resistance (69). The role of autophagy as a pro-survival mechanism in Equation 2-induced cell death in ER+ sensitive breast cancer cells has been established (70). Researchers reported that Exc stimulates ER⁺ breast cancer cells to undergo cell cycle a rest, poptosis, and autophagy. According to their results, autophagy serves a pro-survival role by protecting b reast cancer cells from apoptosis, thereby inducing Exe- α guired resistance (70). In a study, the role of autophagy in response to Ana and Let therapies and the effects of combining PI3K inhibitor BYL-719 with AIs were investigated in AI-resistant breast cancer cell lines. They described that Ana and Let treatments do not stimulate autophagy in resistant breast cancer cells (71). cent mes adaptea to give in a species of a marginal of the proposition, of doctated the anotherapy and lower anotherapy and lower anotherapy and lower anotherapy and lower anotherapy in their Anastrozole (A4). Letrozole (

Lapatinib is an FDA-approved HER2/EGFR inhibitor that represses the proliferation of metastatic HER2+ breast cancer (72). Researchers revealed anti- and pro-apoptotic functions of autophagy in breast cancer cells treated with lapatinib. They showed that short-term lapatinib therapy caused HER2+ breast cancer cells to undergo lapatinibinduced apoptosis reliant on autophagy, but extended lapatinib treatment led to the development of protective autophagy in the formerly established lapatinib-resistant cells. Moreover, it was discovered that inhibiting autophagy could revert lapatinib resistance in HER2+ breast cancer cells (73).

Mele *et al*. pointed out that the inhibitory effect of lapatinib on breast cancer cells may be elevated by blocking glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), the limiting enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway, through disrupting autophagy. Their data showed that the G6PD blockade prompted endoplasmic reticulum stress, contributing to the dysregulation of autophagy. Thus, G6PD inhibition directly enhances the lapatinib cytotoxic effect on breast cancer cells, while autophagy blocking reverses this effect (74).

Trastuzumab, a monoclonal antibody specific for the

extracellular region of HER2, is widely administrated as an approved first-line treatment for HER2+ breast cancers in combination with chemotherapy (75). Trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) is an approved drug for metastatic HER2+ breast cancer that comprises trastuzumab and microtubules inhibitor emtansine (DM1) (76). In a phase II clinical trial, the patients who received T-DM1 had improved progressionfree survival compared to those receiving capecitabine and lapatinib treatment (77). Autophagy plays a significant role in the cytotoxicity effects of T-DM1 on HER2+ breast cancer cells. Blocking autophagy has diminished T-DM1-induced apoptosis in HER2+ breast cancer cells (76). This may propose the critical role of autophagy in T-DM1-induced cytotoxicity. Using quantitative proteomics, researchers identified that Atg9A is down-regulated in trastuzumab-resistant cells (BT474-TR) compared to trastuzumab-sensitive cells. They reported that Atg9A loss in trastuzumab-resistant cells enables HER2 to evade lysosomal-targeted degradation, indicating Atg9A as a target for anti-HER2 drugs to overcome

therapy resistance (78).

Phytochemicals that target autophagy in breast cancer

Plants produce phytochemicals or secondary metabolites to defend against environmental hazards such as UV radiation, fungus infection, and free radicals (79). Phytochemicals benefit the human body through various mechanisms, such as lowering inflammation or reactive oxygen species (ROS) (80). Phytochemicals are natural alternatives to chemopreventive agents for cancer therapy (81). In recent years, medicinal plants and their bioactive molecules have gained much attention to discover safe, effective, and affordable substances to overcome therapy resistance associated with conventional drugs or to avoid significant side effects of chemotherapeutics (82). Here, we review some of the most common phytochemicals that target the autophagy process to combat breast cancer (Table 2). The chemical structure of these phytochemical compounds is shown in Figure 2.

Continued Table 2.

IJBMS

Continued Table 2.

cathepsin-D)

Continued Table 2.

Artemisinin

Artemisinin is an active compound isolated from the medicinal plant *Artemisia annual*. Its water-soluble semisynthetic derivative, Artesunate, has widely been used to cure mild to severe malaria worldwide (31). Accumulating

evidence has unraveled the potential anticancer effect of these two compounds by acting on autophagy (83). In MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, artesunate was capable of inhibiting the proliferation of these cancer cells through inducing autophagy, which was indicated by

Figure 2. Chemical structure of phytochemicals with capacity to target autophagy in breast cancer

up-regulation of Beclin-1 and aggregation of LC3-II and increasing sensitivity to the chemotherapy drug epirubicin (84). Guan *et al*. found that artemisinin had strong anticancer effects on cisplatin-resistant MDA-MB-231 cells by inducing apoptosis, autophagy, and cell cycle arrest. Artemisinin promoted the production of autophagosomes and autophagic vacuoles to stimulate autophagy in these breast cancer cells (85). A study indicated that artemisinin inhibited autophagy in the MCF-7 cell line. The inhibitory activity of Artemisinin in this cell line was exerted by hindering autophagosome turnover and inducing perinuclear clustering of autophagosomes, early and late endosomes, and lysosomes (86). A targeted liposome containing artesunate was developed by researchers to enter breast cancer cells and affect mitochondria *in vitro* and *in vivo* (87). This liposome prevented the proliferation of tumor cells by inducing mitophagy in MDA-MB-231 cells and xenograft tumors, characterized by decreased PHB2 (mitophagy receptor) expression and increased LC3-II and PINK1 (a mitochondrial gatekeeper) expression.

Baicalein

Baicalein is a commonly used Chinese herbal medication isolated from *Scutellaria baicalensis* with anticancer effects on numerous human cancer cell lines (88, 89). The *in vitro* and *in vivo* treatment of breast cancer cells with baicalein exhibited the potential of this compound in suppressing cell proliferation, triggering apoptosis, and stimulating autophagy. In MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, baicalein regulated apoptosis and autophagy through the PI3K/ AKT pathway. Baicalein-treated cells formed autophagic vacuoles and showed significantly increased levels of LC3II and BECN1 in a dose- and time-dependent manner. There was also a dose- and time-dependent reduction in p-AKT/ AKT and p-mTOR/mTOR ratios. The results of *in vitro* experiments were consistent with data obtained using xenograft nude mice (90). Baicalein has been shown to improve the doxorubicin chemosensitivity of $T\Gamma$ BC cells. In MDA-MB-231 cells, baicalein prevented \sim bicininduced decrease in autophagy markers and u_1 -regulated CDK1 expression (91). Aryal et al. demo. strated that baicalein could induce autophagic cell death in breast cancer cells. They discovered that baicalein induced human breast cancer cell death by inducing autophagy rather than apoptosis. It significantly increased the expression levels of LC3B-II, autophagosome formation, and autophagic flux. Baicalein-induced autophagy was found to be mediated by acting on Beclin 1, Vps34, Atg5, Atg7, and ULK1. Furthermore, this compound activated ULK1 by acting on AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)α and blocking mTOR and Raptor, upstream inhibitors of ULK1 and autophagy (92). Concert of decreased TD2-

and increased LC3-II and MCF-7 cells to undergo apoposis and

experiment triggered anteractor to

experiment triggered and p-mTOR levels in MCF-7 cells to and increased LC3-II and Δ

and Δ

Britannin

Britannin is a sesquiterpene lactone, a diverse group of phytochemicals with many biological properties such as pro-apoptotic activity (93). Rajabi *et al*. evaluated the effects of britannin on apoptosis and autophagy in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Their data uncovered that this sesquiterpene could significantly induce apoptotic cell death in MCF-7 cells by up-regulating caspase-3 through a mechanism that involved the blockage of the JAK/STAT pathway. Interestingly, britannin treatment down-regulated the levels of four autophagy markers, including ATG4, ATG5, Beclin1,

and LCIII in MCF-7 cells. Consequently, they suggested britannin as a drug candidate for the suppression of breast cancer (94).

Celastrol

Celastrol, a tripterine derived from the *Tripterygium wilfordii* Hook F (TWHF), is one of the most promising natural medicine compounds. As indicated by encouraging outcomes of multiple preclinical studies, celastrol has anticancer activities against different tumors, such as breast cancer (95). Researchers designed a celastrol derivative with a strong antiproliferative property against MCF-7 cells. Regarding the elevated expression of LC3 A/B, p62, and Beclin-1 in these cancer cells, they suggested that the significant antiproliferative activity of this compound was primarily due to its ability to induce autophagy (96). Another study has declared that celastrol and tamoxifen have synergistic anticancer effects by causing MCF-7 cells to undergo apop'osis and autophagy. The combination treatment triggered autophagy by reducing p-Akt and p-mTOR levels in MCF-7 ells and enhanced the autophagy marker proteins such as LC3II and P62 (97).

Cucurbitacin B

Cucurbitacin B (C_1, E_2) is a naturally occurring tetracyclic triterpene phy ω bending various plant species, especially in the *Cucur*, *tac ae* family (98). Documented results have proven the antiproliferative impacts of Cuc B on a series of cancer cell lines, including breast cancer cell lines both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (99-101). A study explained that Cuc B sign ficantly hindered the viability of MCF-7 cells via ROS-mediated DNA damage and autophagy as verified by overex ression of autophagic proteins LC3-II, Beclin-1, p-ULK1, and monodansylcadaverine (MDC) staining, an autofluorescent probe to identify the autophagic vesicles. In addition, the treatment with Cuc B inhibited the expression of p-mTOR, p-Akt, and p62 proteins (102).

Curcumin

Curcumin, also known as diferuloylmethane, is a natural and biologically active polyphenol derived from the rhizome of the *Curcuma longa* (turmeric) plant with therapeutic applications for centuries (103). Availability and relative safety make curcumin a promising option for cancer therapy (104). Xiao-ai *et al*. demonstrated curcumin induced chemo-sensitivity in multidrug-resistant (MDR) breast cancer cells. Their data from *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments suggested that curcumin may trigger autophagy to sensitize MDR breast cancer cells to the chemotherapy drug cisplatin. This process was mediated by the down-regulation of long noncoding RNA Coloncancer-associated transcript-1 (CCAT1) and inactivation of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway in treated breast cancer cells. Moreover, in xenograft nude mice models of MCF-7/DDP breast cancer, curcumin was successfully used to resensitize resistant breast cancer tumors to cisplatin (105). According to recent data, irradiation and curcumin nanoparticles could induce autophagy, apoptosis, and G0/ G1 cell cycle arrest in MD-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Autophagic vacuoles were observed in treated cell lines after increased curcumin concentration (106). In another study, co-treatment of curcumin and berberine induced apoptotic and autophagic cell death in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. In contrast to treating breast cancer cells with either

agent separately, co-treatment of these two compounds induced autophagy more potently, as demonstrated by the formation of autophagic vacuoles, the turnover of LC3-II, and the degradation of p62 (107).

Gaillardin

Gaillardin is a novel member of the sesquiterpene lactones with significant anti-cancer activities. An *in vitro* study was conducted to assess the effects of gaillardin on apoptotic and autophagy markers in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. The obtained data unraveled that gaillardin suppressed the proliferation of these cancer cells by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting autophagy. Gaillardin elevated the expression of the activated form of the caspase-3 enzyme to induce apoptosis in MCF-7 cells. Moreover, it hampered the expression of six autophagy markers, including ATG1, ATG4, ATG5, ATG12, Beclin1, and LC-III in these breast cancer cells. Conclusively, the authors of that study suggested gaillardin as a novel agent for the treatment of breast cancer (108).

Icariin

Icariin is a natural flavonoid isolated from *Epimedium brevicornum Maxim* with antitumor properties (109-111). According to a study, icariin treatment of tamoxifenresistant MCF-7 cells not only hampered their proliferation but also reversed the resistance to tamoxifen in these cells. This was achieved by suppressing autophagy, resulting in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis stimulation. Icariin inhibited autophagy by reducing LC3-1, LC3-II, AGT5, and Beclin-1 expression levels, along with enhancing p62. The important role of autophagy was supported by evidence showing that autophagy inhibition via 3-MA meaningfully boosted the effects of icariin on cell viability and apoptosis (112). A study uncovered that IC2, a derivative of icariin, potentially inhibits breast cancer cell growth. IC2 inhibited stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1) to promote cytoprotective autophagy in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. IC2 stimulated autophagy in these breast cancer cell lines via the AMPK/mTOR pathway by phosphory lation AMPK and inhibition of mTOR. Additionally, their *in vivo* experiments revealed that IC2 treatment inhibited tumor growth in a breast cancer xenograft model (113).

Paclitaxel

Paclitaxel (PTX) is a member $\sqrt{\tan\theta}$ taxanes and a common chemotherapeutic medication used ω treat breast cancer (114). Acting as microtubule-stabilizing agents, taxenes affect autophagy, since microtubules contribute to the preautophagosomal structures, autophagosome movement toward the lysosome as well as the regulation of two key complexes that trigger autophagic response, including mTORC1 and PI3K-III (77). Some data suggest that increased levels of key autophagy regulator Beclin1 protect tumor cells from the cytotoxic effects of PTX on various cancers, such as ovarian cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and nasopharyngeal carcinoma (115-117). In BT474 breast cancer cells, PTX inhibits cell viability and Beclin1 expression levels. In addition, PTX significantly reduces tumor growth in a BT474 xenograft model with Beclin1 knockdown compared to the control group, implying that Beclin1 prevents breast cancer cell apoptosis (114). The anticancer effectiveness of PTX is significantly diminished due to the development of resistance in cancer cells. A major

cause of resistance is PTX-induced cytoprotective autophagy, which occurs by various mechanisms depending on the cell type and may even lead to metastases. Cancer cells are resensitized to PTX by autophagy inhibitors (118). Wang *et al*. found that PTX-resistant TNBC cells showed enhanced starvation-induced autophagy and displayed a significant reduction in cell viability, growth, and invasion upon being treated with autophagy inhibitors or silencing autophagy regulator of eukaryotic elongation factor 2 kinase (eEF2K). These findings documented the critical part of autophagy in chemoresistance and aggressive behavior of TNBC (119). Moreover, pharmacological inhibition of autophagy by spautin-1 or knockdown of FIP200 and Atg13 genes in PTXresistant MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in an accumulation of damaged mitochondria. The PTX-resistant cells were more likely to die when autophagy was inhibited (120). A study provided evidence to highlight that tumor necrosis factorα-induced protein-8-like-2 (TIPE2) suppressed druginduced autophagy, which sensitized breast cancer cells to PTX. In MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, TIPE2 inhibited PTX-induced autophagy by activating AKT/mTOR and inhibiting TAK1/MAPK signaling pathways. Breast cancer cells treated with PTX showed increased expression of the autophagy-associated proteins Bec. 11 and LC3B (LC3II/I), but these proteins were down regulated when TIPE2 was overexpressed (121).

Resveratrol

Resveratio. a r on-flavonoid polyphenol found in many foods like grap s, berries, soybeans, pomegranate, and peanuts, has been proven to have various positive health effects. For instance, the antitumor effects of resveratrol have been reported in several breast cancer cell lines (81). Resveratrol makes breast cancer cells more susceptible to a ρ olymerase inhibitor (PARPi), known as t_k lazoparib, by simultaneously suppressing AKT signaling and autophagy flux. Resveratrol acts on autophagy cell death by inhibiting autophagosome and lysosome fusion through increasing lysosomal-membrane-permeabilization (122). Researchers evidenced the effects of a combination of therapeutics using the allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor of rapamycin with an autophagy inhibitor resveratrol. Their results suggested that the combination of two drugs upholds mTORC1 suppression while impeding Akt activation and autophagy increase, which resulted in apoptosis of ER+ (MCF7) and ER (MDA-MB-231) breast cancer cells (123). Aza resveratrol analogs have been revealed to initiate cell death in MDA-MB-231 and T47D breast cancer cells through autophagy induction, as shown by Beclin1 upregulation (124). A study conducted found that resveratrol inhibited breast cancer stem cells and induced autophagy by suppressing the Wnt/b-catenin pathway. A significant increase in autophagy markers, LC3-II, Beclin1, and Atg 7, was observed in that study (125). Wang *et al*. reported that resveratrol inhibited invasion and metastatic spread of breast cancer cells by reversing epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which was mediated by transforming growth factorbeta 1 (TGF-β1) stimulatory effect. Resveratrol's anticancer activity was mediated by autophagy in 4T1 breast cancer cells and xenograft mice, as resveratrol increased autophagyrelated gene and protein levels. Resveratrol stimulated autophagy by phosphorylating AMPK and enhancing the expression of SIRT3 in breast cancer cells (126). According to a study, resveratrol synergistically enhanced the anticancer FIX-induced autophagy by activating TATLY-induced autophagy by activating μ inhibiting TAK1/MAPK signaling, athware increases and the profileration and the strated with PTX showed increases and a moment of tamoxifention

activity of salinomycin against TNBC (MDA-MB-231) and a mice model. The combination treatment of resveratrol and salinomycin decreased the expression of Beclin, LC3-I, and LC-II in MDA-MB-231 cells. Further, this combination acted synergistically against cancer cell proliferation by inducing apoptosis via raising Bax/Bcl-2 ratios (127). Scarlatti *et al*. proposed that resveratrol activated both caspase-dependent and independent cell death in MCF-7 cells concomitantly to stimulate Beclin 1-independent autophagy. They suggested that this non-canonical autophagy, induced by resveratrol, may serve as a caspase-independent cell death mechanism in breast cancer cells (128).

Tetrandrine

Tetrandrine, a natural bisbenzylisoquinoline alkaloid extracted from the root tuber of *Stephania tetrandra*, was proven to have potential antitumor activities (129). The promising results were revealed following the treatment of TNBC MDA-MB-231 cells with tetrandrine, as it blocked the proliferation and stimulated apoptosis of these cancer cells. According to research, tetrandrine prompted MDA-MB-231 cell autophagy by reducing p62/SQSTM1 expression, elevating the expression of Beclin1 and LC3-II/ LC3-I as well as suppressing the PI3K/AKT /mTOR pathway (130). A study presented evidence showing that tetrandrine amplified autophagic flux in breast cancer cells, leading to cell death. The same outcome was observed when apoptosisresistant cell lines were exposed to tetrandrine. It was also detected that tetrandrine initiates mTOR-dependent autophagy via direct inhibition of protein kinase C–α (PKC-α) (131). Wang *et al*. clarified that tetrandrine could resume the sensitivity of tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells to tamoxifen. Tetrandrine significantly suppressed the proliferation of tamoxifen-resistant cells. This was attributed to the tetrandrine-induced proapoptotic effect of tamoxifenvia inhibition of autophagy. Their claim was affirmed by observing augmented levels of autophagosomes, LC₂¹¹, and p62 in tamoxifen-resistant cells after being treated with the combination of tetrandrine and tamoxifen (132). A study found that tetrandrine enhanced arsenius cy otoxicity in MCF-7 cells synergistically. The combination of tetrandrine and arsenite exerted an autophagy-inducing effect on MCF-7 cells, and thereby, several autophagy-related proteins such as LC3-I, LC3-II, ATG7, Beclin, 2, and AMPK were upregulated while mTOR was down-regulated (133). wealed following the treatment (3-MA), or bafilomycin AI (Barl) decells with tetrandrine, as it induced cytotoxicity (141, 1/2). Accorrected subprofision of Bereline study by the same group, the corrected by reducing prop

Thymoquinone

Thymoquinone is the principal phenolic constituent of the oil of black seeds of *Nigella sativa*. Thymoquinone exhibits promising pharmacological effects and acts on the signaling pathways that promote cancer growth. It increases the anticancer potency of chemotherapy medications and alleviates their adverse effects (134). Thymoquinone has been reported to suppress cell proliferation and TNBC migration by inhibiting autophagy and hampering the expression of Beclin-1 and LC3 (135). An investigation showed that thymoquinone synergizes gemcitabine's anti-breast cancer effects by modifying its apoptotic and autophagic capacities (136). A combination of thymoquinone and PTX induced autophagy in MCF-7 and T47D cells more significantly than the two agents alone. Interestingly, this combination only significantly increased Beclin-1 and LC3-II expression in MCF-7 (137). The combination of docetaxel and thymoquinone in a nanocarrier had a synergistic effect on

apoptosis in breast cancer cells, which was linked with the induction of autophagy. Compared to untreated cells, this combined treatment significantly increased autophagic vesicles in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (138).

Tocotrienols

Tocotrienols are members of the vitamin E family and isoprenoid compounds found in various vegetable oils, wheat germ, barley, and some types of nuts and cereals (139). Previous research has shown that tocotrienols have potent anticancer activity with negligible or no adverse effects on the function or viability of normal cells (140). Tiwari *et al*. pointed out that treatment of mouse and human mammary tumor cell lines with γ-tocotrienol diminished the viability of these cancer cells with a concomitant rise in autophagic and ER stress indexes. Besides, blockage of autophagy with some inhibitors such as Beclin-1 siRNA, 3-methyladenine (3-MA), or bafilomycin A1 (Far1) decreased γ-tocotrienolinduced cytotoxicity (141, $\sqrt{2}$). According to another study by the same group, the combination of natural phytochemical oridonin and γ-Tocotrienol induced synergistic autophagic and apoptotic effects on malignant +SA mouse mammary epithelial cells with no impact on normal cells. The expression of autophagy markers, including P^{-1} in- Atg₃, Atg7, Atg5-Atg12, LAMP-1, and cathepsin-D, and the conversion of LC3B-I to LC3B-II, was considerably increased by this combination while exposure to a tophagy inibitors 3-MA or Baf1 reversed all these effects (143).

Ursolic acid

Ursolic acid is a triterpenoid phytochemical broadly distributed in medicinal herbs, fruits, and vegetables. Terpenoids are promising candidates as chemopreventive agents for cancer treatment. Anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, and pro-apoptotic actions of terpenoids, both *in vitro* and *in vivo,* are the basis for their anticancer activity. Ursolic acid is capable of inducing apoptosis in phenotypically distinct MCF-7 (ER⁺, PR^{+/−}, HER2[−]), MDA-MB-231 (ER−, PR−, HER2−), and SK-BR-3 (ER−, PR−, HER2+) breast cancer cells via targeting the glycolytic pathway and autophagy (144). This terpenoid has been reported to sensitize MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells to epirubicin (EPI) by acting on the autophagy pathway in these cells. Wang *et al*. discerned that the concurrent use of ursolic acid and EPI raises the expression of autophagy-related proteins Beclin-1, LC3-II/LC3-I, Atg5, and Atg7, while down-regulating PI3K and AKT levels, which is likely achieved by controlling class III PI3K(VPS34)/Beclin-1 pathway and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Furthermore, autophagy inhibitor 3-MA could reverse observed effects on these proteins (145). According to the findings of a study, ursolic acid reduced breast cancer cells' ability to proliferate via activating autophagy and apoptosis. After ursolic acid treatment, all cell lines T47D, MCF7, and MDAMB231 exhibited markedly reduced expression of PI3K and diminished levels of phosphorylated AKT. Ursolic acid, however, significantly up-regulated the levels of LC3 mRNA in three cell lines (146). Ursolic acid triggered ER stress and autophagy in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. However, autophagy-dependent ER stress protected the cancer cells from ursolic acid-induced apoptosis by up-regulating MCL1 via EIF2AK3. It was suggested that MAPK1/3 activation was the main cause of ursolic acidinduced cytoprotective autophagy. The cytoprotective effect

of ursolic acid was only observed at low concentrations, but higher concentrations led to apoptosis induction (147).

Concluding remarks and future perspectives

Autophagy is a highly conserved catabolic process that degrades cytoplasmic proteins and organelles in the lysosomes. Thus, it is a physiological process necessary for normal tissue homeostasis. However, our knowledge of the tangled relationship between autophagy and cancer is still unclear. According to studies, autophagy is intricately linked to numerous biological processes, including metabolism, stress response, and programmed cell death (148-150). The prevailing opinion is that autophagy serves a dual role in tumor promotion and suppression. Although inducing autophagy may help prevent cancer by restricting chronic inflammation and tumor necrosis, inhibiting autophagy-mediated tumor cell survival is more likely to be effective in cancer therapy (151). As described before, current antineoplastic regimens for breast cancer, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy, and targeted therapy, have been established to stimulate autophagy as a pro-survival process. Hence, it seems reasonable to expect that the therapeutic efficacy of these treatment modalities can be improved if autophagy is blocked. Among numerous agents that target autophagy, FDA-approved antimalarial compounds are being applied in several cancer clinical trials as autophagy inhibitors. For instance, CQ and HCQ, as well as artemisinin and artesunate, can directly modulate autophagy, thereby potentiating available classical methods of cancer therapy, especially chemotherapy (152, 153). Therefore, these compounds are used as adjuvant therapies for the treatment of breast cancer patients. However, possible long-term side effects on normal cells and the functions of key organs are crucial factors that need to ν weighed in the clinical applications of autophagy inhibitors. In this regard, plant-derived products can be cons. ⁴ere l promising adjuvants for conventional therapeutics for cancer treatment, especially to overcome ∂u and ∂u ance. Besides, they could be the practical alternatives to induce cell death in apoptosis-resistant cells (154). ginens for breast cancer, including

signens for breast cancer, including

lished to stimulate autophagy as a

lished to stimulate autophagy as a

me, it seems reasonable to expect

alished to stimulate autophagy as a

me

We reviewed the most common μ vto nemicals that can control autophagy in breast ancers. According to the literature, phytochemicals may sumulate or suppress autophagy and may inhibit corrigger apoptosis. Additionally, our literature review shows that some phytochemicals can induce cytotoxic autophagy, which allows for an alternative way for cell death in apoptosis-resistant cells. Although employing phytochemicals has beneficial effects such as low toxicity, which make them suitable candidates for single or combination cancer therapy, some clinical issues remain unsolved. First, poor bioavailability of these phytochemicals is an obstacle that may restrict their application. Therefore, further studies on drug delivery systems based on nanotechnology can be used to combat this issue. Second, developing anticancer medicines based on phytochemicals is further challenging because some natural compounds target several signaling pathways that may be shared among various biological systems. It is the same issue that diminishes the effectiveness of chemotherapeutics since it causes toxicity to both normal and cancerous cells. Studies on phytochemical-mediated autophagy conducted *in vitro* and *in vivo* may solve this problem. The last issue is not only employing phytochemicals but also targeting autophagy as an option for cancer treatment. Since autophagy has a

context-dependent role in cancers, its targeting may not always be advantageous. Even within the various subtypes of breast cancer, autophagy may play conflicting roles; for instance, it inhibits tumor initiation and anchorageindependent growth in TNBC (155). As a result, the stage of the disease and its autophagic characteristics must also be considered when discussing the therapeutic role of autophagy. It is crucial to shed more light on the role of autophagy in breast cancer, particularly regarding possible prognostic and predictive autophagy markers to provide a therapeutic benefit for patients. Accordingly, finding and confirming biomarkers that track autophagy status *in vivo* and applying effective phytochemicals as autophagy modulators may enable researchers to forecast early versus late autophagy modulation outcomes for breast cancer treatment.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the Vice Chancellor for Research, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Authors' Contributions

H S, S R, and N SA prepared a draft. M HM and M M edited the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Arnold M, Morgan E, Rumgay H, Mafra A, Singh D, Laversanne M*, et al.* Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global atistics for 2020 and 2040. Breast 2022; 66:15-23.

2. Luque-Bolivar A, Pérez-Mora E, Villegas VE, Rondón-Lagos M. Resistance and overcoming resistance in breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press) 2020; 12:211-229.

3. Wen X, Klionsky DJ. At a glance: A history of autophagy and cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 2020; 66:3-11.

4. Ariosa AR, Lahiri V, Lei Y, Yang Y, Yin Z, Zhang Z*, et al.* A perspective on the role of autophagy in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis 2021; 1867:166262.

5. Ambrosio S, Majello B. Autophagy roles in genome maintenance. Cancers 2020; 12:1793-1816.

6. Maiuolo J, Gliozzi M, Musolino V, Carresi C, Scarano F, Nucera S*, et al.* From metabolic syndrome to neurological diseases: Role of autophagy. Front Cell Dev Biol 2021; 9:651021.

7. Hao M, Yeo SK, Turner K, Harold A, Yang Y, Zhang X*, et al.* Autophagy blockade limits HER2+ breast cancer tumorigenesis by perturbing HER2 trafficking and promoting release via small extracellular vesicles. Dev Cell 2021; 56:341-355.

8. Altman BJ, Rathmell JC. Metabolic stress in autophagy and cell death pathways. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2012; 4:a008763. 9. Bhutia SK, Mukhopadhyay S, Sinha N, Das DN, Panda PK, Patra SK*, et al.* Autophagy: Cancer's friend or foe? Adv Cancer Res 2013; 118:61-95.

10. Kim HM, Koo JS. The role of autophagy in breast cancer metastasis. Biomedicines 2023; 11:618-636.

11. Leitzmann C. Characteristics and health benefits of phytochemicals. Forsch Komplementmed 2016; 23:69-74.

12. Kochman J, Jakubczyk K, Antoniewicz J, Mruk H, Janda K. Health benefits and chemical composition of matcha green tea: A review. Molecules 2020; 26:85-95.

13. Talib WH, Daoud S, Mahmod AI, Hamed RA, Awajan D, Abuarab SF*, et al.* Plants as a source of anticancer agents: From bench to bedside. Molecules 2022; 27:4818-4858.

14. Patra S, Pradhan B, Nayak R, Behera C, Panda KC, Das S*, et*

al. Apoptosis and autophagy modulating dietary phytochemicals in cancer therapeutics: Current evidences and future perspectives. Phytother Res 2021; 35:4194-4214.

15. Mizushima N. A brief history of autophagy from cell biology to physiology and disease. Nat Cell Biol 2018; 20:521-527.

16. Nie T, Zhu L, Yang Q. The classification and basic processes of autophagy. Adv Exp Med Biol 2021; 1208:3-16.

17. Li W, He P, Huang Y, Li Y-F, Lu J, Li M*, et al.* Selective autophagy of intracellular organelles: Recent research advances. Theranostics 2021; 11:222-256.

18. Nishimura T, Tooze SA. Emerging roles of ATG proteins and membrane lipids in autophagosome formation. Cell Discov 2020; 6:32-49.

19. Poillet-Perez L, Despouy G, Delage-Mourroux R, Boyer-Guittaut M. Interplay between ROS and autophagy in cancer cells, from tumor initiation to cancer therapy. Redox Biol 2015; 4:184- 192.

20. Li X, He S, Ma B. Autophagy and autophagy-related proteins in cancer. Mol Cancer 2020; 19:1-16.

21. Nishimura T, Tamura N, Kono N, Shimanaka Y, Arai H, Yamamoto H*, et al.* Autophagosome formation is initiated at phosphatidylinositol synthase‐enriched ER subdomains. EMBO J 2017; 36:1719-1735.

22. Dikic I, Elazar Z. Mechanism and medical implications of mammalian autophagy. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2018; 19:349-364.

23. Hosokawa N, Hara T, Kaizuka T, Kishi C, Takamura A, Miura Y*, et al.* Nutrient-dependent mTORC1 association with the ULK1–Atg13–FIP200 complex required for autophagy. Mol Biol Cell 2009; 20:1981-1991.

24. Park J-M, Jung CH, Seo M, Otto NM, Grunwald D, Kim KH*, et al.* The ULK1 complex mediates MTORC1 signaling to the autophagy initiation machinery via binding and phosphorylating ATG14. Autophagy 2016; 12:547-564.

25. Kim J, Kundu M, Viollet B, Guan K-L. AMPK and mTOR regulate autophagy through direct phosphorylation of Ulk1. Nat Cell Biol 2011; 13:132-141.

26. Hawley SA, Pan DA, Mustard KJ, Ross L, Bain J, Edelm in AM, et al. Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase-beta is an alternative upstream kinase for AMP-activated protein kinase. Cell Metab 2005; 2:9-19.

27. Egan D, Kim J, Shaw RJ, Guan KL. The autophagy initiating kinase ULK1 is regulated via opposing phosphorylation by AMPK and mTOR. Autophagy 2011; 7:643-644.

28. Kim J, Kim YC, Fang C, Russe^l, RC, K. n JH, Fan W, et al. Differential regulation of distinct | 'ps34 complexes by AMPK in nutrient stress and autophagy. Cell 213; 152:290-303.

29. Yin Z, Pascual C, Klionsky DJ. Autophagy: machinery and regulation. Microb Cell 2016; 3:588-596.

30. Levy JMM, Towers CG, Thorburn A. Targeting autophagy in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2017; 17:528-542.

31. Deng S, Shanmugam MK, Kumar AP, Yap CT, Sethi G, Bishayee A. Targeting autophagy using natural compounds for cancer prevention and therapy. Cancer 2019; 125:1228-1246.

32. Polson HE, de Lartigue J, Rigden DJ, Reedijk M, Urbé S, Clague MJ*, et al.* Mammalian Atg18 (WIPI2) localizes to omegasomeanchored phagophores and positively regulates LC3 lipidation. Autophagy 2010; 6:506-522.

33. Geng J, Klionsky DJ. The Atg8 and Atg12 ubiquitin‐like conjugation systems in macroautophagy. EMBO Rep 2008; 9:859- 864.

34. Kuma A, Mizushima N, Ishihara N, Ohsumi Y. Formation of the∼ 350-kDa Apg12-Apg5· Apg16 multimeric complex, mediated by Apg16 oligomerization, is essential for autophagy in yeast. J Biol Chem 2002; 277:18619-18625.

35. Zhao YG, Codogno P, Zhang H. Machinery, regulation and pathophysiological implications of autophagosome maturation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio 2021; 22:733-750.

36. Kabeya Y, Mizushima N, Ueno T, Yamamoto A, Kirisako T, Noda T*, et al.* LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome membranes after processing. EMBO J 2000; 19:5720-5728.

37. Mizushima N, Klionsky DJ. Protein turnover via autophagy: Implications for metabolism. Annu Rev Nutr 2007; 27:19-40.

38. Zaffagnini G, Martens S. Mechanisms of selective autophagy. J Mol Biol 2016; 428:1714-1724.

39. Reggiori F, Ungermann C. Autophagosome maturation and fusion. J Mol Biol 2017; 429:486-496.

40. Kriegenburg F, Ungermann C, Reggiori F. Coordination of autophagosome-lysosome fusion by Atg8 family members. Curr Biol 2018; 28:512-518.

41. Nakamura S, Yoshimori T. New insights into autophagosomelysosome fusion. J Cell Sci 2017; 130:1209-1216.

42. Yersal O, Barutca S. Biological subtypes of breast cancer: Prognostic and therapeutic implications. World J Clin Oncol 2014; 5:412-424.

43. Cocco S, Leone A, Piezzo M, Caputo R, Di Lauro V, Di Rella F*, et al.* Targeting autophagy in breast cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21:7836-7856.

44. Morganti S, Ivanova M, Ferraro E, A. ione L, Vivanet G, Bonizzi G, et al. Loss of HER2 in breast cancer: Biological mechanisms and technical pitfalls. Cancer Drug Pesist 2022; 5:971-980.

45. Hashemi M, Paskeh MDA, Goodei S, Abbasi P, Khorrami R, Dehghanpour A, et a¹. Towards dual function of autophagy in breast cancer: A notelli regulator of tumor progression and therapy response. Biomed Pharmacother 2023; 161:114546.

46. Bortnik S, Tessier-Cloutier B, Leung S, Xu J, Asleh K, Burugu S, et al. Differe tial expression and prognostic relevance of autopha v-related markers ATG4B, GABARAP, and LC3B in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 2020; 183:525-547. Correct Corre

47 Ch n W, Bai Y, Patel C, Geng F. Autophagy promotes triple negative breast cancer metastasis via YAP nuclear localization. B. - h m Biophys Res Commun 2019; 520:263-268.

48. Grandvallet C, Feugeas JP, Monnien F, Despouy G, Valérie P, Michaël G*, et al.* Autophagy is associated with a robust specific transcriptional signature in breast cancer subtypes. Genes Cancer 2020; 11:154-168.

49. Guan X, Guan Y. Artemisinin induces selective and potent anticancer effects in drug resistant breast cancer cells by inducing cellular apoptosis and autophagy and G2/M cell cycle arrest. J BUON 2020; 25:1330-1336.

50. Cao J, Zhang M, Wang B, Zhang L, Fang M, Zhou F. Chemoresistance and metastasis in breast cancer molecular mechanisms and novel clinical strategies. Front Oncol 2021; 11:658552.

51. Classen F, Kranz P, Riffkin H, Pompsch M, Wolf A, Göpelt K*, et al.* Autophagy induced by ionizing radiation promotes cell death over survival in human colorectal cancer cells. Exp Cell Res 2019; 374:29-37.

52. Sia J, Szmyd R, Hau E, Gee HE. Molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced cancer cell death: A primer. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020; 8:41-48.

53. Han MW, Lee JC, Choi JY, Kim GC, Chang HW, Nam HY*, et al.* Autophagy inhibition can overcome radioresistance in breast cancer cells through suppression of TAK1 activation. Anticancer Res 2014; 34:1449-1455.

54. Zhou ZR, Yang ZZ, Wang SJ, Zhang L, Luo JR, Feng Y*, et al.* The Chk1 inhibitor MK-8776 increases the radiosensitivity of human triple-negative breast cancer by inhibiting autophagy. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2017; 38:513-523.

55. Chaachouay H, Ohneseit P, Toulany M, Kehlbach R, Multhoff G, Rodemann HP. Autophagy contributes to resistance of tumor cells to ionizing radiation. Radiother Oncol 2011; 99:287-292.

56. Gonçalves RM, Agnes JP, Delgobo M, de Souza PO, Thomé MP, Heimfarth L*, et al.* Late autophagy inhibitor chloroquine

improves efficacy of the histone deacetylase inhibitor SAHA and temozolomide in gliomas. Biochem Pharmacol 2019; 163:440-450. 57. Cufí S, Vazquez-Martin A, Oliveras-Ferraros C, Corominas-Faja B, Cuyàs E, López-Bonet E*, et al.* The anti-malarial chloroquine overcomes primary resistance and restores sensitivity to trastuzumab in HER2-positive breast cancer. Sci Rep 2013; 3:2469-2481.

58. Cocco S, Leone A, Roca MS, Lombardi R, Piezzo M, Caputo R*, et al.* Inhibition of autophagy by chloroquine prevents resistance to PI3K/AKT inhibitors and potentiates their antitumor effect in combination with paclitaxel in triple negative breast cancer models. J Transl Med 2022; 20:290-306.

59. Gong C, Hu C, Gu F, Xia Q, Yao C, Zhang L*, et al.* Co-delivery of autophagy inhibitor ATG7 siRNA and docetaxel for breast cancer treatment. J Control Release 2017; 266:272-286.

60. Das CK, Linder B, Bonn F, Rothweiler F, Dikic I, Michaelis M*, et al.* BAG3 overexpression and cytoprotective autophagy mediate apoptosis resistance in chemoresistant breast cancer cells. Neoplasia 2018; 20:263-279.

61. Naimo GD, Gelsomino L, Catalano S, Mauro L, Andò S. Interfering role of ERα on adiponectin action in breast cancer. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020; 11:66-75.

62. Chung SJ, Nagaraju GP, Nagalingam A, Muniraj N, Kuppusamy P, Walker A*, et al.* ADIPOQ/adiponectin induces cytotoxic autophagy in breast cancer cells through STK11/LKB1-mediated activation of the AMPK-ULK1 axis. Autophagy 2017; 13:1386- 1403. 2020; 11:66-75.

by dietary phytochemicals: Epide. iologic

galingam A, Muriraj N, Kuppusamy 2016; 94:13-19.

Correction induces cytotoxic 81. Sinha D, Sarkar N, Biswas J, Bis, wee A

ells through STK11/LKB1-mediated canc

63. Bajbouj K, Shafarin J, Taneera J, Hamad M. Estrogen signaling induces mitochondrial dysfunction-associated autophagy and senescence in breast cancer cells. Biology (Basel) 2020; 9:1-14.

64. Thomas S, Thurn KT, Biçaku E, Marchion DC, Münster PN. Addition of a histone deacetylase inhibitor redirects tamoxifentreated breast cancer cells into apoptosis, which is opposed by the induction of autophagy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011; 130:437- 447.

65. Nagelkerke A, Sieuwerts AM, Bussink J, Sweep FC, Look MP, Foekens JA, et al. LAMP3 is involved in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells through the modulation of autophagy. Endocr Relat Cancer 2014; 21:101-112.

66. Li Q, Zan L. Knockdown of ATG4A inhibits breast ca. cer progression and promotes tamoxifen chemosensitivity suppressing autophagy. Mol Med Rep 2022; 25:101-1(,).

67. Samaddar JS, Gaddy VT, Duplantier J, Thandavan SP, Shah M, Smith MJ, et al. A role for macroautophagy in protection against 4-hydroxytamoxifen-induced cell death and the α velopment of antiestrogen resistance. Mol Cancer Ther 2008; 7:2977-2987.

68. Amaral C, Augusto TV, T vares-da-Silva E, Roleira FMF, Correia-da-Silva G, Teixeira ¹. Hormone-dependent breast cancer: Targeting autophagy and PI3K /vercomes exemestaneacquired resistance. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2018; 183:51-61.

69. Augusto TV, Amaral C, Almeida CF, Teixeira N, Correia-da-Silva G. Differential biological effects of aromatase inhibitors: Apoptosis, autophagy, senescence and modulation of the hormonal status in breast cancer cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2021; 537:111426. 70. Amaral C, Borges M, Melo S, da Silva ET, Correia-da-Silva G, Teixeira N. Apoptosis and autophagy in breast cancer cells following exemestane treatment. PLoS One 2012; 7:e42398.

71. Augusto TV, Amaral C, Wang Y, Chen S, Almeida CF, Teixeira N*, et al.* Effects of PI3K inhibition in AI-resistant breast cancer cell lines: Autophagy, apoptosis, and cell cycle progression. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2021; 190:227-240.

72. Schlam I, Swain SM. HER2-positive breast cancer and tyrosine kinase inhibitors: the time is now. NPJ Breast Cancer 2021; 7:56- 67.

73. Chen S, Zhu X, Qiao H, Ye M, Lai X, Yu S*, et al.* Protective autophagy promotes the resistance of HER2-positive breast cancer cells to lapatinib. Tumour Biol 2016; 37:2321-2331.

74. Mele L, la Noce M, Paino F, Regad T, Wagner S, Liccardo D*, et al.* Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase blockade potentiates tyrosine kinase inhibitor effect on breast cancer cells through autophagy perturbation. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2019; 38:160-172. 75. Wang J, Xu B. Targeted therapeutic options and future perspectives for HER2-positive breast cancer. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2019; 4:34-56.

76. Liu P, Fan J, Wang Z, Zai W, Song P, Li Y*, et al.* The role of autophagy in the cytotoxicity induced by trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in HER2-positive breast cancer cells. AMB Express 2020; 10:107-116.

77. Lisiak N, Toton E, Rybczynska M. Autophagy as a potential therapeutic target in breast cancer treatment. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 2018; 18:629-639.

78. Nunes J, Zhang H, Angelopoulos N, Chhetri J, Osipo C, Grothey A*, et al.* ATG9A loss confers resistance to trastuzumab via c-Cbl mediated Her2 degradation. Oncotarget 2016; 7:27599- 27612.

79. Qaderi MM, Martel AB, Strugnell CA. Environmental factors regulate plant secondary metabolites. Plants 2023; 12:447-473.

80. Baena Ruiz R, Salinas Hernández P. Cancer chemoprevention by dietary phytochemicals: Epidemiological evidence. Maturitas 2016; 94:13-19.

81. Sinha D, Sarkar N, Biswas J, Bishayee A. Resveratrol for breast cancer prevention and therapy: Preclinical evidence and molecular mechanisms. Semin Cancer Fiol 2016; 40-41:209-232.

82. Rajabi S, Maresca N, Yumashev AV, Choopani R, Hajimehdipoor H. The most competent plant-derived natural products for targeting a not is in cancer therapy. Biomolecules $2021; 11:53+56$

83. Yang λ , ⁷he₁g Y, L_{iu} L, Huang J, Wang F, Zhang J. Progress on the study of t , α anticancer effects of artesunate. Oncol Lett 2021; $22:7.7-761.$

84. Cn. K, Shou LM, Lin F, Duan WM, Wu MY, Xie X, et al. Artesunate induces G2/M cell cycle arrest through autophagy induction in breast cancer cells. Anticancer Drugs 2014; 25:652- 662.

85. Guan X, Guan Y. Artemisinin induces selective and potent anticancer effects in drug resistant breast cancer cells by inducing cellular apoptosis and autophagy and G2/M cell cycle arrest. J BUON 2020; 25:1330-1336.

86. Hamacher-Brady A, Stein HA, Turschner S, Toegel I, Mora R, Jennewein N*, et al.* Artesunate activates mitochondrial apoptosis in breast cancer cells via iron-catalyzed lysosomal reactive oxygen species production. J Biol Chem, 2011; 286:6587-6601.

87. Gu L, Zhang J, Liu D, Chen J, Liu S, Peng Q*, et al.* Development of artesunate intelligent prodrug liposomes based on mitochondrial targeting strategy. J Nanobiotechnology 2022; 20:376-396.

88. Wang M, Qiu S, Qin J. Baicalein induced apoptosis and autophagy of undifferentiated thyroid cancer cells by the ERK/ PI3K/Akt pathway. Am J Transl Res 2019; 11:3341-3352.

89. Liu B, Ding L, Zhang L, Wang S, Wang Y, Wang B*, et al.* Baicalein induces autophagy and apoptosis through AMPK pathway in human glioma cells. Am J Chin Med 2019; 47:1405-1418.

90. Yan W, Ma X, Zhao X, Zhang S. Baicalein induces apoptosis and autophagy of breast cancer cells via inhibiting PI3K/AKT pathway *in vivo* and *vitro.* Drug Des Devel Ther 2018; 12:3961-3972.

91. Hua F, Xiao Y-Y, Qu X-H, Li S-S, Zhang K, Zhou C*, et al.* Baicalein sensitizes triple negative breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells to doxorubicin via autophagy-mediated down-regulation of CDK1. Mol Cell Biochem 2022; 478:1519-1531.

92. Aryal P, Kim K, Park PH, Ham S, Cho J, Song K. Baicalein induces autophagic cell death through AMPK/ULK1 activation and downregulation of m TORC 1 complex components in human cancer cells. FEBS J 2014; 281:4644-4658.

93. Hsu CY, Rajabi S, Hamzeloo-Moghadam M, Kumar A, Maresca M, Ghildiyal P. Sesquiterpene lactones as emerging biomolecules to cease cancer by targeting apoptosis. Front Pharmacol 2024; 15:1371002.

94. Rajabi S, Irani M, Moeinifard M, Hamzeloo-Moghadam M. Britannin suppresses MCF-7 breast cancer cell growth by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting autophagy. Avicenna J Phytomed 2024; 14:90-99.

95. Shi J, Li J, Xu Z, Chen L, Luo R, Zhang C*, et al.* Celastrol: A review of useful strategies overcoming its limitation in anticancer application. Front Pharmacol 2020; 11:558741.

96. Feng Y, Zhang B, Lv J, Zhang P, Mao Q, Lin F*, et al.* Scaffold hopping of celastrol provides derivatives containing pepper ring, pyrazine and oxazole substructures as potent autophagy inducers against breast cancer cell line MCF-7. Eur J Med Chem 2022; 234:114254.

97. Wang L, Tang L, Yao C, Liu C, Shu Y. The synergistic effects of celastrol in combination with tamoxifen on apoptosis and autophagy in MCF-7 cells. J Immunol Res 2021; 2021:5532269.

98. Varela C, Melim C, Neves BG, Sharifi-Rad J, Calina D, Mamurova A*, et al.* Cucurbitacins as potential anticancer agents: new insights on molecular mechanisms. J Transl Med 2022; 20:630-645.

99. Duangmano S, Dakeng S, Jiratchariyakul W, Suksamrarn A, Smith DR, Patmasiriwat P. Antiproliferative effects of cucurbitacin B in breast cancer cells: down-regulation of the c-Myc/hTERT/ telomerase pathway and obstruction of the cell cycle. Int J Mol Sci 2010; 11:5323-5338.

100. Thoennissen NH, Iwanski GB, Doan NB, Okamoto R, Lin P, Abbassi S*, et al.* Cucurbitacin B induces apoptosis by inhibition of the JAK/STAT pathway and potentiates antiproliferative effects of gemcitabine on pancreatic cancer cells. Cancer Res 2009; 69:5876- 5884.

101. Chan KT, Meng FY, Li Q, Ho CY, Lam TS, To Y*, et al.* Cucurbitacin B induces apoptosis and S phase cell cycle arrest in BEL-7402 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells and is effective via oral administration. Cancer Lett 2010; 294:118-124.

102. Ren G, Sha T, Guo J, Li W, Lu J, Chen X. Cucurbit cir. B induces DNA damage and autophagy mediated by reactive or vgen species (ROS) in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. J Nat Λ ²⁰¹⁵; 69:522-530.

103. Hewlings SJ, Kalman DS. Curcumin: A review of effects on human health. Foods 2017; 6:92-102.

104. Guan F, Ding Y, Zhang Y, Zhou Y, Li M, Yang C. Curcumin suppresses proliferation and migra on of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells through autophagy-depe. ¹ent Akt degradation. PLoS One 2016; 11:e0146553.

105. Xiao-ai L, Bei W, Xiao-hong X, Lei P, Bin W, Xiao-xue D*, et al.* Curcumin re-sensitizes multidrug resistant (MDR) breast cancer to cisplatin through inducing autophagy by decreasing CCAT1 expression. RSC Advances 2017; 7:33572-33579.

106. Khorsandi K, Hosseinzadeh R, Shahidi FK. Photodynamic treatment with anionic nanoclays containing curcumin on human triple‐negative breast cancer cells: Cellular and biochemical studies. J Cell Biochem 2019; 120:4998-5009.

107. Wang K, Zhang C, Bao J, Jia X, Liang Y, Wang X*, et al.* Synergistic chemopreventive effects of curcumin and berberine on human breast cancer cells through induction of apoptosis and autophagic cell death. Sci Rep 2016; 6:1-14.

108. Rajabi S, Tahmasvand Z, Maresca M, Hamzeloo-Moghadam M. Gaillardin inhibits autophagy and induces apoptosis in MCF-7 breast cancer cells by regulating JAK/STAT pathway. Mol Biol Rep 2024; 51:158.

109. Huang S, Xie T, Liu W. Icariin inhibits the growth of human cervical cancer cells by inducing apoptosis and autophagy by targeting mTOR/PI3K/AKT signalling pathway. J BUON 2019; 24:990-996.

110. Jiang S, Chang H, Deng S, Fan D. Icariin enhances the chemosensitivity of cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells by suppressing autophagy via activation of the AKT/mTOR/ATG5 pathway. Int J Oncol 2019; 54:1933-1942.

111. Jiang S, Chang H, Deng S, Fan D. Icariin inhibits autophagy and promotes apoptosis in SKVCR cells through mTOR signal pathway. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2018; 64:4-10.

112. Cheng X, Tan S, Duan F, Yuan Q, Li Q, Deng G. Icariin induces apoptosis by suppressing autophagy in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cell line MCF-7/TAM. Breast Cancer 2019; 26:766-775.

113. Wang Y-X, Jin Y-Y, Wang J, Zhao Z-C, Xue K-W, Xiong H*, et al.* Icaritin derivative IC2 induces cytoprotective autophagy of breast cancer cells via SCD1 inhibition. Molecules 2023; 28:1109-1122.

114. Wu CL, Liu JF, Liu Y, Wang YX, Fu KF, Yu XJ*, et al.* Beclin1 inhibition enhances paclitaxel-mediated cytotoxicity in breast cancer in vitro and in vivo. Int J Mol Med 2019; 43:1866-1878.

115. Zhang SF, Wang XY, Fu ZQ, Peng QH, Zhang JY, Ye F*, et al.* TXNDC17 promotes paclitaxel resistance via inducing autophagy in ovarian cancer. Autophagy 2015; 11:225-238.

116. Xi G, Hu X, Wu B, Jiang H, Youn^y CY, Pang Y, et al. Autophagy inhibition promotes paclitaxel-induced apoptosis in cancer cells. Cancer Lett 2011; 307:141-148.

117. Song Y, Li W, Peng X, Xie J, Lⁱ H, Tan G. Inhibition of autophagy results in a reversal of taxol resista. ce in nasopharyngeal carcinoma by enhancing taxol-induced case as dependent apoptosis. Am J Transl Res 2017; 9:1934 1942.

118. Škubník J, Pick vá VS, Ruml T, Rimpelová S. Autophagy in cancer res. 'anc to p. litaxel: Development of combination strategies. Biome Pharmacothe 2023; 161:114458.

119. Wang RX, Xu ⁷E, Huang L, Chen S, Shao ZM. eEF2 kinase $mediated.$ tophagy as a potential therapeutic target for paclitaxelresistan^t tripe-negative breast cancer. Ann Transl Med 2019; $7:70 - 75$.

120. Wen J, Yeo S, Wang C, Chen S, Sun S, Haas MA*, et al.* Autophagy inhidion re-sensitizes pulse stimulation-selected paclitaxelresistant triple negative breast cancer cells to chemotherapyinduced apoptosis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015; 149:619-629.

121. Hu W, Zheng W, Du J, Tian Z, Zhao Y, Zhao P*, et al.* TIPE2 sensitizes breast cancer cells to paclitaxel by suppressing druginduced autophagy and cancer stem cell properties. Hum Cell 2023; 36:1485-1500. In the 2021; 2021:5532269.

BG, Sharifi-Rad J, Calina D, in ovarian cancer. Autophagy 2015; 11^{,5}25-238

as potential anticancer agents:

In the XiG, HuX, WuB, Jiang H, Youn¹ CV, Pape

chanisms. J Transl Med 2022;

Can

122. Pai Bellare G, Sankar Patro B. Resveratrol sensitizes breast cancer to PARP inhibitor, talazoparib through dual inhibition of AKT and autophagy flux. Biochem Pharmacol 2022; 199:115024.

123. Alayev A, Berger SM, Kramer MY, Schwartz NS, Holz MK. The combination of rapamycin and resveratrol blocks autophagy and induces apoptosis in breast cancer cells. J Cell Biochem 2015; 116:450-457.

124. Siddiqui A, Dandawate P, Rub R, Padhye S, Aphale S, Moghe A*, et al.* Novel aza-resveratrol analogs: Synthesis, characterization and anticancer activity against breast cancer cell lines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2013; 23:635-640.

125. Fu Y, Chang H, Peng X, Bai Q, Yi L, Zhou Y*, et al.* Resveratrol inhibits breast cancer stem-like cells and induces autophagy via suppressing Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. PLoS One, 2014; 9:e102535.

126.Wang J, Huang P, Pan X, Xia C, Zhang H, Zhao H*, et al.* Resveratrol reverses TGF‐β1‐mediated invasion and metastasis of breast cancer cells via the SIRT3/AMPK/autophagy signal axis. Phytother Res 2023; 37:211-230.

127. Rai G, Suman S, Mishra S, Shukla Y. Evaluation of growth inhibitory response of resveratrol and salinomycin combinations against triple negative breast cancer cells. Biomed Pharmacother, 2017; 89:1142-1151.

128. Scarlatti F, Maffei R, Beau I, Codogno P, Ghidoni R. Role of non-canonical Beclin 1-independent autophagy in cell death induced by resveratrol in human breast cancer cells. Cell Death Differ 2008; 15:1318-1329.

129. Luan F, He X, Zeng N. Tetrandrine: A review of its anticancer

potentials, clinical settings, pharmacokinetics and drug delivery systems. J Pharm Pharmacol 2020; 72:1491-1512.

130. Guo Y, Pei X. Tetrandrine-induced autophagy in MDA-MB-231 triple-negative breast cancer cell through the inhibition of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2019; 2019:7517431.

131. Wong VKW, Zeng W, Chen J, Yao XJ, Leung ELH, Wang QQ*, et al.* Tetrandrine, an activator of autophagy, induces autophagic cell death via PKC-α Inhibition and mTOR-Dependent Mechanisms. Front Pharmacol 2017; 8:351-363.

132. Wang Y, Yue W, Lang H, Ding X, Chen X, Chen H. Resuming sensitivity of tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells to tamoxifen by tetrandrine. Integr Cancer Ther 2021; 20:1534735421996822.

133. Yao M, Yuan B, Wang X, Sato A, Sakuma K, Kaneko K*, et al.* Synergistic cytotoxic effects of arsenite and tetrandrine in human breast cancer cell line MCF-7. Int J Oncol 2017; 51:587-598.

134. Xu H, Liu B, Xiao Z, Zhou M, Ge L, Jia F*, et al.* Computational and experimental studies reveal that thymoquinone blocks the entry of coronaviruses into *in vitro* cells. Infect Dis Ther 2021; 10:483-494.

135. Ünal TD, Hamurcu Z, Delibaşı N, Çınar V, Güler A, Gökçe S*, et al.* Thymoquinone inhibits proliferation and migration of MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cells by suppressing autophagy, Beclin-1 and LC3. Anticancer Agents Med Chem 2021; 21:355-364.

136. Bashmail HA, Alamoudi AA, Noorwali A, Hegazy GA, G AJ, Choudhry H*, et al.* Thymoquinone synergizes gemcitabine antibreast cancer activity via modulating its apoptotic and autophagic activities. Sci Rep 2018; 8:11674-11684.

137. Bashmail HA, Alamoudi AA, Noorwali A, Hegazy GA, Ajabnoor GM, Al-Abd AM. Thymoquinone enhances paclitaxel anti-breast cancer activity via inhibiting tumor-associated stem cells despite apparent mathematical antagonism. Molecules 2020; 25:426-440.

138. Alkhatib MH, Bawadud RS, Gashlan HM. Incorporation of docetaxel and thymoquinone in borage nanoemulsion potentiate their antineoplastic activity in breast cancer cells. Sci Rep $2^{0.2}$ 0; 10:18124-18135.

139. Ahsan H, Ahad A, Iqbal J, Siddiqui WA. Pharmacological potential of tocotrienols: a review. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2024; 11:52. 140. McIntyre BS, Briski KP, Tirmenstein MA, Faris MW, Capor A, Sylvester PW. Antiproliferative and apoptotic effects ω tocopherols and tocotrienols on normal mouse mammar_y epithelial cells. Lipids 2000; 35:171-180.

141. Tiwari RV, Parajuli P, Sylvester PW γ-To otrienol-induced autophagy in malignant mammary cancer cells. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2014; 239:33-44.

142. Tiwari RV, Parajuli P, Sylves - PV. γ-Tocotrienol-induced endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy act concurrently to promote breast cancer cell death. Biochem Cell Biol 2015; 93:306- 320

143. Tiwari RV, Parajuli P, Sylvester PW. Synergistic anticancer effects of combined γ-tocotrienol and oridonin treatment is associated with the induction of autophagy. Mol Cell Biochem 2015; 408:123-137.

144. Lewinska A, Adamczyk-Grochala J, Kwasniewicz E, Deregowska A, Wnuk M. Ursolic acid-mediated changes in glycolytic pathway promote cytotoxic autophagy and apoptosis in phenotypically different breast cancer cells. Apoptosis 2017; 22:800-815.

145. Wang Z, Zhang P, Jiang H, Sun B, Luo H, Jia A. Ursolic acid enhances the sensitivity of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells to epirubicin by modulating the autophagy pathway. Molecules 2022; 27:3399-3416.

146. Luo J, Hu YL, Wang H. Ursolic acid inhibits breast cancer growth by inhibiting proliferation, inducing autophagy and apoptosis, and suppressing inflammatory responses via the PI3K/ AKT and NF-κB signaling pathways in vitro. Exp Ther Med 2017; 14:3623-3631.

147. Zhao C, Yin S, Dong Y, Guo X, Fan L, Ye M*, et al.* Autophagydependent EIF2AK3 activation compromises ursolic acid-induced apoptosis through upregulation of $\sqrt{\text{CL1}}$ in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. Autophagy 2013 ; $9.196-207$.

148. Lin PW, Chu ML, L_J HS. Autophagy and metabolism. Kaohsiung J Med Sci $2\sqrt{21}$; $\sqrt[3]{11}$ 19.

149. Kroemer G, Mariño G, Levine B. Autophagy and the integrated stress response. N ¹ Ce_{ll} 2010; 40:280-293.

150. Ouyang L, Shi Z, Zhao S, Wang FT, Zhou TT, Liu B*, et al.* Programmed $\frac{1}{2}$ cell death pathways in cancer: A review of apoptosis, autophagy and programmed necrosis. Cell Prolif 2012; 45:487-498. 151. Carew JS, Kelly KR, Nawrocki ST. Autophagy as a target for cancer herapy: new developments. Cancer Manag Res, 2012; 4.37 Delibaşı N, Çınar V, Güler A, Gökçe 147. Zhao C, Yin S, Dong Y, Gue A, Fan L, Ye M, et al. Autophagy-
bis proliferation and migration of edgendent EIP2AK3 activation c espendent corrected edd-induced
bis profite be breat

152. Arnaout A, Robertson SJ, Pond GR, Lee H, Jeong A, Ianni L*, et al.* A randomized, double-blind, window of opportunity trial evaluating the effects of chloroquine in breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Trea 2019; 178:327-335.

153. von Hagens C, Walter-Sack I, Goeckenjan M, Storch-Hagenlocher B, Sertel S, Elsässer M*, et al.* Long-term add-on therapy (compassionate use) with oral artesunate in patients with metastatic breast cancer after participating in a phase I study (ARTIC M33/2). Phytomedicine 2019; 54:140-148.

154. Chang H, Zou Z. Targeting autophagy to overcome drug resistance: further developments. J Hematol Oncol 2020; 13:159.

155. Ahn JS, Ann EJ, Kim MY, Yoon JH, Lee HJ, Jo EH*, et al.* Autophagy negatively regulates tumor cell proliferation intracellular domain. Oncotarget 2016; 7:79047-79063.