ijbms.mums.ac.ir

IJ**B**MS

Ketone body, as an emerging modulator of metabolic reprogramming and epigenetics in breast cancer

Fatemeh Mehdikhani ¹, Sadegh Rajabi ², Maryam Mohammadlou ³, Marc Maresca ^{4*}, Rasoul Baharlou ^{5*}

¹ Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Traditional Medicine and Materia Medica Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Cancer Research Center, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

⁴ Aix Marseille University, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13013 Marseille, France

⁵ Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

ARTICLEINFO

A B S T R A C T

Article type: Review

Article history: Received: Nov 13, 2024 Accepted: May 5, 2025

Keywords:

Breast cancer Epigenetics Histone modifications Ketone bodies Metabolism

Please cite this article as:

underscores the critical role of metabolism in determining the epigenetic landscape of cells. To limit the glucose supply and alter cancer cell metabolism, researchers have investigated ketogenic diets as an alternative energy source for cancer cells and providing a promising strategy to combat cancers. However, controversial findings in the literature suggest a direct relationship between the use of ketone bodies in cancer cells and the augmentation of invasiveness. Additionally, studies indicate that using ketone bodies as an energy source can influence the epigenetic patterns of tumor cells. Breast cancer cells show a unique metabolism by which the cancer cells adapt to various conditions. This paper aims to review the metabolic characteristics of breast tumors, focusing on the ketone body metabolism in this cancer and the complex interplay between ketone bodies and the epigenetic changes in this cancer.

The metabolic profile of cancer cells, notably their reliance on glucose as a primary energy source for proliferation, sets them apart from normal cells. This metabolic dependency may significantly affect

their invasive potential when an adequate glucose supply is available. Moreover, emerging evidence

Mehdikhani F, Rajabi S, Mohammadlou M, Maresca M, Baharlou R. Ketone body, as an emerging modulator of metabolic reprogramming and epigenetics in breast cancer. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2025; 28: 1129-1139. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.22038/ijbms.2025.84064.18185

Introduction

Cancer is the second leading cause of death worldwide, after cardiovascular diseases (1). Breast cancer has been reported as the most common type of cancer in the world, and it is expected that over 3 million new cases will be affected by 2040 (2). Based on cellular markers, breast cancer can be categorized into three main types: those with estrogen (ER) or progesterone receptors (PR), those with overexpression of epidermal growth factor-2 (HER-2), and those lacking ER, PR, and HER-2, known as triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) (3-5). These markers also determine treatment strategies. Based on the immunohistochemistry markers and microarray studies, breast cancer can be further classified into five subgroups: Luminal A, characterized by positive ER or PR and negative HER-2; Luminal B, with positive ER and HER-2 or have high levels of Ki-67; HER-2 overexpressing subtype, displaying negative ER and PR but positive HER-2; Basal-like subtype, with negative ER, PR, and HER-2 but positive cytokeratin 6.5; and Normal breast-like subtype (6-8). One of the specific features of cancer cells is their metabolism, which is significantly different from that of normal cells. Cancer cells obtain the ability to employ the Warburg effect in both oxygen-rich and oxygen-poor environments, consuming a large amount of glucose to provide energy (Figure 1) (9). Several studies have investigated the metabolic profiles of breast tumors

by focusing on their different aspects such as glutamine uptake, lipid metabolism, and glycolytic hyperactivation. These investigations have revealed distinct metabolic alterations within breast tumors (10-13). Different subtypes of breast cancer exhibit distinct metabolic adaptations. For instance, TNBC significantly depends on glycolysis, even in the presence of oxygen. This reliance on glycolytic activity contributes to TNBC's aggressiveness, rapid proliferation, and resistance to treatment compared to other subtypes (14). Similarly, basal-like breast tumors also show a high dependence on glycolysis, whereas luminal breast cancers tend to rely more on oxidative phosphorylation for their energy needs (15). According to the new evidence supporting altered metabolism's effect on tumor cell fate, researchers are interested in investigating cancer metabolism to find possible ways to suppress cancer cell growth (16). However, cancer cells are highly adaptive to metabolic changes, making them challenging targets for cancer therapy. Consequently, disrupting metabolic pathways associated with the Warburg effect by inducing nutrient-deprived conditions has encountered significant challenges and limitations (17, 18). While cancer cells generally depend on glucose, some tumor cells with mitochondrial dysfunction cannot metabolize ketone bodies (19). Thus, recent research has proposed that reducing glucose intake and replacing it with a ketogenic diet may be a viable therapeutic strategy to inhibit tumor

*Corresponding authors: Rasoul Baharlou, Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran. Email: baharlour@gmail.com; Marc Maresca, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, iSm2, 13013 Marseille, France. Email: m.maresca@univ-amu.fr



© 2025. This work is openly licensed via CC BY 4.0.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the Warburg effect in cancer cells Cancer cells consume glucose and produce lactate to acquire their essential energy either in the presence of oxygen or in its absence. This process is called the Warburg effect. Tumor cells can grow and progress exponentially through this metabolic process.

progression (20). For example, a study using a VM-M3 mouse model of metastatic cancer demonstrated that ketogenic diets reduced tumor progression and increased survival rates (21). However, some contradictory evidence suggests that ketone bodies may positively influence cancer growth. For instance, Martínez-Outschoorn *et al.* revealed that stromal cells within the tumor microenvironment can supply ketone bodies for breast tumor growth (22). Besides, several lines of evidence have implied the presence of a critical connection between diet and epigenetic alterations

(23). In earlier investigations, mutations in specific genes, notably BRCA1 and BRCA2, were identified as the primary instigators of breast cancer. However, new research has increasingly unraveled the role of epigenetic modifications in promoting the growth and progression of breast cancer (24). Recent evidence has also shown an intricate relationship between ketone bodies and epigenetics. For example, betahydroxybutyrate (BHB) has been discovered to play a role in post-translational modifications, particularly those that affect histones (25). Cancer cells generally show considerable and uncontrollable growth, necessitating a higher demand for energy than normal cells. Consequently, metabolic reprogramming emerges as a pivotal phenomenon in cancer cells, enabling them to fulfill their energy needs. Initially, cancer cells orchestrate glycolytic pathways to provide energy demands while inhibiting oxidative phosphorylation (26). Subsequently, they convert this metabolic paradigm to increased tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle activity to obtain enough energy to support their proliferation (27, 28). The primary purpose of the present review is to describe the metabolic characteristics of breast tumors, focusing on the ketone body metabolism in this cancer. Moreover, this review summarizes prominent epigenetic changes in breast cancer and the interplay between epigenetics and ketone body metabolism.

Metabolic reprogramming in breast cancer

Tumor cells can rearrange their metabolic pathways, orchestrating the processes that support their uncontrolled proliferation. This phenomenon is metabolic reprogramming, by which cancer cells save the energy resources essential for their survival and progression (29). Among a variety of alterations in cancer cell metabolism, the most important ones are the increased glycolytic activity, the up-regulation of amino acid and lipid metabolism pathways, increased glutaminolysis, induction of the pentose phosphate pathway, facilitation of macromolecular biosynthesis, and mitochondrial biogenesis (29-35). Through complex metabolic reprogramming, cancer cells effectively utilize various nutrient sources to handle unlimited proliferation. In breast cancer cells, such a dysregulated metabolism is characterized by a significant elevation in glycolytic activity (Figure 2) (36-39). Increased glycolysis supports the





In breast tumor cells, there is a significant increase in glycolytic activity. This process involves the overexpression of glycolytic enzymes, including hexokinase 2 (HK2), pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2), phosphofructokinase (PFK), as well as glucose transporters such as GLUT1 and GLUT2. Conversely, the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle experiences significant dormancy and decreased pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) expression. Additionally, the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) is significantly activated by the hyperactivity of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) and transketolase (TK). Breast tumors exhibit elevated serine production due to up-regulation of the 3-phospho-glycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) enzyme and increased glutamine uptake. The uptake of lipids and cholesterol, along with increased expression of Fatty Acid Synthase (FAS), is also shown in breast cancer cells to facilitate fatty acid production. This metabolic reprogramming is associated with the progression and growth of breast cancer cells.



unrestrained proliferation of breast cancer cells (40). It has been evidenced that the major role player in glucose uptake is Glucose transporter 1 (GLUT), which is overexpressed in TNBC and is correlated with unfavorable prognosis (41, 42). Moreover, elevated expression levels of GLUT1 and GLUT3 have been observed in high-grade breast cancers (43). Other important role players in aggravating this metabolic phenotype are hyperactivated glycolytic enzymes. For example, hexokinase 2, a critical enzyme in the glycolytic pathway, is up-regulated in breast cancer to enhance glycolysis in these cancer cells (44, 45). Elevated activity of pyruvate kinase M2 and phosphofructokinase have been reported to be associated with diminished survival rates and increased risk of metastasis in breast cancer patients (46-49).

These cells have shown a significant decrease in the function of the TCA cycle. For example, breast tumors show a significant decrease in the expression of the PDHX component of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH). This enzyme is responsible for channeling metabolites from glycolysis into the TCA cycle. Reduced expression of PDHX has been reported to be correlated with adverse prognosis (50).

A plethora of evidence indicates activation of the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) in cancer cells. This metabolic pathway produces NADPH and ribonucleotide intermediates, which are crucial for lipid biosynthesis and glycolysis (51). PPP-related enzymes, including glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) and transketolase, have been revealed to be hyperactivated within breast cancer cells (52). In breast tumors with HER-2 overexpression, a significant increase in the expression levels of PPP enzymes has been described (53).

Metabolism of some amino acids, such as glutamine and serine, is necessary to provide breast tumor growth. For example, glutamine is an essential amino acid for the metabolic demands of breast tumors (54). TNBC has been reported to have elevated levels of glutaminase, an enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of glutamine to glutamic acid (55). This augmented expression of the enzyme may suggest the TNBC cells' dependence on exogenous sources of glutamine for survival (56). However, luminal tumors have been shown to up-regulate glutamine synthetase, an enzyme involved in glutamine synthesis (57). Serine is another amino acid that can be synthesized in breast cancer cells via the activity of the 3-phospho-glycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) enzyme, which is up-regulated in breast cancer (58, 59). The elevated expression of PHGDH and subsequent availability of serine are associated with the amplified growth in breast tumors (60).

Tumor cells also need lipids for the synthesis of the cancer cell membranes. To meet this goal, elevated lipid synthesis and the uptake of external cholesterol and lipids are necessary (61, 62). Fatty acids essential for the formation of the cell membrane in breast cancer cells are synthesized by the up-regulation of fatty acid synthase (FAS) (63). FAS expression is markedly elevated in breast tumors and is associated with poor prognosis and tumor relapse (64). The expression patterns of FAS vary between breast cancer subtypes, with a downregulated level in TNBC and an up-regulated expression in HER2⁺ tumors (65). Additionally, breast cancer cells display a high propensity for the uptake of lipids and some molecules, such as choline, to provide

building blocks for the formation of cell membranes. Both TNBC and luminal tumors exhibit incremented choline uptake, which is metabolized into phosphocholine and phosphatidylcholine (66, 67). Then, phosphatidylcholine is converted into choline and phosphatidic acid by phospholipase D (PLD). Phosphatidic acid has been unraveled to be associated with invasiveness and metastasis in breast tumors (68). Additionally, breast tumors with elevated proliferative activity often exhibit increased expression levels of PLD, highlighting the significant role of lipid metabolism in driving breast cancer progression (68, 69).

Some prominent epigenetic modifications in breast cancer

Recent evidence has shown that the primary promoting factor of breast cancer development lies in epigenetic alterations (70). It has been established that the intricate interplay between mutations in oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, and epigenetic changes may lead to the enhanced metastatic potential of breast tumors (71, 72). Epigenetic alterations orchestrate specific gene expression profiles, promoting uncontrolled growth and metastatic propagation of breast cancer (24). Describing all of the epigenetic alterations of breast cancer here is exhaustive. Therefore, we point out some prominent epigenetic changes in breast tumors in this section (Figure 3).

Recent investigations revealed that different breast cancer cell phenotypes show unique histone modification patterns. For instance, a subpopulation of drug-sensitive breast cancer cells bears a demethylated form of H3K27me3 (73). The activity of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) leads to the up-regulation of the Catechol-O-Methyltransferase gene, which is a well-characterized risk factor for breast cancer. Augmented activity of HATs also impedes the proliferation of estrogen-dependent breast cancer cells (24). Two histone modifications, including acetylation and methylation, have been numerously reported in breast cancer (74-76). In breast cancer cells with HER-2 overexpression, an increased level of lysine acetylation in H3 and H4 has been underscored (76, 77). An in vitro study indicated that the elevated acetylation of H4 was associated with abnormal expression of DNA methyl transferase 1 (DNMT1) and histone methyl transferase Suv4-20, two key epigenetic modifiers (78). In the early stages of breast cancer, the level of H4k16ac is significantly decreased (79). The H3K4ac mark is associated with both early and late stages of breast cancer (80). Dysregulated histone acetylation can modulate the expression of both oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. Additionally, it plays a role in the expression of other genes related to cell cycle, apoptosis, and cell growth in breast cancer (81). Inhibiting histone deacetylases (HDACs) has been shown to suppress breast cancer, making it a potential strategy for the treatment of breast cancer (80). Several investigations have suggested that the incidence of breast cancer is linked to abnormalities in histone modifications and transcription factors (81). A study conducted on MCF-7 cells by Jin and colleagues revealed the association of three histone modifications-H3K27me2, H3K27ac, and H3K4me1-with changes in the expression of genes associated with breast cancer. Specifically, alterations in H3K27me2 were found to play a significant role in the initiation and progression of



Figure 3. Some epigenetic modulators in breast cancer. This figure illustrates several key epigenetic alterations observed in breast cancer cells These alterations include histone modifications such as demethylation of H3K27me3, acetylation of H3K4 in both early and late stages of breast tumors, and acetylation of H4K16, specifically in the early stage. Additionally, elevated levels of H3Kac are associated with overexpression of DNMT1 and Suv4-20. DNA methylation contributes to the silencing of specific genes, while miRNAs and lncRNAs also play various roles in breast cancer from development to progression.

breast carcinoma (81). Moreover, k9me3/k14Ac or k9me3 accumulation was detected in breast tumor cell lines, while the k14Ac pattern was absent in primary cell lines (82). Furthermore, during the early stages of breast tumor development, a marked increase was shown in the histone modifier LSD1, which removes methyl groups from H3K9 and H3K4 (83). DNA methylation is another epigenetic modification in CpG islands of gene promoters and leads to gene silencing (84). Breast tumors often exhibit high levels of DNA hypermethylation, resulting in the silencing of critical genes of the cell cycle (e.g., p16, RASSF1A), DNA repair (e.g., BRCA1), and apoptosis (e.g., HOXA5, TMS1) (85-87). Furthermore, methylation of tumor suppressor genes such as CDH1, APC, CTNNB1, and 14-3-3 Sigma is associated with breast cancer growth (88, 89). A study on 179 breast cancer samples revealed hypermethylation of two promoter regions (Alu and LINE-1), which were correlated with the HER2⁺ breast cancer subtype (90). Additionally, several studies have provided evidence that DNA hypermethylation occurs in the early stages of breast tumor development (91). Moreover, Studies have shown that hypermethylation of promoters in tumor suppressor genes, such as CCDN2 (Cyclin D2), P16 (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A), ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated), RASSF1A (Ras Association Domain Family Member 1A), APC (Adenomatous Polyposis Coli), and BRCA1 (breast cancer gene 1), is commonly observed in breast cancers. This hypermethylation contributes to breast tumor progression by inhibiting apoptosis and disrupting cell cycle regulation (91-93). In contrast to DNA hypermethylation, which occurs at specific gene promoters, widespread hypomethylation throughout the genome results in genomic instability and triggers the activation of oncogenes in breast tumors (93).

The third epigenetic role-player is miRNA, which is generally dysregulated in breast tumors (94). For instance, miR-21 is significantly up-regulated in advanced stages of breast cancer, while increased expression of miR-155 is associated with metastatic behavior and poor prognosis (95, 96). Moreover, miRNA-497 has been shown to affect cell proliferation and invasion in breast cancer by targeting cyclin E1 (97). MiR-7 has been identified as an important role-player in suppressing the activity of a histone methyltransferase known as SET domain containing 8 (SET8) within invasive breast cancer cells. SET8 is primarily responsible for catalyzing methylation of the histone H4 at lysine 20 (H4K20me). Consequently, down-regulation of H4K20me by miR-7 impedes the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (98).

Other epigenetic modulators implicated in breast cancer progression are long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), characterized by their length exceeding 200 nucleotides. For example, HOTAIR is an lncRNA involved in the transforming growth factor beta (TGF β)- induced metastasis in breast tumors (99).

Ketogenic diet composition

A ketogenic diet is characterized by increased fat consumption, reduced carbohydrate intake, and moderate protein intake (100). Different cells primarily rely on glucose as their primary energy source. When glucose availability is limited, body cells switch to ketogenesis to meet their energy needs (101). Three forms of ketone bodies in the body are beta-hydroxybutyrate (BHB), acetone, and acetoacetate (102). There are various ketogenic diets, including the classic ketogenic diet, the modified Atkins diet, the very low-energy ketogenic diet, and the ketogenic Mediterranean diet (103). The classic ketogenic diet, which was initially developed for epilepsy patients, is characterized by a strict macronutrient composition of protein (6%), carbohydrates (4%), and fat (90%) (104). The modified Atkins diet consists of protein (30%), carbohydrates (5%), and fat (65%) (105, 106). The very low-energy ketogenic diet significantly restricts carbohydrate intake, mimicking the effects of fasting. It typically comprises 43% protein and 44% fat (107). The Ketogenic Mediterranean diet emphasizes a very low carbohydrate intake and includes fish, lean meats, walnuts, salads, and olive oil (108-112). In some conditions, Mediterranean diets recommend herbal extracts (113).

The role of ketone bodies in breast cancer metabolism and therapy

Due to the dependence of breast cancer cells on glucose as a primary energy source, the role of ketone bodies in the metabolism of breast cancer remains unclear (114).

In vitro studies

An in vitro study on MDA-MB 231 and MCF-7 cells revealed that breast cancer cells could not utilize ketone bodies as an energy source for growth (114). However, Bonuccelli et al. researched MDA-MB231 cells, revealing that BHB contributed to increased tumor growth (115). Another study, focusing on MCF-7 and immortal fibroblast hTERT, demonstrated an up-regulation of the enzymes involved in the ketone body production and consumption within the stroma of breast cancer cells. Additionally, it was revealed that catabolic fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment trigger tumor growth by producing and secreting ketone bodies (116). This observation suggests that ketone bodies play a critical role in tumor progression and metastasis. Besides, another study on the MDA-MB 231 cell line provided evidence to highlight that ketone bodies can potentially stimulate both the growth and metastasis of breast cancer (117). A comprehensive study involving various breast cancer cell lines, including BT20, BT474, HBL100, MCF-7, MDA-MB 231, MDA-MB 468, and T47D, revealed that treatment of the cell lines with BHB had remarkable effects on cell proliferation, chemotherapy response, or radiation sensitivity in vitro. Furthermore, BHB treatment-induced changes in BT20 breast cancer cells' energetic phenotype have no significant effect on other cell lines (118). Maldonado et al. investigated MCF-7 and T47D cell lines suffering from glucose deprivation in the presence of BHB. Their data revealed a considerable decrease in the proliferation rate of these breast cancer lines. This observation suggests that these cell lines may not effectively utilize BHB as an energy source when glucose is limited (119). Additionally, a study demonstrated that the viability of MCF-7 cells decreased when glucose was absent, and ketone bodies such as acetoacetate and BHB were available. The above data may reveal that cancer cells do not predominantly rely on ketone bodies as energy sources (120).

In vivo studies

An in vitro and in vivo study demonstrated an induced expression of monocarboxylate transporter 2 (MCT2), responsible for ketone body uptake, in breast tumors. This expression was associated with increased invasiveness of tumor cells (121). Research suggests that a ketogenic diet exhibits anti-cancer growth properties and exerts a tumorsuppressive effect on breast cancer (122). Besides, in mouse models of breast cancer, a ketogenic diet has been shown to enhance the efficacy of targeted therapies such as PI3K inhibitors, potentially overcoming drug resistance (123). Furthermore, Dai et al. proposed that the exposure of breast cancer cells to a ketogenic diet in a mouse model could induce the activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which may improve the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 immunotherapy by reducing Programmed Cell Death Ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression and enhancing the expression of antigen-presenting genes and type-1 interferon (124). Salem and colleagues showed that the knockdown of BRCA1 in tumor-associated stromal fibroblasts (shBRCA1

fibroblasts) leads to a significant increase in ketone body production. Co-culturing and co-injected BRCA1-deficient fibroblasts with MDA-MB-231 cells in a mouse model enhanced mitochondrial activity in cancer cells to support their proliferation (125).

Clinical trials

Several investigations have been conducted to assess the effects of ketogenic diets on breast cancer patients. A ketogenic diet improved metabolic parameters, body composition, and overall survival in breast cancer patients, according to a recent study (126). Klement et al. performed a clinical study involving breast cancer patients who are under radiotherapy. The findings indicated that ketogenic diets led to notable improvements in body composition, metabolic profiles, and participant-reported quality of life (127). Among patients with locally advanced and metastatic breast cancers, it was observed that ketogenic diets did not affect the quality of life, physical activity, dietary intake, or biomarkers (thyroid hormones, electrolytes, albumin, LDH, or ammonia) over 12 weeks. However, after 6 weeks, the group adhering to the ketogenic diet showed higher global quality of life and physical activity levels than the control group (128). Another clinical trial aimed to evaluate the feasibility and metabolic impacts of a personalized, well-formulated ketogenic diet (WFKD) among women diagnosed with stage IV metastatic breast cancer (MBC) who are under chemotherapy. The findings indicated that women with MBC undergoing chemotherapy can safely achieve and maintain a state of ketosis through a ketogenic diet while improving metabolic health outcomes over six months (129). Evidence suggests that combination of ketogenic diets with chemotherapy regimens may result in positive outcomes for patients with Triple-negative breast cancer. In this case study, the patient tolerated the treatment well and experienced significant improvement in quality of life (130). Although ketone bodies exert contradictory effects on cancer cells, researchers are focusing on this area of research to elucidate the net effect of ketone bodies on these cells. In a study conducted by Khodabakhshi et al., treatment of breast cancer patients with ketogenic diets for 12 weeks resulted in a significant decrease in tumor size and stage (131). The effect of ketone bodies on breast cancer appears to depend on the specific subtypes of this cancer and other potential contributing factors. This can determine the way to find efficient strategies for the treatment of breast cancer using ketogenic diets. Table 1 summarizes the studies that deal with the effect of ketone bodies on different models of breast cancer.

The interplay between ketone bodies and epigenetic modifications in breast cancer

Based on the literature, alterations in metabolism and epigenetic modifications are two prominent features of cancers (132-134). Metabolites produced in metabolic pathways can serve as substrates or cofactors for epigenetic alterations. Besides, those genes related to metabolism and enzymes involved in the metabolic pathways of cancer cells may exhibit differential expression due to changes in the epigenetic factors. Consequently, these two processes have a reciprocal interaction, which may make tumor cells more invasive and aggressive (135, 136).

The role of ketone bodies in epigenetic regulation and gene expression introduces a further level of intricacy to our

Type of ketone body	Breast cancer model	Dose of ketone body	Observed effect	Ref.
β-Hydroxybutyrate	MDA-MB 231 and MCF-7 cell lines	25 nM	Decreased proliferation	(114)
β-Hydroxybutyrate	MDA-MB231 cells	10 nM	Increased progression.	(115)
β-Hydroxybutyrate	Co-culturing of MCF-7 cell lines and Immortal	10 mM	Up-regulation of enzymes related to Ketone	(116)
	fibroblast hTERT		body production and consumption. Increased	
			proliferation of MCF-7 cell	
β-Hydroxybutyrate	Co-culturing of MDA-MB 231 cell lines and	10 mM for the MDA-MB 231 cell line, 500 mg/kg	Increased proliferation and progression	(117)
	Immortal fibroblast hTERT, MDA-MB 231	for the mouse model		
	xenografted mouse model			
β-Hydroxybutyrate	BT20, BT474, IIBL100, MCF-7, MDA-MB231,	3 mM	Increased proliferation	(118)
	MDA-MB468, T47D cell lines			
β-Hydroxybutyrate	MCF7, T47D cell lines	10-25 mM	Decreased progression	(119)
Acetoacetate,	MCF-7 cell lines	10 mM	Decreased proliferation	(120)
β-Hydroxybutyrate				
β-Hydroxybutyrate	Co-culturing and co-injecting MDA-MB-231, MDA-	10-20 mM	Induction of monocarboxylate transporter 2	(121)
	MB-468, MCF-7, MDA-MB-157, MDA-MB-361, and		leading to increased uptake of ketone bodies.	
	SK-BR-3 cell lines in a mouse model		Increased progression	
Ketogenic diet	Xenograft mouse model of MDA-MB-468 breast cancer	Protein (8.60%), Fat (75.10%), Fiber (4.80%), Ash	Increased efficacy of PI3K inhibitors	(123)
	C C	(3.00%), Carbohydrate (3.20%)	·	
Ketogenic diet	Injection of MDA-MB 231 cell lines in Mouse model	10 mM	Increased efficacy of anti-CTLA-4	(124)
			immunotherapy	
Secretion of ketone	Co-culturing MDA-MB-231 cell line and shBRCA1-	Secretion of 0.004 nM ketones by shBRCA1	Increased mitochondrial activity in tumor	(125)
bodies by shBRCA1	fibroblasts, Co-injection of MDA-MB-231 cell line	deficient fibroblasts	cells, Increased proliferation	
deficient fibroblasts	and shBRCA1- fibroblasts into a mouse model		· ·	
Ketogenic diet	Locally advanced and metastatic breast cancer	The patients were given an MCT-based Ketogenic	Increased survival rate of patients	(126)
	,	diet (6% carbohydrates, 19% protein, 20% MCT,		. ,
		55% fat) for 90 consecutive days concurrently		
		with the first three months of chemotherapy		
Ketogenic diet	Non-metastasized breast cancer	20 g medium-chain triglycerides per 100 ml +	The body composition, metabolic parameters,	(127)
		essential amino acids in the form of the MAP	and quality of life improved	()
		supplement, intervention group 2 should follow a	ana quanto er no migro (cu	
		whole food KD supplemented with MAP		
Ketogenic diet	Metastatic breast cancer	6% carbohydrate, 19% protein, 20% MCT oil, and	Physical activity and the quality of life	(128)
0		55% fat	improved after 6 weeks	()
Ketogenic diet	Stage IV metastatic breast cancer	20–50 g/day of carbohydrate, 1.2–1.5 g of	The Ketogenic diet improved metabolic	(129)
		protein/kg of reference weight, and dietary fat	health outcomes	(127)
		consumed to satiety	include outcomes	
	One of Martine Martine Barrier		Combination theorem C. L. C. 1999 - 1	(100)
Ketogenic diet	Stage IV Triple-Negative Breast Cancer	Normal consumption of eggs, leafy greens, above	Combination therapy of a ketogenic diet and	(130)
		ground vegetables, high fat dairy, natural fats,	chemotherapy improved the quality of life of	
		meats, nuts, and seeds	patients	
Ketogenic diet	Metastatic breast cancer	6% carbohydrate,	A ketogenic diet decreased tumor size and	(131)
		19% protein, 20% MCT, and 55% fat	stage after 12 weeks	

Table 1. Effects of different types of ketone bodies on various models of breast cancer

PI3K: Phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase; CTLA-4: Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4; MCT: Medium chain triglycerides; MAP: Master amino acid pattern; KD: Ketogenic diet

knowledge, offering significant perspectives on the effect of ketone bodies on cancer cells (137). Exploring their role in regulating epigenetic processes and gene expression provides valuable insights into the multifaceted effects of ketone bodies on cancer. A study demonstrated that combining a ketogenic diet with immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) therapies in prostate cancer led to the inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs) by BHB. This inhibition resulted in epigenetic reprogramming and increased MHC class I molecules expression on the tumor cell surface, making them more recognizable to CD8+ T cells. As a result, the immune system more effectively targets and destroys the tumor cells (138). Research indicates that HDAC3 is overexpressed in breast cancer, leading to the silencing of tumor suppressor genes through its histone deacetylase activity. This process promotes tumor survival and progression (139). Since



Figure 4. Effect of BHB on histone modifications in breast tumor cells

Treatment of various breast tumor cell lines with BHB leads to an increase in H3K9Ac levels. In MCF-7 cells, BHB increases histone acetylation. BHB administration does not affect histone acetylation in a mouse breast tumor model. In MDA-MB-231 cells, BHB treatment increases histone acetylation. Short-term BHB treatment in MDA-MB-231 cells results in histone acetylation and minimal histone butyrylation, while long-term treatment shows no change in histone acetylation or butyrylation.

BHB has an HDAC inhibitory activity, it may potentially counteract this effect by suppressing HDAC3, helping to restore the expression of tumor suppressor genes and hinder cancer progression. However, this hypothesis requires direct experimental evidence. An in vitro and in vivo study found that drug-resistant patient samples exhibited elevated levels of H3K79 methylation and H3K27 acetylation, which was significantly inhibited by BHB, leading to a reversal of Oxa resistance in both in vitro and in vivo models of CRC (140). In human breast cancer cells, using BHB has resulted in the elevation of H3K9ac and the up-regulation of tumor-promoting genes such as IL-1beta and LCN2, contributing to increased tumorigenesis (141). In another investigation, treatment of MCF-7 cells with BHB induced alterations in gene transcription compared to untreated cells. Additionally, there was a significant increase in histone acetylation. The findings of that study provided evidence to highlight the potential of ketone bodies to change the transcriptional gene profile in MCF-7 cells (117). In a study utilizing a mouse model of breast cancer, the administration of BHB was found to stimulate tumor growth. However, there was no significant effect on histone acetylation levels (142). Goudarzi et al. demonstrated that treating the MDA-MB-231 cells with BHB increased histone acetylation. Furthermore, their study revealed a minimal elevation in histone butyrylation (143). Another study revealed that long-term treatment of MDA-MB231 cells with BHB did not increase histone acetylation and butyrylation (144) (Figure 4). These paradoxical findings may arise from the heterogeneity of breast cancer cells or the ability of cancer cells to adapt and evade genetic or epigenetic changes.

Conclusion

The exploration of epigenetic profiles is emerging as a way to understand the molecular mechanisms of breast cancer and to provide strategies for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy for the disease (81). As described earlier, BHB exerts distinct effects on epigenetic factors in breast cancer cell lines (117, 141-144). Similarly, in animal models of breast cancer, BHB did not affect histone acetylation (142). These findings suggest that BHB's impact varies significantly depending on the tumor type. Considering the metabolic dependence of cancer cells on glucose, substituting glucose with ketogenic diets offers a promising strategy to hamper tumor growth. However, some studies indicate adverse effects of ketone bodies on cancer cells by promoting invasion and growth. The reason for these contradictory effects of ketone bodies is unknown, but it may be related to epigenetic alterations and the type of tumor.

It is essential to indicate the significant and sometimes contradictory effects of ketogenic diets on breast cancer. The heterogeneity among breast cancer subtypes necessitates a meticulous consideration of this diversity when evaluating the effect of ketogenic interventions. Researchers should assess various subtypes of this cancer, considering that responses may differ based on the specific characteristics of each subtype. A comprehensive understanding of the intricate interplay between ketone bodies and epigenetic modifications in different subtypes of breast cancer will be critical in seeking therapeutic modalities for the disease. This can help future research to discover personalized and effective interventions for the treatment of breast cancer. This review sheds light on the fact that most studies investigating the impact of ketone bodies on epigenetic alterations in breast tumors have predominantly focused on histone modifications. Besides, we found only six studies investigating the possible effects of ketogenic regimens on breast cancer patients. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has evaluated the modulating effect of acetone on epigenetic modifications in breast cancer. Only one in vitro study evaluated the effect of acetoacetate on a breast cancer cell line (120). Also, the effect of BHB has been studied only on histone modifications in breast cancer. This highlights a critical gap in this research area and necessitates the need for further exploration into some other epigenetic modifications after using ketogenic diets in different models of breast cancer.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the vice chancellor of research affairs at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Authors' Contributions

F M, S R, and M M prepared a draft. M M and R B edited the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Declaration

We have not used any AI tools or technologies to prepare this manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive a specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. Heron M. Deaths: Leading causes for 2015. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2017; 66: 1-76.

2. Arnold M, Morgan E, Rumgay H, Mafra A, Singh D, Laversanne M, *et al.* Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global statistics for 2020 and 2040. Breast 2022; 66: 15-23.

3. Kumar P, Aggarwal R. An overview of triple-negative breast cancer. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2016; 293:247-269.

4. Engebraaten O, Vollan HKM, Børresen-Dale A-L. Triplenegative breast cancer and the need for new therapeutic targets. Am J Pathol 2013; 183: 1064-1074.

5. Yersal O, Barutca S. Biological subtypes of breast cancer: Prognostic and therapeutic implications. World J Clin Onco 2014; 5: 412-424.

6. Nielsen TO, Hsu FD, Jensen K, Cheang M, Karaca G, Hu Z, *et al.* Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basallike subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004; 10: 5367-5374.

7. Krishnamurthy S, Poornima R, Challa VR, Goud YB. Triple negative breast cancer-our experience and review. Indian J Surg Oncol 2012; 3: 12-16.

8. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, Van De Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, *et al.* Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000; 406: 747-752.

9. Wu W, Zhao S. Metabolic changes in cancer: beyond the Warburg effect. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 2013; 45: 18-26.

10. Yao L, Wang L, Cao ZG, Hu X, Shao ZM. High expression of metabolic enzyme PFKFB4 is associated with poor prognosis of operable breast cancer. Cancer Cell Int 2019; 19: 165-176.

11. Zhang J, Pavlova NN, Thompson CB. Cancer cell metabolism: The essential role of the nonessential amino acid, glutamine. EMBO J 2017; 36: 1302-1315.

12. Lin J, Xia L, Liang J, Han Y, Wang H, Oyang L, *et al.* The roles of glucose metabolic reprogramming in chemo-and radio-resistance. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2019; 38:1-13.

13. Sica V, Bravo-San Pedro JM, Stoll G, Kroemer G. Oxidative phosphorylation as a potential therapeutic target for cancer therapy. Inter J Cancer 2020; 146: 10-17.

14. Derouane F, Desgres M, Moroni C, Ambroise J, Berliere M, Van Bockstal MR, *et al.* Metabolic adaptation towards glycolysis supports resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in early triple negative breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res 2024; 26: 29-47.

15. Liu Q, Liu N, van der Noord V, van der Stel W, van de Water B, Danen EHJ, *et al.* Differential response of luminal and basal breast cancer cells to acute and chronic hypoxia. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2023; 198: 583-596.

16. Wen S, Zhu D, Huang P. Targeting cancer cell mitochondria as a therapeutic approach. Future Med Chem 2013; 5: 53-67.

17. Chen Z, Lu W, Garcia-Prieto C, Huang P. The Warburg effect and its cancer therapeutic implications. J Bioenerg Biomembr 2007; 39: 267-274.

18. Jaworska M, Szczudlo J, Pietrzyk A, Shah J, Trojan SE, Ostrowska B, *et al.* The Warburg effect: A score for many instruments in the concert of cancer and cancer niche cells. Pharmacol Rep 2023; 75: 876-890.

19. Bandera-Merchan B, Boughanem H, Crujeiras AB, Macias-Gonzalez M, Tinahones FJ. Ketotherapy as an epigenetic modifier in cancer. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2020; 21: 509-519.

20. Feng S, Wang H, Liu J, Jiye A, Zhou F, Wang G. Multi-dimensional roles of ketone bodies in cancer biology: Opportunities for cancer therapy. Pharmacol Res 2019; 150: 104500.

21. Poff AM, Ari C, Seyfried TN, D'Agostino DP. The ketogenic diet and hyperbaric oxygen therapy prolong survival in mice with systemic metastatic cancer. PLoS One 2013; 8: e65522.

22. Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Lin Z, Whitaker-Menezes D, Howell A, Sotgia F, Lisanti MP. Ketone body utilization drives tumor growth and metastasis. Cell Cycle 2012; 11: 3964-3971.

23. Ideraabdullah FY, Zeisel SH. Dietary modulation of the epigenome. Physiol Rev 2018; 98: 667-695.

24. Zhuang J, Huo Q, Yang F, Xie N. Perspectives on the role of histone modification in breast cancer progression and the advanced technological tools to study epigenetic determinants of metastasis. Front Genet 2020; 11: 603552.

25. Ungaro P, Nettore IC, Franchini F, Palatucci G, Muscogiuri G, Colao A, *et al.* Epigenome modulation induced by ketogenic diets. Nutrients 2022; 14: 3245-3255.

26. Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E. The metabolism of tumors in the body. J Gen Physiol 1927; 8: 519-530.

27. Pavlides S, Whitaker-Menezes D, Castello-Cros R, Flomenberg N, Witkiewicz AK, Frank PG, *et al.* The reverse Warburg effect: Aerobic glycolysis in cancer associated fibroblasts and the tumor stroma. Cell Cycl 2009; 8: 3984-4001.

28. Anderson NM, Mucka P, Kern JG, Feng H. The emerging role and targetability of the TCA cycle in cancer metabolism. Protein Cell 2018; 9: 216-237.

29. DeBerardinis RJ, Lum JJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson CB. The biology of cancer: Metabolic reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. Cell Metab 2008; 7: 11-20.

30. DeBerardinis RJ, Mancuso A, Daikhin E, Nissim I, Yudkoff M, Wehrli S, *et al.* Beyond aerobic glycolysis: Transformed cells can engage in glutamine metabolism that exceeds the requirement for protein and nucleotide synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104: 19345-19350.

31. DeBerardinis RJ, Sayed N, Ditsworth D, Thompson CB. Brick by brick: metabolism and tumor cell growth. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2008; 18: 54-61.

32. Thompson C, Bauer D, Lum J, Hatzivassiliou G, ZONG W-X, Zhao F, *et al.* Editors. How do cancer cells acquire the fuel needed to support cell growth? Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol; 2005; 70: 357-362.

33. Wise DR, DeBerardinis RJ, Mancuso A, Sayed N, Zhang X-Y, Pfeiffer HK, *et al.* Myc regulates a transcriptional program that stimulates mitochondrial glutaminolysis and leads to glutamine addiction. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105: 18782-18787.

34. Dang CV. Glutaminolysis: Supplying carbon or nitrogen or both for cancer cells? Cell Cycle, 2010; 9: 3884-3886.

hallmark even warburg did not anticipate. Cancer Cell, 2012; 21: 297-308.

36. Willmann L, Schlimpert M, Halbach S, Erbes T, Stickeler E, Kammerer B. Metabolic profiling of breast cancer: Differences in central metabolism between subtypes of breast cancer cell lines. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2015; 1000: 95-104. 37. Lanning NJ, Castle JP, Singh SJ, Leon AN, Tovar EA, Sanghera A, *et al.* Metabolic profiling of triple-negative breast cancer cells reveals metabolic vulnerabilities. Cancer Metab 2017; 5: 1-14.

38. Budczies J, Denkert C, Müller BM, Brockmöller SF, Klauschen F, Györffy B, *et al.* Remodeling of central metabolism in invasive breast cancer compared to normal breast tissue–a GC-TOFMS based metabolomics study. BMC Genomic 2012; 13: 1-11.

39. Brauer HA, Makowski L, Hoadley KA, Casbas-Hernandez P, Lang LJ, Romàn-Pèrez E, *et al.* Impact of tumor microenvironment and epithelial phenotypes on metabolism in breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res, 2013; 19: 571-585.

40. Santidrian AF, Matsuno-Yagi A, Ritland M, Seo BB, LeBoeuf SE, Gay LJ, *et al.* Mitochondrial complex I activity and NAD+/ NADH balance regulate breast cancer progression. J Clin Invest 2013; 123: 1068-1081.

41. Choi J, Jung W-H, Koo JS. Metabolism-related proteins are differentially expressed according to the molecular subtype of invasive breast cancer defined by surrogate immunohistochemistry. Pathobiology 2012; 80: 41-52.

42. Wang J, Ye C, Chen C, Xiong H, Xie B, Zhou J, *et al.* Glucose transporter GLUT1 expression and clinical outcome in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 16875.

43. Krzeslak A, Wojcik-Krowiranda K, Forma E, Jozwiak P, Romanowicz H, Bienkiewicz A, *et al.* Expression of GLUT1 and GLUT3 glucose transporters in endometrial and breast cancers. Pathol Oncol Res 2012; 18: 721-728.

44. Patra KC, Wang Q, Bhaskar PT, Miller L, Wang Z, Wheaton W, *et al.* Hexokinase 2 is required for tumor initiation and maintenance and its systemic deletion is therapeutic in mouse models of cancer. Cancer Cell 2013; 24: 213-228.

45. Yang T, Ren C, Qiao P, Han X, Wang L, Lv S, *et al.* PIM2mediated phosphorylation of hexokinase 2 is critical for tumor growth and paclitaxel resistance in breast cancer. Oncogene 2018; 37: 5997-6009.

46. Hennipman A, Smits J, Van Oirschot B, Van Houwelingen J, Rijksen G, Neyt J, *et al.* Glycolytic enzymes in breast cancer, benign breast disease and normal breast tissue. Tumor Biol 1987; 8: 251-263.

47. Wang G, Xu Z, Wang C, Yao F, Li J, Chen C, *et al.* Differential phosphofructokinase-1 isoenzyme patterns associated with glycolytic efficiency in human breast cancer and paracancer tissues. Oncol Let 2013; 6: 1701-1706.

48. Dong G, Mao Q, Xia W, Xu Y, Wang J, Xu L, *et al.* PKM2 and cancer: The function of PKM2 beyond glycolysis. Oncol Let 2016; 11: 1980-1986.

49. Zhao Z, Song Z, Liao Z, Liu Z, Sun H, Lei B, *et al.* PKM2 promotes stemness of breast cancer cell by through Wnt/ β -catenin pathway. Tumor Bioll 2016; 37: 4223-4234.

50. Eastlack SC, Dong S, Ivan C, Alahari SK. Suppression of PDHX by microRNA-27b deregulates cell metabolism and promotes growth in breast cancer. Mol Cancer 2018; 17: 1-16.

51. Patra KC, Hay N. The pentose phosphate pathway and cancer. Trends Biochem Sci 2014; 39: 347-354.

52. Benito A, Polat IH, Noé V, Ciudad CJ, Marin S, Cascante M. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and transketolase modulate breast cancer cell metabolic reprogramming and correlate with poor patient outcome. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 106693.

53. Choi J, Kim E-S, Koo JS. Expression of pentose phosphate pathway-related proteins in breast cancer. Dis Markers 2018; 2018: 9369358.

54. Corchado-Cobos R, García-Sancha N, Mendiburu-Eliçabe M, Gómez-Vecino A, Jiménez-Navas A, Pérez-Baena MJ, *et al.* Pathophysiological integration of metabolic reprogramming in breast cancer. Cancers 2022; 14: 322-355.

55. Kim S, Kim DH, Jung W-H, Koo JS. Expression of glutamine metabolism-related proteins according to molecular subtype of breast cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 2013; 20: 339-348.

56. Lampa M, Arlt H, He T, Ospina B, Reeves J, Zhang B, *et al.* Glutaminase is essential for the growth of triple-negative breast cancer cells with a deregulated glutamine metabolism pathway and its suppression synergizes with mTOR inhibition. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0185092.

57. Kung H-N, Marks JR, Chi J-T. Glutamine synthetase is a genetic determinant of cell type–specific glutamine independence in breast epithelia. PLoS Genet 2011; 7: e1002229.

58. Locasale JW, Grassian AR, Melman T, Lyssiotis CA, Mattaini KR, Bass AJ, *et al.* Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase diverts glycolytic flux and contributes to oncogenesis. Nature Genet 2011; 43: 869-874.

59. Chen J, Chung F, Yang G, Pu M, Gao H, Jiang W, *et al.* Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase is dispensable for breast tumor maintenance and growth. Oncotarget 2013; 4: 2502-2511.

60. Yang M, Vousden KH. Serine and one-carbon metabolism in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16: 650-662.

61. Currie E, Schulze A, Zechner R, Walther TC, Farese RV. Cellular fatty acid metabolism and cancer. Cell Metabo 2013; 18: 153-161.

62. Hilvo M, Denkert C, Lehtinen L, Müller B, Brockmöller S, Seppänen-Laakso T, *et al.* Novel theranostic opportunities offered by characterization of altered membrane lipid metabolism in breast cancer progression. Cancer Res 2011; 71: 3236-3245.

63. Menendez JA, Lupu R. Fatty acid synthase (FASN) as a therapeutic target in breast cancer. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2017; 21: 1001-1016.

64. Mashima T, Seimiya H, Tsuruo T. *De novo* fatty-acid synthesis and related pathways as molecular targets for cancer therapy. Br J Cancer 2009; 100: 1369-1372.

65. Vazquez-Martin A, Ortega-Delgado FJ, Fernandez-Real JM, Menendez JA. The tyrosine kinase receptor HER2 (erbB-2): From oncogenesis to adipogenesis. J Cell Biochem 2008; 105: 1147-1152.
66. Katz-Brull R, Margalit R, Bendel P, Degani H. Choline metabolism in breast cancer; 2 H-, 13 C-and 31 P-NMR studies of cells and tumors. MAGMA 1998; 6: 44-52.

67. Glunde K, Jie C, Bhujwalla ZM. Molecular causes of the aberrant choline phospholipid metabolism in breast cancer. Cancer Res 2004; 64: 4270-4276.

68. Chen Y, Zheng Y, Foster DA. Phospholipase D confers rapamycin resistance in human breast cancer cells. Oncogene 2003; 22: 3937-3942.

69. Noh D-Y, Ahn S-J, Lee R-A, Park I-A, Kim J-H, Suh P-G, *et al.* Overexpression of phospholipase D1 in human breast cancer tissues. Cancer Let 2000; 161: 207-214.

70. Shukla S, Penta D, Mondal P, Meeran SM. Epigenetics of breast cancer: Clinical status of epi-drugs and phytochemicals. Adv Exp Med Biol 2019; 1: 293-310.

71. Chatterjee A, Rodger EJ, Eccles MR, editors. Epigenetic drivers of tumourigenesis and cancer metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol 2018; 1: 149-159.

72. Zhu B, Hsieh Y-P, Murphy TW, Zhang Q, Naler LB, Lu C. MOWChIP-seq for low-input and multiplexed profiling of genome-wide histone modifications. Nat Protoc 2019; 14: 3366-3394.

73. Grosselin K, Durand A, Marsolier J, Poitou A, Marangoni E, Nemati F, *et al.* High-throughput single-cell ChIP-seq identifies heterogeneity of chromatin states in breast cancer. Nature Geneti 2019; 51: 1060-1066.

74. Li Y, Li S, Chen J, Shao T, Jiang C, Wang Y, *et al.* Comparative epigenetic analyses reveal distinct patterns of oncogenic pathways

activation in breast cancer subtypes. Hum Mol Genet 2014; 23: 5378-5393.

75. Mungamuri SK, Murk W, Grumolato L, Bernstein E, Aaronson SA. Chromatin modifications sequentially enhance ErbB2 expression in ErbB2-positive breast cancers. Cell Rep 2013; 5: 302-313.

76. Falahi F, Huisman C, Kazemier HG, van der Vlies P, Kok K, Hospers GA, *et al.* Towards sustained silencing of HER2/neu in cancer by epigenetic editing. Mol Cancer Res 2013; 11: 1029-1039. 77. Strahl BD, Allis CD. The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature 2000; 403: 41-45.

78. Tryndyak VP, Kovalchuk O, Pogribny IP. Loss of DNA methylation and histone H4 lysine 20 trimethylation in human breast cancer cells is associated with aberrant expression of DNA methyltransferase 1, Suv4-20h2 histone methyltransferase and methyl-binding proteins. Cancer Biol Ther 2006; 5: 65-70.

79. Falahi F, van Kruchten M, Martinet N, Hospers G, Rots MG. Current and upcoming approaches to exploit the reversibility of epigenetic mutations in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res 2014; 16: 1-11.

80. Guo P, Chen W, Li H, Li M, Li L. The histone acetylation modifications of breast cancer and their therapeutic implications. Pathol Onco Res 2018; 24: 807-813.

81. Jin W, Li Q-Z, Liu Y, Zuo Y-C. Effect of the key histone modifications on the expression of genes related to breast cancer. Genomics 2020; 112: 853-858.

82. Karami Fath M, Azargoonjahromi A, Kiani A, Jalalifar F, Osati P, Akbari Oryani M, *et al.* The role of epigenetic modifications in drug resistance and treatment of breast cancer. Cell Mol Biol Let 2022; 27: 52-62.

83. Serce N, Gnatzy A, Steiner S, Lorenzen H, Kirfel J, Buettner R. Elevated expression of LSD1 (Lysine-specific demethylase 1) during tumour progression from pre-invasive to invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. BMC Clin Pathol 2012; 12: 13-19.

84. Lopez J, Percharde M, Coley H, Webb A, Crook T. The context and potential of epigenetics in oncology. Br J Cancer 2009; 100: 571-577.

85. Lustberg MB, Ramaswamy B. Epigenetic therapy in breast cancer. Curr Breast Cancer Rep 2011; 3: 34-43.

86. Esteller M. CpG island hypermethylation and tumor suppressor genes: A booming present, a brighter future. Oncogene 2002; 21: 5427-5440.

87. Radpour R, Barekati Z, Kohler C, Schumacher MM, Grussenmeyer T, Jenoe P, *et al.* Integrated epigenetics of human breast cancer: synoptic investigation of targeted genes, microRNAs and proteins upon demethylation treatment. PLoS One 2011; 6: e27355.

88. Hoque MO, Prencipe M, Poeta ML, Barbano R, Valori VM, Copetti M, *et al.* Changes in CpG islands promoter methylation patterns during ductal breast carcinoma progression. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009; 18: 2694-2700.

89. Gheibi A, Kazemi M, Baradaran A, Akbari M, Salehi M. Study of promoter methylation pattern of 14-3-3 sigma gene in normal and cancerous tissue of breast: A potential biomarker for detection of breast cancer in patients. Adv Biomed Res 2012; 1: 80-84.

90. Park SY, Seo AN, Jung HY, Gwak JM, Jung N, Cho N-Y, *et al.* Alu and LINE-1 hypomethylation is associated with HER2 enriched subtype of breast cancer. PLoS One 2014; 9: e100429.

91. Locke WJ, Clark SJ. Epigenome remodelling in breast cancer: Insights from an early *in vitro* model of carcinogenesis. Breast Cancer Res 2012; 14: 215-228.

92. Prabhu KS, Sadida HQ, Kuttikrishnan S, Junejo K, Bhat AA, Uddin S. Beyond genetics: Exploring the role of epigenetic alterations in breast cancer. Pathol Res Pract 2024; 254: 155174.

93. Sarvari P, Sarvari P, Ramirez-Diaz I, Mahjoubi F, Rubio K. Advances of epigenetic biomarkers and epigenome editing for early diagnosis in breast cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2022; 23: 9521-9552.
94. Christodoulatos GS, Dalamaga M. Micro-RNAs as clinical biomarkers and therapeutic targets in breast cancer: Quo vadis? World J Clin Oncolo 2014; 5: 71-80.

95. Iorio MV, Ferracin M, Liu C-G, Veronese A, Spizzo R, Sabbioni S, *et al.* MicroRNA gene expression deregulation in human breast cancer. Cancer Rese 2005; 65: 7065-7070.

96. Mattiske S, Suetani RJ, Neilsen PM, Callen DF. The oncogenic role of miR-155 in breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012; 21: 1236-1243.

97. Luo Q, Li X, Gao Y, Long Y, Chen L, Huang Y, *et al.* MiRNA-497 regulates cell growth and invasion by targeting cyclin E1 in breast cancer. Cancer Cell Inte 2013; 13: 1-8.

98. Yu N, Huangyang P, Yang X, Han X, Yan R, Jia H, *et al.* microRNA-7 suppresses the invasive potential of breast cancer cells and sensitizes cells to DNA damages by targeting histone methyltransferase SET8. J Biol Chem 2013; 288: 19633-19642.

99. Pádua Alves C, Fonseca AS, Muys BR, Barros e Lima Bueno R, Bürger MC, Souza JE, *et al.* Brief report: The lincRNA Hotair is required for epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and stemness maintenance of cancer cell lines. Stem Cell 2013; 31: 2827-2832.

100. Kim J-M. Ketogenic diet: Old treatment, new beginning. Clin Neurophysiol Pract 2017; 2: 161-162.

101. Rui L. Energy metabolism in the liver. Compr Physiol 2014; 4: 177-197.

102. Dhillon KK, Gupta S. Biochemistry, Ketogenesis. Treasure Island (FL) ineligible companies. 3rd ed. StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

103. Ashtary-Larky D, Bagheri R, Bavi H, Baker JS, Moro T, Mancin L, *et al.* Ketogenic diets, physical activity and body composition: A review. Br J Nutr 2022; 127: 1898-1920.

104. Bergqvist AC, Schall JI, Gallagher PR, Cnaan A, Stallings VA. Fasting versus gradual initiation of the ketogenic diet: A prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy. Epilepsia 2005; 46: 1810-1819.

105. Kossoff EH, Cervenka MC, Henry BJ, Haney CA, Turner Z. A decade of the modified Atkins diet (2003–2013): Results, insights, and future directions. Epilepsy Behav 2013; 29: 437-442.

106. Kossoff EH, Hartman AL. Ketogenic diets: new advances for metabolism-based therapies. Curr Opin Neurol 2012; 25: 173-178. 107. Caprio M, Infante M, Moriconi E, Armani A, Fabbri A, Mantovani G, *et al.* Very-low-calorie ketogenic diet (VLCKD) in the management of metabolic diseases: Systematic review and consensus statement from the Italian Society of Endocrinology (SIE). J Endocrinol Invest 2019; 42: 1365-1386.

108. Neth BJ, Mintz A, Whitlow C, Jung Y, Sai KS, Register TC, *et al.* Modified ketogenic diet is associated with improved cerebrospinal fluid biomarker profile, cerebral perfusion, and cerebral ketone body uptake in older adults at risk for Alzheimer's disease: A pilot study. Neurobiol Aging 2020; 86: 54-63.

109. Nagpal R, Neth BJ, Wang S, Craft S, Yadav H. Modified mediterranean-ketogenic diet modulates gut microbiome and short-chain fatty acids in association with Alzheimer's disease markers in subjects with mild cognitive impairment. EBioMedicine 2019; 47: 529-542.

110. Pérez-Guisado J, Muñoz-Serrano A. The effect of the Spanish Ketogenic Mediterranean Diet on nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A pilot study. J Med Food 2011; 14: 677-680.

111. Perez-Guisado J, Munoz-Serrano A. A pilot study of the Spanish ketogenic mediterranean diet: An effective therapy for the metabolic syndrome. J Med Food 2011; 14: 681-687.

112. Perng B, Chen M, Perng J, Jambazian P. A Keto-mediet approach with coconut substitution and exercise may delay the onset of alzheimer's disease among middle-aged. J Prev Alzheimers Dis 2017; 4: 51-57.

113. Paoli A, Cenci L, Grimaldi KA. Effect of ketogenic mediterranean diet with phytoextracts and low carbohydrates/ high-protein meals on weight, cardiovascular risk factors, body composition and diet compliance in Italian council employees. Nutr J 2011; 10: 112-119.

114. Dixon AM, Weichhaus M. Breast cancer metabolism: Are ketone bodies energetic substrates. Cancer Res 2016; 76: 35.

Pestell RG, Chiavarina B, *et al.* Ketones and lactate "fuel" tumor growth and metastasis: Evidence that epithelial cancer cells use oxidative mitochondrial metabolism. Cell Cyc 2010; 9: 3506-3514. 116. Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Lin Z, Whitaker-Menezes D, Howell A, Lisanti MP, Sotgia F. Ketone bodies and twocompartment tumor metabolism: Stromal ketone production fuels mitochondrial biogenesis in epithelial cancer cells. Cell Cycle 2012; 11: 3956-3963.

117. Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Lin Z, Whitaker-Menezes D, Howell A, Sotgia F, Lisanti MP. Ketone body utilization drives tumor growth and metastasis. Cell Cycle 2012; 11: 3964-3971.

118. Bartmann C, Janaki Raman SR, Flöter J, Schulze A, Bahlke K, Willingstorfer J, *et al.* Beta-hydroxybutyrate (3-OHB) can influence the energetic phenotype of breast cancer cells, but does not impact their proliferation and the response to chemotherapy or radiation. Cancer Metabo 2018; 6: 1-19.

119. Maldonado R, Talana CA, Song C, Dixon A, Uehara K, Weichhaus M. β -hydroxybutyrate does not alter the effects of glucose deprivation on breast cancer cells. Oncol Let 2021; 21: 65-77.

120. Zuhal K, Yilmaz AM, Yalcin AS. Effect of ketone bodies on viability of human breast cancer cells (MCF-7). Marmara Med J 2018; 31: 57-60.

121. Guan X, Bryniarski MA, Morris ME. *In vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy of the monocarboxylate transporter 1 inhibitor AR-C155858 in the murine 4T1 breast cancer tumor model. AAPS J 2018; 21: 3-26.

122. Weber DD, Aminzadeh-Gohari S, Tulipan J, Catalano L, Feichtinger RG, Kofler B. Ketogenic diet in the treatment of cancer–where do we stand? Mol Metab 2020; 33: 102-121.

123. Hopkins BD, Pauli C, Du X, Wang DG, Li X, Wu D, *et al.* Suppression of insulin feedback enhances the efficacy of PI3K inhibitors. Nature 2018; 560: 499-503.

124. Dai X, Bu X, Gao Y, Guo J, Hu J, Jiang C, *et al.* Energy status dictates PD-L1 protein abundance and anti-tumor immunity to enable checkpoint blockade. Mol Cell 2021; 81: 2317-2331.

125. Salem AF, Howell A, Sartini M, Sotgia F, Lisanti MP. Downregulation of stromal BRCA1 drives breast cancer tumor growth via upregulation of HIF-1 α , autophagy and ketone body production. Cell Cycle 2012; 11: 4167-4173.

126. Khodabakhshi A, Akbari ME, Mirzaei HR, Mehrad-Majd H, Kalamian M, Davoodi SH. Feasibility, safety, and beneficial effects of MCT-based ketogenic diet for breast cancer treatment: A randomized controlled trial study. Nutr Cancer 2020; 72: 627-634. 127. Klement RJ, Champ CE, Kämmerer U, Koebrunner PS, Krage K, Schäfer G, *et al.* Impact of a ketogenic diet intervention during radiotherapy on body composition: III—final results of the Ketocomp study for breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res 2020; 22: 1-14.

128. Khodabakhshi A, Seyfried TN, Kalamian M, Beheshti M, Davoodi SH. Does a ketogenic diet have beneficial effects on quality of life, physical activity or biomarkers in patients with breast cancer: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Nutr J 2020; 19: 1-10.

129. Buga A, Harper DG, Sapper TN, Hyde PN, Fell B, Dickerson R, *et al.* Feasibility and metabolic outcomes of a well-formulated

ketogenic diet as an adjuvant therapeutic intervention for women with stage IV metastatic breast cancer: The Keto-CARE trial. PLos One 2024; 19: e0296523.

130. İyikesici MS, Slocum AK, Slocum A, Berkarda FB, Kalamian M, Seyfried TN. Efficacy of metabolically supported chemotherapy combined with ketogenic diet, hyperthermia, and hyperbaric oxygen therapy for stage IV triple-negative breast cancer. Cureus 2017; 9: e1445.

131. Khodabakhshi A, Akbari ME, Mirzaei HR, Seyfried TN, Kalamian M, Davoodi SH. Effects of Ketogenic metabolic therapy on patients with breast cancer: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Clin Nutr 2021; 40: 751-758.

132. Feinberg AP, Vogelstein B. Hypomethylation distinguishes genes of some human cancers from their normal counterparts. Nature 1983; 301: 89-92.

133. Esteller M. Epigenetics in cancer. New Engl J Med 2008; 358: 1148-1159.

134. Coronel-Hernández J, Perez-Yepez EA, Delgado-Waldo I, Contreras-Romero C, Jacobo-Herrera N, Cantu-De Leon D, *et al.* Aberrant metabolism as inductor of epigenetic changes in breast cancer: therapeutic opportunities. Front Oncol 2021; 11: 676562.

135. Kinnaird A, Zhao S, Wellen KE, Michelakis ED. Metabolic control of epigenetics in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16:694-707.
136. Yu X, Ma R, Wu Y, Zhai Y, Li S. Reciprocal regulation of metabolic reprogramming and epigenetic modifications in cancer. Fronti Genet 2018; 9:394.

137. Katada S, Imhof A, Sassone-Corsi P. Connecting threads: Epigenetics and metabolism. Cell 2012; 148:24-28.

138. Murphy S, Rahmy S, Gan D, Liu G, Zhu Y, Manyak M, *et al.* Ketogenic diet alters the epigenetic and immune landscape of prostate cancer to overcome resistance to immune checkpoint blockade therapy. Cancer Res 2024; 84: 1597-1612.

139. Rahbari R, Rasmi Y, Khadem-Ansari MH, Abdi M. The role of histone deacetylase 3 in breast cancer. Med Oncol 2022; 39: 84. 140. Deng M, Yan P, Gong H, Li G, Wang J. Beta-hydroxybutyrate resensitizes colorectal cancer cells to oxaliplatin by suppressing H3K79 methylation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Mol Med 2024; 30: 95-109. 141. Huang C-K, Chang P-H, Kuo W-H, Chen C-L, Jeng Y-M, Chang K-J, *et al.* Adipocytes promote malignant growth of breast tumours with monocarboxylate transporter 2 expression via β -hydroxybutyrate. Nat Commun 2017; 8: 1-13.

142. Rodrigues LM, Uribe-Lewis S, Madhu B, Honess DJ, Stubbs[^] M, Griffiths JR. The action of β -hydroxybutyrate on the growth, metabolism and global histone H3 acetylation of spontaneous mouse mammary tumours: evidence of a β -hydroxybutyrate paradox. Cancer Metabol 2017; 5: 1-13.

143. Goudarzi A, Hosseinmardi N, Salami S, Mehdikhani F, Derakhshan S, Aminishakib P. Starvation promotes histone lysine butyrylation in the liver of male but not female mice. Gene 2020; 745: 144647.

144. Mehdikhani F, Ghahremani H, Nabati S, Tahmori H, Sirati-Sabet M, Salami S. Histone butyrylation/acetylation remains unchanged in triple negative breast cancer cells after a long term metabolic reprogramming. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2019; 20: 3597-3601.