

Epidemiology of *mecA*-Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Iran: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Emran Askari¹, Fatemeh Soleymani¹, Arash Arianpoor¹, Seyed Meghdad Tabatabai¹, Aminreza Amini², Mahboobeh Naderi Nasab *^{1,3}

Abstract

Objective(s)

Staphylococcus aureus is a prevalent pathogen worldwide. Methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), which is usually multi-resistant in hospitals, has been a daunting challenge for clinicians for more than half a century. The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the relative frequency (RF) of MRSA in different regions of Iran.

Materials and Methods

Search terms "Staphylococcus aureus", "Methicillin", "mecA" and "Iran" were used in PubMed, Scirus and Google Scholar. Two Persian scientific search engines and ten recent national congresses were also explored. Articles/abstracts, which used clinical specimens and had done PCR to detect the mecA gene, were included in this review. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis and Meta-Analyst software were used for statistical analysis.

Results

Out of 2690 results found in the mentioned databases, 48 articles were included in the final analysis. These studies were done in Ahvaz, Falavarjan, Fasa, Gorgan, Hamedan, Isfahan, Kashan, Mashhad, Sanandaj, Shahrekord, Shiraz, Tabriz, Tehran and Tonekabon. Pooled estimation of 7464 *S. aureus* samples showed that $52.7\% \pm 4.7$ (95% confidence interval [CI]) of strains were *mecA* positive. MRSA RF in different studies varied from 20.48% to 90% in Isfahan and Tehran, respectively. We found a moderate heterogeneity (I²= 48.5%) of MRSA RF among studies conducted in Tehran (ranging from 28.88% to 90%, mean 52.7% [95% CI: 46.6% \pm 58.8%]).

Conclusion

According to the results of this study, MRSA RF in Iran is in the high range. Thus, measures should be taken to keep the emergence and transmission of these strains to a minimum.

Keywords: Iran, Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Systematic Review

¹ Mashhad Medical Microbiology Student Research Group, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran ² Department of Biostatistics, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

³ Department of Microbiology, Central Laboratory, Imam Reza Teaching Hospital, Mashhad, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Tel: +98-9151164627; Fax: +98-511-7636185; email: Naderinasabm@mums.ac.ir

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus has been known as a threat to human health for more than a century. This pathogen is responsible for a wide range of maladies from folliculitis and food poisoning to life-threatening conditions such as endocarditis or necrotizing pneumonia (1).

Introduction of penicillin to the market in the 1940s was a cornerstone in treating staphylococcal infections, which was soon followed by the emergence of β -lactamase producing strains. Methicillin, a β -lactamaseresistant antimicrobial agent, was introduced in 1959. The first report of methicillinresistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) was from London in 1961 (2, 3).

It has been suggested that the *mecA* gene is responsible for resistance to methicillin. *MecA* encodes an altered penicillin-binding protein (i.e. PBP_{2a}) with a low affinity for β -lactam antibiotics (2). The multi-drug resistance phenomenon, seen especially in MRSA strains, is a main cause of treatment failure and increase in treatment costs (4). It is noteworthy that MRSA infections are associated with a higher mortality rate compared to infections with methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (5).

MRSA was previously considered as a nosocomial pathogen, but in the past two decades, reports suggest an increasing trend community-associated MRSA for (CA-MRSA). These clones may replace current health care-associated MRSA (HA-MRSA) clones in the future. This hypothesis is supported not only by mathematical models but also by reports that have shown invasion of CA-MRSA clones to hospitals (6). First described in Minnesota, CA-MRSA has now attracted global attention (1). Since 2004, MRSA related to livestock infections has also been reported. However, this type of MRSA seems to be limited to some countries, especially the ones where pig farms are $\operatorname{common}(7, 8).$

Recent studies have revealed an increase in the worldwide prevalence of MRSA. However, some European countries have maintained low rates of MRSA (4, 7). Although there are many reports from different cities of Iran, the average rate of MRSA in Iranian hospitals is still unknown. Our aim in this study is to provide the relative frequency (RF) of MRSA in Iran, as detected by the PCR amplification of the *mecA* gene.

Materials and Methods

Literature search

aureus". *"Staphylococcus" "S*. aureus" "Methicillin", "MRSA", "MSSA", "mecA gene" Iran (for non-Iranian databases) and were searched with special strategies in PubMed, Google Scholar and Scirus search engines. Two Persian scientific search engines "Scientific Information Database" (www.sid.ir). and "IranMedex" (www.iranmedex.com) were searched as well. The keywords were also searched at all Iranian academic domains (i.e. ending with.ac.ir) by "Google advanced search". Additionally, abstract books of 10 recent congresses (i.e. "1st-5th Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology", "4th Congress of Laboratory and Clinic", "First International and 12th Iranian Congress of Microbiology", "The First Iranian International Congress of Medical Bacteriology", "The Congress of Infections and Antibiotic Resistance" and "The Congress of Rational Usage of Antibiotics") were explored. All common dictation mistakes and possible conditions of mentioned words (in English and Persian) were covered as well. Search strategies were followed until 17th May 2012.

Inclusion criteria

Among English and Persian articles/abstracts found with above strategies, those with the following features were included in the study:

1. *S. aureus* samples were collected from Iranian hospitals.

2. Clinical specimens were taken from patients. If there were personnel specimens as well, results of the personnel were excluded.

3. PCR method was done to detect *mecA* gene. Phenotypic results were not included because: (A) Phenotypic methods had variable sensitivities and specificities in various studies (9). (B) Phenotypic methods were affected by many factors such as pH of media, concentration of NaCl, incubation period

of isolates, commercial discs and media used in different studies and also personnel's/researcher's skills (10).(C) heterogeneity for Generally. avoiding inclusion of studies is desirable in systematic reviews (11). (D) Breakpoints of phenotypic methods may change over time and make the interpretation of previous results more difficult. For example, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute revised the breakpoints for cefoxitin disc diffusion and minimum inhibitory concentration in 2007 and 2008, respectively (12, 13).

Exclusion criteria

During observation, studies with at least one of the aspects mentioned below were excluded:

1. Samples were partially/totally selected from MRSA collections.

2. Method for detecting MRSA strains could not be discovered from the paper.

Data collection

At this stage, articles/abstracts with the following features were excluded as well:

1. Any projects published both in English and Persian. (In these cases, the article published later and/or with more detailed results was chosen for analysis.)

2. Duplicate publications and congress abstracts whose full-text papers were also available.

3. The origin of samples was not clear, meaning that the reviewer(s) could not find out which region or population (i.e. inpatients, personnel, or outpatients) the specimens were gathered from.

4. Nasal, oral or throat swabs were taken from healthy people or patients/healthcare personnel to detect carriers.

5. Unclear report of the results, such as studies that mixed results of "Coagulase-negative Staphylococci and *S. aureus*" or "healthy people and patients".

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by the Meta-Analyst (version 3.13 Beta) and Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (version 2.0) software. Overall relative frequency of MRSA in Iran was pooled by forest plot using the Meta-Analyst software. Statistical heterogeneity of the results was checked using Cochrane Q-test with significance set at P < 0.1. In order to assess possible publication bias, the Begg and Mazumdar's test was done using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software. The Begg and Mazumdar's rank correlation test reports the rank correlation between the standardized effect size and the variances (or standard errors) of these effects.

Results

Out of 2690 articles/abstracts found by the aforementioned search strategies, 79 results matched inclusion criteria, out of which 48 (29 full-text articles and 19 abstracts) were selected for analysis (Table 1) (14-61). Sample size and 95% confidence interval (CI) of each study was shown in a Forest plot (Figure 1). According to heterogeneity test, random model methods were used for meta-analysis tests (P< 0.001). I² statistics, the proportion of variation due to heterogeneity, was 0.48, indicating moderate heterogeneity.

Pooled estimation of 7464 *S. aureus* samples showed 52.7%±4.7 (95% CI) of strains to be *mecA* positive. These samples were taken from 14 different Iranian cities (Figure 2). MRSA RF varied from 20.48% to 90% in Isfahan and Tehran, respectively (22, 55). Amoderate heterogeneity (I^2 = 48.5%) of MRSA RF in the studies conducted in Tehran, the capital city of Iran (ranging from 28.88% to 90%, mean 52.7% [95% CI: 46.6%-58.8%]) (33-60) was found.

A significant correlation suggested that bias exists but does not directly address the implication of bias (Kendall's tau= 0.21). The results of a Begg and Mazumdar's rank correlation test supported its possibility (P=0.039).



Proportion: 95% Confidence Interval

Figure 1. Forest plot of the current relative frequency of *mecA*-MRSA among clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in different Iranian studies



Figure 2. Prevalence of mecA-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Iran

Discussion

During the past decade, assays for detection of *mecA* gene for staphylococci became popular among Iranian researchers. Based on these studies, we reported the cumulative prevalence of MRSA and provided a map to illustrate the epidemiology of MRSA in Iran. In two previous global reports, the prevalence of MRSA in Iran was unknown (2, 7).

According to our study, the mean prevalence of MRSA in Iran was $52.7\% \pm 4.7$ and was more than fifty percent in many Iranian cities. This finding indicates that physicians may face difficulties in treatment of more than half of *S. aureus* infections. Keeping in mind the high prices of newer agents, vancomycin appears to be a suitable agent to fight this pathogen, although recent emergence of vancomycin resistance in Iran is really alarming (36, 62).

In a regional perspective, Iran has a higher prevalence of MRSA compared to reports

from neighboring countries in the Middle East with the exception of Iraq (2, 7). The ANSORP study which reported HA-MRSA rates for eight Asian countries showed higher percentage of MRSA in those countries compared to Iran. However, judgment cannot be made because most Iranian studies did not clearly divide their *S. aureus* population to HA- and CA- infections (63).

From an international stand, our data are in the same range as Argentina and Mexico in Latin America (64). Mean prevalence of MRSA in Iran is moderately higher than Australia and lower than the United States (65, 66). However, recent reports have shown that MRSA rates are declining in United States (67, 68). Prevalence of MRSA in Europe is heterogeneous with average lower than other continents but Portugal seems to have a similar rate of MRSA rates similar to our country (7).

City	Туре	Sample	MRSA ¹	Relative frequency of MRSA $(\%)$	Study team	Year published/
	Article	97	60	61	Ekrami <i>et al</i> (14)	2010
Ahvaz	Abstract	195	>96	>49.23*	Moosavian <i>et al</i> (15)	2010
	Article	95	83	87.36	Khosravi <i>et al</i> (16)	2011
Falavarian	Article	108	92	85.18	Heidari <i>et al</i> (17)	2012
Fasa	Article	164	78	47.56	Abdollahi <i>et al</i> (18)	2012
Gorgan	Article	185	65	35.13	Vaez $et al$ (19)	2011
Hamedan	Article	70	35	50	Zamani <i>et al</i> (20)	2007
	Abstract	156	102	65	Alizargar $et al$ (21)	2011
Isfahan	Article	83	17	20.48	Havaei et al (22)	2011
Kashan	Article	150	87	58	Zeinali et al (23)	2010
Mashhad	Article	86	46	53.48	NaderiNasab et al (24)	2005
Sanandaj	Abstract	96	37	38.5	Vaiseh et al (25)	2012
Shahrekord	Article	196	96	48.98	Shariati <i>et al</i> (26)	2010
Shiraz	Article	115	49	42.6	Japoni <i>et al</i> (27)	2004
	Article	46	>32	>69.5*	Nikbakht <i>et al</i> (28)	2008
Tabriz	Abstract	56	>7	>12.5*	Zarrini <i>et al</i> (29)	2008
	Abstract	86	34	39.5	Esfandvari <i>et al</i> (30)	2011
Tehran	Abstract	90	64	71	Kianinia <i>et al</i> (31-32)*	2011
	Article	70	28	40	Mirsalehian <i>et al</i> (33)	2003
	Article	338	162	48	Aligholi <i>et al</i> (34)	2006
	Abstract	117	52	44.45	Mostafaee <i>et al</i> (35)	2007
	Article	356	>149	>41.85*	Aligholi <i>et al</i> (36)	2008
	Article	277	>100	>36*	Fatholahzadeh <i>et al</i> (37)	2008
	Article	222	122	55	Habibi <i>et al</i> (38)	2008
	Abstract	235	110	46.8	Azimian <i>et al</i> (39)	2008
	Abstract	65	>33	≥50.8 *	Bagherzadeh Yazdchi et al (40)	2008
	Abstract	50	22	- 44	Dadaei et al (41)	2008
	Abstract	80	40	50	Salehipour <i>et al</i> (42)	2008
	Article	927	≥306	≥33*	Aligholi <i>et al</i> (43)	2009
	Article	322	93	28.88	Emaneini et al (44)	2009
	Article	174	≥ 84	≥48.2 *	Najar-peerayeh et al (45)	2009
	Article	321	282	88	Rahimi et al (46)	2009
	Article	100	53	53	Yadegar et al (47)	2009
	Abstract	250	109	≥43.6 *	Farhadian et al (48)	2009
	Article	150	64	≥42.67*	Javan et al (49)	2010
	Abstract	55	28	50.9	Faghri et al (50)	2010
	Article	165	$\geq \!\!87$	≥52.72 *	Aligholi et al (51)	2011
	Article	42	18	42.8	Nowroozi et al (52)	2011
	Article	186	127	68.3	Saderi et al (53)	2011
	Article	106	62	58.49	Shahsavan et al (54)	2011
	Abstract	100	90	90	Ghorbani et al (55)	2011
	Abstract	150	67	44.6	Mobaiyen et al (56)	2011
	Abstract	104	76	73.1	Sahebnasagh et al (57)	2011
	Article	125	107	85.6	Sepehriseresht et al (58)	2012
	Article	100	56	56	Razavi Davoodi et al (59)	2012
	Abstract	48	17	35.4	Azizi Barjini et al (60)	2012
Tonekabon	Abstract	55	30	≥54.54*	Forghani et al (61)	2011

Table 1. Sample size and MRSA strains in different studies in Iran

_

¹ MRSA strains were detected/confirmed by PCR amplification of *mecA* gene

* PCR of *mecA*was done only for strains resistant to methicillin by phenotypic methods

Results were obtained by comparing references (31) and (32)

The heterogeneity of MRSA prevalence at national and international level is not completely understood. Possible explanations are different in infection control practices, antimicrobial administration, human population, predominant strain(s), study design and laboratory testing for determining resistance (2, 69).

This study has some limitations. First, it cannot fully represent Iran because there were no data on *mecA*-MRSA from many parts of the country. However, as described above, this is preferred to mixing the results from different phenotypic methods with genotypic ones. Second, due to limited access to in-press articles and theses, some studies might have been missed, which is also suggested by statistical analysis.

Conclusion

Our study showed that the mean MRSA RF among Iranian studies is in the high range. Thus, measures should be taken to keep the emergence and transmission of these strains to a minimum.

Acknowledgment

An abstract of these data has been sent to the International Symposium of Staphylococci and Staphylococcal Infections (ISSSI) 2012, Lyon, France. The authors wish to express their gratitude to Aidin Faroughi, Yeganeh Khazaei, Somayeh Mahdipoor, Zahra Moravvej, Ghazaleh Nouri and Amin Rezaeian for their help in the analyzing step and Najmeh Seifi for her assistance in the search.

References

- 1. Deleo FR, Otto M, Kreiswirth BN, Chambers HF. Community-associated meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet 2010; 375:1557-1568.
- 2. Grundmann H, Aires-de-Sousa M, Boyce J, Tiemersma E. Emergence and resurgence of meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a public-health threat. Lancet 2006; 368:874-885.
- 3. Cookson B. Five decades of MRSA: controversy and uncertainty continues. Lancet 2011; 378:1291-1292.
- 4. Köck R, Becker K, Cookson B, van Gemert-Pijnen JE, Harbarth S, Kluytmans J, *et al.* Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): burden of disease and control challenges in Europe. Euro Surveill 2010; 15:1-9.
- 5. Cosgrove SE, Sakoulas G, Perencevich EN, Schwaber MJ, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y. Comparison of mortality associated with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:53-59.
- 6. Moellering RC. Why has methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* become such a successful pathogen in adults? Infect Dis Clin Pract 2010;18:286-291.
- 7. Stefani S, Chung DR, Lindsay JA, Friedrich AW, Kearns AM, Westh H, *et al.* Meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): global epidemiology and harmonisation of typing methods. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2012; 39:273-282.
- 8. Graveland H, Duim B, van Duijkeren E, Heederik D, Wagenaar JA. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in animals and humans. Int J Med Microbiol 2011; 301:630-634.
- 9. Forbes BA. Issues in the identification and susceptibility testing of Staphylococci. In: Crossley KB, Jefferson KK, Archer GL, Fowler VG, editors. Staphylococci in Human Disease. 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. p. 235-252.
- 10. Karami S, Rahbar M, Vand Yousefi J. Evaluation of five phenotypic methods for detection of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Iran J Pathol 2011; 6:27-31.
- 11. Radlberger P, Zechmeister I. Innovative framework for evidence-based decision making in healthcare standardised working in HTA (WP1.2): HTA- Projektbericht. 2011. Available at: http://eprints.hta.lbg.ac.at/932/1/HTA-Projektbericht_Nr.44a.pdf.
- 12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute/NCCLS. 2007. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 17th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute/NCCLS. 2008. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 18th informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S18. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 14. Ekrami A, Samarbafzadeh A, Alavi M, Kalantar E, Hamzeloi F. Prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus* species isolated from burn patients in a burn center, Ahvaz, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2010; 3:84-91.

- 15. Moosavian M, Torabipour M. Identification of *mecA* gene in phenotypic methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from clinical specimens. The First Iranian International Congress of Medical Bacteriology; 4-7 sep; Tabriz, Iran2011. p. 255.
- 16. Khosravi AD, Hoveizavi H, Farshadzadeh Z. The prevalence of genes encoding leukocidins in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains resistant and sensitive to methicillin isolated from burn patients in Taleghani hospital, Ahvaz, Iran. Burns 2012; 38:247-251.
- 17. Heidari M, Momtaz H, Madani M. Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from human infections and bovine mastitis. Afr J Microbiol Res 2011; 5:5132-5136.
- 18. Abdollahi A, Koohpayeh A, Najafipour S, Mansouri Y, Abdollahi S, Jafari S. Evaluation of drug esistance and SCCmec genotype in MRSA strains. Behdad 2012; 1:47-52.
- 19. Vaez H, Tabaraei A, Moradi A, Ghaemi EA. Evaluation of methicillin resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from patients in Golestan province-north of Iran. Afr J Microbiol Res 2011; 5:432-436.
- 20. Zamani A, Sadeghian S, Ghaderkhani J, Alikhani MY, Najafimosleh M, Taghi Goodarzi M, *et al.* Detection of methicillin-resistance (*mec-A*) gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains by PCR and determination of antibiotic susceptibility. Ann Microbiol 2007; 57:273-276.
- 21. Alizargar J, Moravveji A. Prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus* in Kashan. First International and 12th Iranian Congress of Microbiology; 23-26 May; Kermanshah, Iran:2011. p. 1328.
- 22. Havaei SA, Vidovic S, Tahmineh N, Mohammad K, Mohsen K, Starnino S, *et al.* Epidemic methicillinsusceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* lineages are the main cause of infections at an Iranian university hospital. J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49:3990-3993.
- 23. Zeinali E, Moniri R, Safari M, Mousavi GA. Molecular characterization and SCCmec typing in meticillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples. Feyz, J Kashan Univ Med Sci 2011; 14:439-446.
- 24. Naderi Nasab M, Tavakolafshar J, Nazem M, Fatehnasab P, Faramarzi P, Khodadoost M. Detection of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by phenotypic methods. Med J Mashhad Univ Med Sci 2005; 48:7-16.
- 25. Vaiseh P, Ramezanzadeh R, Deilami Z. Identification of class I integron and *mecA* in Staphylococcus strains typed by REP-PCR method. The Congress of Infections and Antibiotic Resistance; 2-3 May; Gilan, Iran: 2012.p.101.
- Shariati L, Validi M, Tabatabaiefar MA, Karimi A, Nafisi MR. Comparison of real-time PCR with disk diffusion, agar screen and E-test methods for detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Curr Microbiol 2010; 61:520-524.
- 27. Japoni A, Alborzi A, Orafa F, Rasouli M, Farshad S. Distribution patterns of methicillin resistance genes (*mecA*) in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical specimens. Iran Biomed J 2004; 8:173-178.
- 28. Nikbakht M, Nahaei MR, Akhi MT, Asgharzadeh M, Nikvash S. Molecular fingerprinting of meticillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from patients and staff of two Iranian hospitals. J Hosp Infect 2008; 69:46-55.
- 29. Zarrini G, Aein F, Bahari Delgosha Z. Study of nosocomial methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) using disc diffusion, MASTAScreen MRSA + kit and PCR methods. The Second Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 7-9 October; Shiraz, Iran:2008. p. 149.
- 30. Esfandyari Kalajahi A, Hasani A, Riyazi A, Abbasian S, Pourmohammad A, Hasani A. Detection of virulence genes of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from nasal specimens of patients admitted in high risk wards of University teaching hospital, Tabriz. 4th Congress of Laboratory and Clinic; 21-23 December; Tehran, Iran: 2011. p. 491.
- 31. Kiani nia M. Development of Multiplex PCR for the detection of aph(3)-IIIa and aac(6')/aph(2'') genes in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates from Northwest, Iran. 4th Congress of Laboratory and Clinic; 21-23 December; Tehran, Iran: 2011. p. 996.
- 32. Kiani nia M, Hasani A, Hasani A, Mirza Ahmadi S, Sadeghifard M, Deghani L. Nasal colonization of high risk group patients of northwest Iran by MRSA Predictability of resistance and concern about prevention. 4th Congress of Laboratory and Clinic; 21-23 December; Tehran, Iran: 2011. p. 853.
- 33. Mirsalehian A, Jebelameli F, Kazemi B, Alizadeh SA. Comparison of disc diffusion method withpolymerase chain reaction for detectingmethicillin resistancein clinical isolates of Staphylococci. Tehran Univ Med J 2003; 61:420-425.
- 34. Aligholi M, Emaneini M, Hashemi FB, Shahsavan S, Jabalameli F, Kazemi B. Determination of antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical specimens. Tehran Univ Med J 2006; 64:26-32.
- 35. Mostafaee M, Behzadian-Nejad G, Najar-peerayeh S, Rezaei Yazdi H, Tohidpoor A. Prevalence of *mecA* gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from Tehran hospitals, 1385. The First Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 8-10 May; Shiraz, Iran:2007.p.16.
- 36. Aligholi M, Emaneini M, Jabalameli F, Shahsavan S, Dabiri H, Sedaght H. Emergence of high-level vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in the Imam Khomeini Hospital in Tehran. Med Princ Pract 2008; 17:432-434.

- 37. Fatholahzadeh B, Emaneini M, Gilbert G, Udo E, Aligholi M, Modarressi MH, *et al.* Staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) analysis and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates in Tehran, Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2008; 14:217-220.
- 38. Habibi M, Saderi H, Owlia P, Asadi Karam M. Detection of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* by disk diffusion and PCR methods. Iran J Pathol 2008; 3:11-14.
- 39. Azimian A, Najar-peerayeh S, Farshchian M, Naderi M, Salehipoor Z, Mostafaee M. Occurrence of the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) among clinical samples in Tehran and its correlation with the site of infection. The Second Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 7-9 October; Shiraz, Iran:2008.p.1101.
- 40. Bagherzadeh Yazdchi S, Pourmand MR, Zaeimi Yazdi J. Anbiotic susceptibility patterns and detection of *coa* and *mecA* genes in the Iranian isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*.13th International Congress on Infectious Diseases;19-22 June; Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 2008. p. e271.
- 41. Dadaei T, Eftekhar F. Correlation of biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* by colony morphology and microplate measurement of biofilm formation. The Second Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 7-9 October; Shiraz, Iran:2008. p.107.
- 42. Salehipour Z, Azimian A, Ghazvini K. Phenotypic and genotypic study of drug resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from blood culture of septicemia pateints in selected hospitals of Tehran. The Second Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 7-9 October; Shiraz, Iran:2008. p.172.
- 43. Aligholi M, Emaneini M, Jabalameli F, Shahsavan S, Abdolmaleki Z, Sedaghat H, *et al.* Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-positive cocci cultured from patients in three university hospitals in Tehran, Iran during 2001-2005. Acta Med Iran 2009;47:329-334.
- 44. Emaneini M, Taherikalani M, Eslampour MA, Sedaghat H, Aligholi M, Jabalameli F, *et al.* Phenotypic and genotypic evaluation of aminoglycoside resistance in clinical isolates of Staphylococci in Tehran, Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2009; 15:129-132.
- 45. Najar-Peerayeh S, Azimian A, Mostafaee M, Siadat SD. Identification of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by disk diffusion method, determination of MIC, and PCR for *mecA* Gene. Modares Med Sci J: Pathobiol 2009; 12:61-69.
- 46. Rahimi F, Bouzari M, Maleki Z, Rahimi F. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern among *Staphylococcus* spp. with emphasis on detection of *mecA* gene in methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. Iran J Clin Infect Dis 2009; 4:143-150.
- 47. Yadegar A, Sattari M, Mozafari NA, Goudarzi GR. Prevalence of the genes encoding aminoglycosidemodifying enzymes and methicillin resistance among clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran, Iran. Microb Drug Resist 2009; 15:109-113.
- 48. Farhadian A, Behzadian Nejad, Najar-peerayeh S, Farhadian A, Vaziri. Determination of vancomycin and methicillin resistant in isolates of *S.aureus* in hospitals in the Iran.Third Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 6-8 October; Shiraz, Iran:2009.p.21.
- 49. Javan E, Falahati H, Seifi M, Talebi M, Ebrahimpoor G, Poorshafi M. Detection of *mecA* gene in oxacillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from Tehran hospitals. Iran J Infect Dis Trop Med 2010; 49:17-22.
- 50. Faghri J, Azimian A, Sadighian H, Khosrojerdi M. Occurrence of the methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)among respiratory tract samples in patients of selected Tehran hospitals.4th Iranian Congress of Clinical Microbiology; 9-11 November; Isfahan, Iran:2010.p.75.
- 51. Aligholi M, Mirsalehian A, Halimi S, Imaneini H, Taherikalani M, Jabalameli F, *et al.* Phenotypic and genotypic evaluation of fluoroquinolone resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran. Med Sci Monit 2011; 17:PH71-74.
- 52. Nowroozi J, Pakzad P, Ebrahimi E, Razavipour R. Detection of biocide resistance genes, *qac A/B* and *smr*, among isolated *Staphylococcus aureus* from clinical and non-clinical sources. Pejouhandeh 2011; 16:83-91.
- 53. Saderi H, Emadi B, Owlia P. Phenotypic and genotypic study of macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B (MLSB) resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran, Iran. Med Sci Monit 2011; 17:BR48-53.
- 54. Shahsavan S, Emaneini M, Noorazar Khoshgnab B, Khoramian B, Asadollahi P, Aligholi M, *et al.* A high prevalence of mupirocin and macrolide resistance determinant among *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from burnt patients. Burns 2012; 38:378-382.
- 55. Ghorbani S, Imani Fooladi AA, Nourani MR. Prevalence of methicillin-resistance (*mec-A*) gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from scar by PCR and determination of antibiotic susceptibility. 4th Congress of Laboratory and Clinic; 21-23 December; Tehran, Iran:2011.p.540.
- 56. Mobaiyen H, Molaabaszadeh H, Modirrousta S, Reza Soltani S. Surveying of *Staphylococcus aureus* methicillin resistant (*mec*-A) and determine its antibiotic susceptibility with PCR method in Tehran in 2010.International Union of Microbiological Societies 2011 Congress;Sapporo, Japan:2011. P-BA25-11.
- 57. Sahebnasagh R, Saderi H, Owlia P. Detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from clinical samples in Tehran by detection of the *mecA* and *nuc* genes. The First Iranian International Congress of

Medical Bacteriology; 4-7 September; Tabriz, Iran; 2011. p. 195.

- 58. Sepehriseresht S, Boroumand MA, Pourgholi L, Sotoudeh Anvari M, Habibi E, Sattarzadeh Tabrizi M, *et al.* Emergence of mupirocin-resistant MRSA among Iranian clinical isolates.Comp Clin Pathol 2012. doi: 10.1007/s00580-012-1472-z.
- 59. Razavi Davoodi N, Vand Yousefi A, Harzandi N, Hahrafi A, Rajaei B, Gerayeshnejad S, *et al*.Molecular detection of methicillin resistantStaphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and methicillinresistant coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (CoNS) inIran. Afr J Microbiol Res 2012; 6:3716-3721.
- 60. Azizi Barjini K, Mousazadeh M, Amani J, Asadi A, Khalili S. Detection of MRSA by Disk diffusion and PCR method and detection of resistance pattern. The Congress of Rational Usage of Antibiotics; 27-28 February; Sari, Iran :2012. p. 38.
- 61. Forghani F, Alipourfard I, Ghayyomi M, Mahmudi S, Heydari N. Comparative study of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) molecular detection by PCR, with bacteriological methods. First International and 12th Iranian Congress of Microbiology; 23-26 May; Kermanshah, Iran:2011. p. 1194.
- 62. Dezfulian A, Aslani MM, Oskoui M, Farrokh P, Azimirad M, Dabiri H, *et al.* Identification and characterization of a high vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*harboring *vanAgene cluster* isolated from diabetic foot ulcer. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2012; 15:803-806.
- 63. Song JH, Hsueh PR, Chung DR, Ko KS, Kang CI, Peck KR, *et al.* Spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between the community and the hospitals in Asian countries: an ANSORP study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011; 66:1061-1069.
- 64. Guzmán-Blanco M, Hsueh PR, Isturiz R, Alvarez C, Bavestrello L, Gotuzzo E, *et al.* Epidemiology of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in Latin America. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009; 34:304-308.
- 65. Nimmo GR, Pearson JC, Collignon PJ, Christiansen KJ, Coombs GW, Bell JM, *et al.* Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from hospital inpatients, 2009: Report from the Australian Group on Antimicrobial Resistance. Commun Dis Intell 2011; 35:237–243.
- 66. Styers D, Sheehan DJ, Hogan P, Sahm DF. Laboratory-based surveillance of current antimicrobial resistance patterns and trends among *Staphylococcus aureus*: 2005 status in the United States. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2006; 5:1-9.
- 67. Klevens RM, Morrison MA, Nadle J, Petit S, Gershman K, Ray S, *et al.* Invasive methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in the United States. JAMA 2007; 298:1763-1771.
- 68. Ray GT, Morrison MA, Baxter R. Trends and characteristics of culture-confirmed *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in a large U.S. integrated health care organization. J Clin Microbiol 2012; 50:1950-1957.
- 69. Simor AE, Loeb M. Epidemiology of healthcare-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. In: Crossley KB, Jefferson KK, Archer GL, Fowler VG, editors. Staphylococci in Human Disease. 2nded. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. p. 290-309.