

## Detection and discrimination of two *Brucella* species by multiplex real-time PCR and high-resolution melt analysis curve from human blood and comparison of results using RFLP

Vahhab Piranfar<sup>1</sup>, Malike Sharif<sup>2</sup>, Mojtaba Hashemi<sup>1</sup>, Ali Reza Vahdati<sup>3</sup>, Reza Mirnejad<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University of Tonekabon, Tonekabon, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup> Molecular Biology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article type:

Original article

#### Article history:

Received: Jan 29, 2015

Accepted: Jul 18, 2015

#### Keywords:

*Brucella*

High-resolution melt -

analysis

Multiplex PCR

RFLP

### ABSTRACT

**Objective(s):** Rapid and accurate detection of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* from clinical samples is so important because antibiotic treatment has major side effects. This study reveals a new method in detection of clinical samples of brucellosis using real-time PCR and high-resolution melt (HRM) curve analysis.

**Materials and Methods:** 160 brucellosis suspicious samples with more than 1/80 serum antibody titers were collected and the results were compared with the RFLP method. In order to amplify the sequences for HRM analysis, *vdcc*, *int-hyp* and *glk* and for RFLP, *omp2a* and *omp2b* with *PstI* and *HinfI* restriction endonuclease were used. At last, the accuracy and specificity of the two methods were compared with each other.

**Results:** Out of these 160 samples, multiplex real time PCR showed 108 positive samples (67.5%), including 56% *B. melitensis* and 44% *B. abortus*; whereas in PCR-RFLP 52 out of 160 samples were positive, where recognition of two species were accordant with HRM analysis, separation was based on the size of the amplified fragment. Using the designed primers and performing the assay, we confirmed this method to be much faster and have lower cost with more than 99% accuracy compared to methods such as RFLP.

**Conclusion:** The present study showed that this technique, which scans gene segments and creates an analysis pattern for detection of clinical samples, is useful and more dominant compared with PCR-RFLP. Thus, this method can be used for brucellosis detection, and clinical and epidemiological research.

#### ► Please cite this article as:

Piranfar V, Sharif M, Hashemi M, Vahdati AR, Mirnejad R. Detection and discrimination of two *Brucella* species by multiplex real-time PCR and high-resolution melt analysis curve from human blood and comparison of results using RFLP. Iran J Basic Med Sci 2015; 18:909-914.

### Introduction

Pathogen members of the bacterial genus *Brucella* can infect mammals and humans (1). Infection in humans occurs during direct and indirect contact with infected animals or by using infected meat or dairy products. Also, direct contact with infected animal's tissue and inhalation aerosolized droplets are ways of infection transmission (2). These small bacteria are facultative intracellular, aerobic, and gram-negative coccobacilli with 90% homology in nucleic acid sequence (3). The most prevalent species (*Brucella melitensis*, *Brucella abortus*) are responsible for over half a million human infections worldwide per year. Therefore, rapid detection and treatment of brucellosis is one of the health priorities (3-5).

Conventional laboratory tests which produce discordant results are based on chemical and antigenic features, metabolism differentiations (6, 7), CO<sub>2</sub> need, stainability, phage sensitivity (8), H<sub>2</sub>S production, oxidative metabolism pattern, reaction with anti-serum

for phenotype diagnosis and detection of isolates (7, 9). Recently, molecular methods such as genome sequencing, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis (10, 11), Variable Number Tandem Repeat (VNTR) analysis (12) or microsatellite and real-time PCR are used to identify *Brucella* isolates (11, 13). High-resolution melt (HRM) analysis is a new technology that lets us scan genome pieces with high accuracy and analyze the results of nucleic acid fragments in comparison with each other, distinctively (14). It is done by brief and proper setting of the melting temperature profile that has special sensitivity to make a positive species determination (15).

In this study, we discuss a new method for detecting two common bacterial species causing brucellosis, which is to our knowledge the fastest and most accurate method to date. We improved a real-time PCR method which in combination with HRM analysis is useful for detecting and differentiating between *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*

\*Corresponding author: Reza Mirnejad. Molecular Biology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University Medical of Sciences Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-21-82482554; email: rmirnejad@yahoo.com; rmirnejad@bmsu.ac.ir

(16). This is the first time that this technique is compared for separating the brucellosis species in human blood and clinical samples with RFLP. In this study we targeted three independent gene loci for amplifying gene pieces, then compared the curves and identified and differentiated *Brucella* genus members. This study can be useful for clinical samples and epidemiological and also veterinary studies for detecting *Brucella* species.

## Materials and Methods

### Collection and extraction of DNA samples

We prepared 160 *Brucella* isolates that were collected from suspicious patients with clinical history of brucellosis and positive serological test (Rose Bengal test and serum agglutination test) who had measurable antibody titers 1/80 from various parts of Iran including high frequency brucellosis areas (Kerman, South Khorasan, Khorasan Razavi).

Then blood samples were maintained at -70 °C until analysis. We followed the genome extraction protocol according to previous study (17).

### Primer design

We used two sets of primers: *Brucella* genus diagnostic primers and *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus* strain determiner primers. First group of primers were used for detecting *Brucella* genus in samples. Also two sets of primers were used to amplify the omp2a and omp2b in PCR-RFLP (Table 1).

### Multiplex real-time PCR

The multiplex real time PCR assay was prepared using *Eva Green* (a double-stranded DNA intercalating dye) and all the positive samples that amplified 67 base pairs of the *vdcc* gene. For doing the tests the materials were transferred to 0.2 ml PCR microtubes.

Each PCR reaction mixture contained 5 µl (Solis BioDyne- Switzerland) master mix 4X (5x HOT FIREPol® EvaGreen® HRM Mix (no ROX)) that contained HOT FIREPol®DNA Polymerase, 5x

EvaGreen®HRM buffer, 12.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 µl template DNA (0.5 µg), 0.15 mM dNTP, 20 pmol of each forward and reverse primer and sterile distilled water up to 20 µl.

The optimized program to amplify target genes in Rotor-Gene (Corbett Rotor-Gene 6000 Qiagen, Valencia, CA) is as follows : 1 cycle of 95 °C for 15 min, 35 cycles of 95 °C for 10 sec and 60 °C for 40 sec, with data acquired at the 60 °C step in the green channel (14).

### Studies of specificity

In order to evaluate the specificity of primers and the PCR assay, DNA of closely related non-*Brucella* species and human were employed in the test.

### Sensitivity of real time PCR based on DNA concentration

To evaluate the sensitivity of real time PCR based on DNA concentration, the concentration of DNA extracted from each of the samples was measured as mentioned. Then, for each extracted DNA, different serial dilutions (10<sup>-1</sup>, 10<sup>-2</sup>, 10<sup>-3</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup>) were prepared and eventually, using the above-mentioned dilution (final protocol and the optimized thermal profile), the multiplex real time PCR reactions was performed.

### HRM analysis of *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*


In this method 5x HOT FIREPol® *EvaGreen*® HRM mix was used. We optimized the above program using HRM and through increasing the temperature from 83.5 by 0.1 degree steps up to 89. Then data were normalized according to available information.

### Amplification of omp2a and omp2b

Cloackaert *et al* designed primers for amplification of omp2a and omp2b fragments; their sequences are shown in the following table (18). Each PCR reaction mixture contained; 1X PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 µl template DNA (0.5 µg), 0.15 mM dNTP, 2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, 20 p mol of each forward and

**Table 1.** Oligonucleotide sequences for primers used to detect the *Brucella* spp. and PCR-RFLP

Markers	Orientation	Primer (5'-3')	Gene target	Amplicon size (bp)
<i>Brucella</i> spp.	Forward	5'-GTGGCGATCTTGTCGG-3'	vdcc	67 bp
	Reverse	5'-ACGGCGATGGATTTCCG-3'		
<i>B. melitensis</i>	Forward	5'-GTGGCGATCTTGTCGG-3'	int-hyp	125 bp
	Reverse	5'-ACGGCGATGGATTTCCG-3'		
<i>B. abortus</i>	Forward	5'-GACCTCTTCGCCACCTATCTGG-3'	glk	164 bp
	Reverse	5'-CCTTGTCGGGGCCTTGTCT-3'		
omp2a	Forward	5'-GGCTATTCAAATTTGGCG-3'		1100 bp
omp2a	Reverse	5'-ATCGATTCTCAGCTTTCGT-3'		
omp2b	Forward	5'-CCTTCAGCAAATCAGAATG-3'		1200 bp
omp2b	Reverse	5'-GGTCAGCATAAAAAGCAAGC-3'		



**Figure 1.** Isolated positive samples using real-time PCR amplification of the 67 bp. Negative samples not shown

reverse primers and sterile distilled water up to 50  $\mu$ l. PCR for *omp2a* was performed in a GenAmp PCR system (Eppendorf, German) as: pre-denaturation for 5 min at 94  $^{\circ}$ C followed by 35 cycles each containing denaturation at 94  $^{\circ}$ C for 60 sec, annealing at 50  $^{\circ}$ C for 120 sec and extension at 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 180 sec, followed by final extension at 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 7 min. Also PCR for *omp2b* was performed according to the following program:

pre-denaturation for 5 min at 94  $^{\circ}$ C followed by 35 cycles, each containing denaturation at 94  $^{\circ}$ C for 45 sec, annealing at 58  $^{\circ}$ C for 60 sec and extension at 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 60 sec, followed by final extension at 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 7 min. Then, The PCR products were analyzed using the electrophoresis technique on 2% agarose gel for 1 hr at 25 mA, stained by SYBR-Green and visualized under UV transilluminator (Figure 1). Finally, amplification products were further evaluated by restriction digestion procedures.

### Enzymatic digestion

To identify polymorphisms, the amplified products were subjected to restriction enzymes according to previous study, (18).

## Results

### Multiplex real-time PCR and multiplex PCR results

160 patients with brucellosis infection were tested. We detected and isolated positive samples using multiplex real-time PCR; 52 clinical samples were negative for all the targets in the real-time PCR and 108 for PCR-RFLP, including the control human gene, therefore, they were not considered in the analysis. 47 patients with *B. abortus* (brucellosis infection) and 61 patients with *B. melitensis* (brucellosis infection) were sampled for the HRM analysis method according to clinical criteria as described in materials and methods. The LLOD of the primers set for detection of *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*, was 1.5 pg. For DNA template ranging (mean  $\pm$  SD), result was shown in the crossing point cycles of DNA template ranging from  $12.0 \pm 0.25$  to  $15 \pm 0.25$ , when it was performed in the amount ranging from 1 ng to 1.5 ng (Figure 1).


Figure 1 shows the amplification of the 67 base-pair sequence. Results show the specificities of primers which only paired with *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus* genome. Total extracted DNA from none of the microorganisms demonstrated any pairing with the designed primers at  $\sim 2$ –4 ng concentration (Table 2). Since the concentration of the first DNA template for *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* was 955 ng/ $\mu$ l, serial dilutions were prepared to calculate the pairing sensitivity, then PCR was performed. The lowest DNA copy number for detecting with this method was  $10^{-4}$  copy number of the initial genome product, and the accurate results obtained in  $10^{-2}$  copy number that mean the template concentration was 0. 955 ng/ $\mu$ l.

### RFLP results

In our previous study with RFLP technique 52 cases obtained bands of 1100 bp for *omp2a* locus and 1200 bp for *omp2b*, which indicates that the samples were positive for *Brucella* (Figure 2-left) (17). According to Cloackaert *et al* 1995 (19) regarding enzymatic digestion of the amplified fragments, for 52 cases from digestion of *omp2a* fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1 enzymes, P3 and P2 patterns were obtained respectively, and for *omp2b*, P1 and P1 patterns were resulted, which indicates the *B. melitensis* biovar 1 (Figure 2-center) (18), and for 33 cases (44.5%) from digestion of *omp2a* fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1 enzymes, patterns of P2 and P2 and for *omp2b* patterns of P1 and P1 were resulted respectively, which indicates one of the *B. abortus* biovars 3, 5, 6 or 9 (Figure 2-right).

### Specificity of the HRM primers

To evaluate the specificity of the HRM primers the multiplex real-time PCR was used: 2 strains of the genus *Brucella* and 17 pathogenic bacteria of non-*Brucella* species and two DNA extractions from human Blood. DNA from these strains was used in the individual evaluation of each real-time PCR target assay, and in the assay for cross-reactivity at a 10 ng/ $\mu$ l concentration. No amplification signal was found for the other non-*Brucella* species (Table 2).



**Figure 2.** left: Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplified products generated from DNA samples. Lane 1 shows DNA size marker (100bp DNA ladder, Fermentase). Lane 2 is negative control. Lanes 3 and 4 show amplified locus of omp2b. Lanes 6 and 7 show amplified locus of omp2a, Lanes 5 and 8 are positive controls. center: The patterns of enzymatic digestion for *Brucella melitensis* samples. Lane 1 is DNA size marker (100bp DNA ladder), Lanes 2 and 3 are digestion of omp2a fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1 and lanes 4 and 5 are digestion of omp2b fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1. right: The patterns of enzymatic digestion for *B. abortus* isolates. lane 1 is DNA size marker (100bp DNA ladder, Fermentase). Lanes 2 and 3 are digestion of omp2a fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1. Lanes 4 and 5 are digestion of omp2b fragment by Pst1 and Hinf1

**HRM analysis results**

125 base pair of *int-hyp* target gene was amplified for *Brucella* and then HRM analysis curve was rechecked (Figure 3). As was expected *B. melitensis* species with mutation of guanine to thymine in amplified region was one of the recognized present species in the samples (Table 3). *Glk* target gene was amplified for *Brucella* species using the special primer with 164 bps. Figure 4 shows the analysis of HRM curve for separation of *B. abortus* with mutation of guanine to thymine; Table 3 shows the normalization region and genetic identity.

**Discussion**

HRM is a rapid, more convenient closed-tube method with novelty in bacterial genotyping which

helps us to analyze the genetic mutations (20). This technique reveals distinctive details of the DNA double strain by temporal denaturation in order to found single nucleotide polymorphisms. The genome scanning action is the most important stage which makes possible detection of new varieties and isolation of each of the species in PCR products (21).


Detection and analysis of polymorphisms with RFLP is also used for identification and characterization of *Brucella* species with some advantages such as applicability, easy interpretation and could be used for numerous samples. This method uses the sets of *omp2a*, *omp2b*, *omp25* and *omp31* genes, to characterize all species of *Brucella* from each other and detect their biovars (19). The aim of this study was to investigate the efficiency

**Table 2.** Performance of a real-time PCR assay for the detection of *Brucella* spp., *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* (determine the specificity of PCR)

Strain	PCR identification	Strain (from human)	PCR identification
<i>Brucella</i> spp.	108/160	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0/1
<i>B. abortus</i>	47/108	<i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	0/1
<i>B. melitensis</i>	61/108	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0/1
<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7	0/1	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	0/1
<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> PTCC 1654	0/1	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	0/1
<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> PTCC 1611	0/1	<i>Salmonella enteritidis</i>	0/1
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> ATCC:9270	0/1	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	0/1
<i>Shigella flexneri</i> ATCC:12022	0/1	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	0/1
<i>Shigella sonnei</i> ATCC:9290	0/1	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	0/1
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC:6538	0/1	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0/1
DNA Extraction from human Blood	0/1		

**Table 3.** Normalization region and genetic identity


Markers	Normalization region		SNP or genetic identity
	First	Second	
<i>Brucella</i> spp.	not applicable	not applicable	plus/minus
<i>Brucella melitensis</i>	82.5–83.5	87.5–89	T; <i>B. melitensis</i> G; other spp.
<i>Brucella abortus</i>	83–83.5	87–89.5	A; <i>B. abortus</i> G; other spp.



**Figure 3.** 125 Base pair of int-hyp target gene was amplified for *Brucella* and then high-resolution melt analysis curve was rechecked; as was expected *Brucella melitensis* species with mutation of guanine to thymine was one of the recognized present species in the sample

and accuracy of both techniques and also design an examination for the separation of the two species by HRM analysis.

The results reveal that *Brucella* species (*B. melitensis* and *B. abortus* – unavailability of other *Brucella* species in Iran) could be detected by real-time PCR if accompanied by the post PCR step. The results were same as the PCR-RFLP method (17). The two stages of HRM were performed as closed-tube without any pollution transmission after running the PCR. In addition to rapidness and accuracy, being cost effective is another remarkable



**Figure 4.** HRM assay separation curve of *Brucella abortus* from other species. *glk* target gene was amplified for the *Brucella* species using the special primer with 164 bps. Data shows the analysis of high-resolution melt curve for separation of *B. abortus* with mutation of guanine in 164 bps from *glk* gene

advantage of HRM. Inability to detect biovars in HRM is quiet mentionable.

Between 108 positive samples were detected by HRM analysis, 61 (56%) were *B. melitensis* and 47 (44.4%) were *B. abortus*. The results of this study for *Brucella* species rapid detection were the same as Winchell *et al* 2010 (14). In contrast with Winchell and colleagues’ study, our investigation was done on clinical samples therefore the presented HRM curves had a lower clarity.

Our modified HRM method compare with RFLP, reduced total period examination by optimizing and reducing the cycles. Also the used EvaGreen® color had lower toxicity than other florescence dyes (16).

The designed primers in this study were based on Gopaul *et al* in 2008 which had found SNP points by minor groove binding-based (genome scanning) method for *Brucella* species (11). Designed primers could determine each of *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus* in clinical trials.

Furthermore, to screen *brucella* genus with other microorganisms in clinical samples, we used a set of primers to amplify *vdcc* genome sequence. The 67 base-pair sequence separated positive samples for brucellosis from the total of suspicious samples with higher than 1.80 serum titer.

## Conclusion

The goal of this study was to design an experiment to reveal admissible explanation in rapid detection of current *brucella* species with accuracy. The admissible curve results which are practical for human clinical blood samples should be obtained in 15 up to 30 cycles and it is recommended to compare the results with HRM sigmoid curve. For this aim, we used 1.5 ng/ $\mu$ l *B. melitensis* primer and performance of maximum number of cycles.

## Acknowledgment

This study was supported by funds (grant number BMSU/MBRC -93-4) from the Molecular Biology Research Center, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

## References

1. Tiller RV, Gee JE, Frace MA, Taylor TK, Setubal JC, Hoffmaster AR, et al. Characterization of novel brucella strains originating from wild native rodent species in north queensland, Australia. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010; 76:5837-5845.
2. Eisenberg T, Hamann H-P, Kaim U, Schlez K, Seeger H, Schauerte N, et al. Isolation of potentially novel brucella spp. from Frogs. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; 78:3753-3755.
3. Mirnejad R, Doust RH, Kachuei R, Mortazavi SM, Khoobdel M, Ahamadi A. Simultaneous detection and differentiates of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis* by combinatorial PCR. *Asian Pac J Trop* 2012; 5:24-28.
4. Meisel S, Stöckel S, Elschner M, Melzer F, Rösch P, Popp J. Raman spectroscopy as a potential tool for detection of brucella spp. in Milk. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; 78:5575-5583.
5. Yagupsky P, Baron EJ. Laboratory exposures to brucellae and implications for bioterrorism. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005; 11:1180-1185.
6. Yagupsky P. Detection of Brucellae in blood cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; 37:3437-3442.
7. Al Dahouk S, Scholz HC, Tomaso H, Bahn P, Gollner C, Karges W, et al. Differential phenotyping of *Brucella* species using a newly developed semi-automated metabolic system. *BMC Microbiol* 2010; 10:269.
8. Schofield DA, Sharp NJ, Westwater C. Phage-based platforms for the clinical detection of human bacterial pathogens. *Bacteriophage* 2012; 2:105-283.
9. Godfroid J, Nielsen K, Saegerman C. Diagnosis of brucellosis in livestock and wildlife. *Croat Med J* 2010; 51:296-305.
10. Foster J, Price L, Beckstrom-Sternberg S, Pearson T, Brown W, Kiesling D, et al. Genotyping of *Brucella* species using clade specific SNPs. *BMC Microbiol* 2012; 12:110.
11. Gopaul KK, Koylass MS, Smith CJ, Whatmore AM. Rapid identification of *Brucella* isolates to the species level by real time PCR based single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis. *BMC Microbiol* 2008; 8:86.
12. Wattiau P, Whatmore AM, Van Hessche M, Godfroid J, Fretin D. Nucleotide polymorphism-based single-tube test for robust molecular identification of all currently described brucella species. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011; 77:6674-6679.
13. Higgins J, Stuber T, Quance C, Edwards WH, Tiller RV, Linfield T, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Brucella abortus* isolates from cattle, elk, and bison in the United States. 1998 to 2011. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; 78:3674-3684.
14. Winchell JM, Wolff BJ, Tiller R, Bowen MD, Hoffmaster AR. Rapid identification and discrimination of *Brucella* isolates by use of real-time PCR and high-resolution melt analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; 48:697-702.
15. Zeinzinger J, Pietzka AT, Stöger A, Kornschöber C, Kunert R, Allerberger F, et al. One-step triplex Hgh-resolution melting analysis for rapid identification and simultaneous subtyping of frequently isolated salmonella serovars. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; 78:3352-3360.
16. White H, Potts G. Mutation scanning by high resolution melt analysis: evaluation of RotorGene 6000™ (Corbett Life Science), HR1™, and 384 well LightScanner™ (Idaho Technology). National Genetics Reference Laboratory, Wessex, United Kingdom: 2006.
17. Mirnejad R, Mohammadi M, Majdi A, Taghizoghi N, Piranfar V. Molecular typing of *Brucella melitensis* and *B. abortus* from human blood samples using PCR-RFLP Method. *Jundishapur J Microbiol* 2013; 6:e7197.
18. Cloeckaert A, Verger JM, Grayon M, Grepinet O. Restriction site polymorphism of the genes encoding the major 25 kDa and 36 kDa outer-membrane proteins of *Brucella*. *Microbiol* 1995; 141:2111-2121.
19. Lopez-Goni I, Garcia-Yoldi D, Marin CM, de Miguel MJ, Munoz PM, Blasco JM, et al. Evaluation of a multiplex PCR assay (Bruce-ladder) for molecular typing of all *Brucella* species, including the vaccine strains. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008; 46:3484-3487.
20. Merchant-Patel S, Blackall PJ, Templeton J, Price EP, Tong SYC, Huygens F, et al. *Campylobacter jejuni* and *campylobacter coli* genotyping by high-resolution melting analysis of a *flaA* fragment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010; 76:493-499.
21. Li W, Raoult D, Fournier P-E. Bacterial strain typing in the genomic era. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2009; 33:892-916.