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> ABSTRACT
> Objective(s): To investigate the efficiency of a novel series of coumarin derivatives bearing benzoheterocycle moiety as novel cholinesterase inhibitors.
> Materials and Methods: Different 7-hydroxycoumarin derivatives were synthesized via Pechmann or Knoevenagel condensation and conjugated to different benzoheterocycle (8-hydroxyquinoline, 2mercaptobenzoxazole or 2-mercaptobenzimidazole) using dibromoalkanes 3a-m. Final compounds were evaluated against acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) by Ellman's method. Kinetic study of AChE inhibition and ligand-protein docking simulation were also carried out for the most potent compound $\mathbf{3 b}$.
> Results: Some of the compounds revealed potent and selective activity against AChE. Compound 3b containing the quinoline group showed the best activity with an IC ${ }_{50}$ value of $8.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against AChE. Kinetic study of AChE inhibition revealed the mixed-type inhibition of the enzyme by compound $\mathbf{3 b}$. Ligand-protein docking simulation also showed that the flexibility of the hydrophobic five carbons linker allows the quinoline ring to form $\pi-\pi$ interaction with Trp279 in the PAS.
> Conclusion: We suggest these synthesized compounds could become potential leads for AChE inhibition and prevention of AD symptoms.
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## Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder leading to loss of memory and cognitive ability. It was estimated that more than 18 million people suffer from AD worldwide and the number of patients will be increased to 70 million by 2050 (1). It has been reported that reduction of the acetylcholine level in the brain, accumulation of beta-amyloid ( $\beta$ ) plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, and oxidative stress are the most common causes of AD (2). The detailed mechanism of $A D$ is still ambiguous and there is a progressive attention to discover and explain the pathogenesis of $\mathrm{AD}(3,4)$. The most common approach for the treatment of AD is application of cholinesterase inhibitors (ChEIs) increasing the synaptic levels of ACh in the brain (5-7). Galantamine, donepezil, and rivastigmine are the mainstay of AD patient management (8, 9). The AChE has a nearly $20 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}$ deep narrow gorge with
two binding sites of the catalytic active site (CAS) at the bottom of the gorge and peripheral anionic site (PAS) near the entry of the gorge. PAS as $\mathrm{A} \beta$ binding domain leads formation of the stable and toxic AChE$\beta$ A complex (10-11). It has been revealed that the activity of BuchE is more than AChE in patients suffering AD and plays an important role in regulation of ACh level in the neurosynaptic area (12).

Coumarins are natural plant species with diverse biological activities including antiinflammatory (13), anti-tumor (14), anti-oxidant (15), and anti-diabetic (16). Several studies demonstrated that coumarins can bind to the PAS of AChE by their aromatic ring preventing the formation of the $A \beta-A C h E$ adducts (16-20). Coumarin derivatives have been also considered as neuroprotective agents against oxidative stress and free radical generation (21).

On the other hand, benzoheterocyclic frameworks such as benzoxazole (A) (19) and 8-hydroxy-


Figure 1. Some previously reported anti-AChE compounds bearing benzoheterocycle moiety ( $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ ), 7-hydroxycoumarin derivatives as AChE inhibitors reported in our previous study (C) and new designed AChE inhibitors 3a-l
quinoline (B) (20) analogs have been described as multifunctional anti-AD agents with the ability to target cholinesterase and prevent deposition of amyloid aggregation as well as promote the clearance of $A \beta$ by neutralizing deposits (Figure 1).

Considering the above results and in continuation of our previous works on coumarin derivatives (Figure 1, C) (21-25), herein we report preparation, evaluation, and molecular modeling study of a series of novel coumarin hybrids (3a-I) bearing different benzoheterocycles as ChE inhibitors (Figure 1)

## Materials and Methods

## Chemistry

All commercially available chemical reagents were used without further purification. The progress of the reactions was monitored by TLC on silica gel 250 micron, F254 plates. Melting points were measured on a Kofler hot stage. The IR spectra were taken using Nicolet FT-IR Magna 550 spectrograph ( KBr discs). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker 500 MHz . The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) and coupling constants ( $($ ) are expressed in parts per million and Hertz, respectively. The atoms numbering of the target compounds used for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR is shown in Scheme 1. Elemental analyses were carried out by a CHN- Rapid Heraeus elemental analyzer and the results were within $\pm 0.5 \%$ of the calculated values.

## Preparation of compound 3a-1

A mixture of benzoheterocycle ( 0.5 mmol ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 0.5 mmol ), and the corresponding coumarin derivate 2a-1 ( 0.5 mmol ) in dry $N, N$ dimethylformamide ( 8 ml ) was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hr. After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the mixture was cooled to the room
temperature and ice water was then added to the mixture and stirred for 30 min . The obtained solid was finally filtered and dried to obtain 3a-l.

## Docking study

Ligand-protein docking was conducted using Autodock Vina (1.1.2) (26) and the binding poses were retrieved. For this purpose, the 3D structure of the AChE was obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB) at http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do. The crystal structure of AChE (PDB ID: 1eve) in complex with donepezil was chosen. None-protein atoms were removed in the protein preparation process. The appropriate pdbqt format of the receptor was then prepared using Autodock Tools (1.5.6) (27). To prepare ligands, the 2D chemical structure of ligands was sketched using MarvinSketch 5.8.3, 2012, ChemAxon (http://www.chemaxon.com) and then converted to 3D format by Openbabel (ver 2.3.1) (28). Finally, the required pdbqt format of ligands was prepared using an Autodock Tools python script, prepare_ligand4. py. The grid box with the size of $15 \times 15 \times 15 \AA$ was determined and the center of the box was fixed on the center of co-crystalized ligand. After docking, the best pose was selected for further analysis. The graphics are depicted using Chimera 1.10 software (29).

## Pharmacology

The capacity of the target compounds against AChE and BuChE was determined by Ellman's method (30). The stock solution of the tested compounds was dissolved in DMSO 1\% and diluted in 3 ml phosphate buffer ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ), $100 \mathrm{\mu l}$ of $5,5^{\prime}$ -dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid), $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $2.5 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{ml}$ of acetylcholinesterase or butyrylcholinesterase. Assays were measured at 412 nm for 6 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using a UV Unico Double Beam spectrophotometer. The IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$
values were determined graphically from log concentration vs. \% of inhibition curves. All experiments were performed in three different experiments.

## Results

## Chemistry

All synthesized derivatives were characterized by spectroscopic methods such as ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, IR, and CHN.

## 7-(4-(Quinolin-8-yloxy)butoxy)-2H-chromen-2one (3a).

White solid; Yield (55\%) mp 131-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\mathrm{U}}: 3050(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 2951(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1717$ (C=O), 1615 (C=N), 1552 (C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ : 8.86 (d, 1H, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ quinoline), 8.29 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ quinoline), 7.97 (d, 1H, J= $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ coumarin), 7.60 (d, 1H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.53-7.48 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{H}_{5,6,7}$ quinoline), 7.19-7.20 (m, 1H, H3quinoline), 7.01 (s, 1H, H8coumarin), 6.96 (d, 1H, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ coumarin), 6.27 (d, 1H, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), 4.25 (s, 4H, CH2O), $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ : 161.8, 160.1, 155.3, 154.4, 148.8, 144.2, 139.7, 135.6, 129.3, 128.9, 126.7, 121.6, 119.4, 112.6, 112.2, 112.1, 109.3, 101.1, 68.1, 67.9, 25.5, 25.2. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (361.39): C, 73.12; H, 5.30; N, 3.88. Found: C, 73.36; H, 5.66; N, 3.44.

## 7-((5-(Quinolin-8-yloxy)pentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2one (3b)

White solid; Yield (94\%) mp 107-109 으; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\mathrm{v}}: 2947$ (C-H), 1726 (C=O), 1616 (C=N). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.6,500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.85$ (dd, 1H, J= 2.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ quinoline) , 8.29 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=$ $\left.4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, H_{4} q u i n o l i n e\right), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H4coumarin), 7.60 (d, 1H, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5} c o u m a r i n\right)$, 7.50-7.53 (m, 1H, H $\mathrm{H} q u i n o l i n e$ ), 7.49-7.46 (m, 2 H , $\mathrm{H}_{6,7}$ quinoline), 7.18-7.20 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ quinoline), 6.97 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ coumarin), 6.93 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H6coumarin), 6.26 (d, 1H, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), 4.2 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), $4.13\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ 0), 1.94 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.87 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.68-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 161.8,160.1,155.3,154.5$, 148.7, 144.2, 139.7, 135.6, 129.3, 128.9, 126.7, 121.6, 119.3, 112.6, 112.2, 112.1, 109.3, 101.1, 68.2, 68.1, 28.3, 28.1, 22.2. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (375.42): C, 72.61; H, 4.93; N, 4.03. Found: C, 72.31; H, 4.33; N, 4.28.

4-Methyl-7-(3-(quinolin-8-yloxy)propoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (3c)

Red solid; Yield (72\%); mp 112-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\mathrm{u}: 3407(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 2949(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1715$ (C=O), 1616 (C=N). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz ) $\delta: 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2} q u i n o l i n e\right), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H4quinoline), 7.65 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), $7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5} q u i n o l i n e\right), ~ 7.50-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{6,7}$ coumarin), 7.23 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} q$ uinoline),
7.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 6.98 (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6} q u i n o l i n e\right)$, 6.18 (s, 1H, H3coumarin), 4.35-4.37 (m, 4H, CH2-0), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.34-2.36 (m, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 161.5,159.9,154.6,154.2$, 153.1, 148.8, 139.7, 135.6, 128.9, 126.6, 126.3, 121.6, 119.6, 113.0, 112.2, 111.0, 109.6, 101.2, 65.1, 64.9, 28.4, 17.9. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (361.39): C, 73.12; H, 5.30; N, 3.88. Found: C, 73.36; H, 5.56; N, 3.96.

## 4-Methyl-7-((5-(quinolin-8-yloxy)pentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (3d)

White solid; Yield (50\%); mp 100-102 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ ū: 2943-2871 (C-H), 1723 (C=O), 1612 (C=N), 1107 (C-O). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ : $8.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ quinoline), $8.29(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8.0 and $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ quinoline), $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.50-7.53 (m, 1H, H5 quinoline), 7.497.47 (m, 2H, H6,7 quinoline), 7.18-7.20 (m, 1H, H3 quinoline), $6.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right.$ coumarin), $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ coumarin), $6.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ coumarin), $4.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-0\right), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), 2.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.94 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.87 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 161.6,160.0$, 154.6, 154.4, 153.2, 148.7, 139.7, 135.6, 128.9, 126.6, 126.2, 121.6, 119.3, 112.9, 112.3, 110.9, 109.3, 101.1, 68.1, 28.3, 28.1, 22.2, 17.9. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (375.42): C, 74.02; H, 5.95; N, 3.60. Found: C, 74.15; H, 5.68; N, 3.85.

## Ethyl 2-oxo-7-(3-(quinolin-8-yloxy)propoxy)-2H-chromene-3-carboxylate(3e).

Red solid; Yield (95\%); mp 63-65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\text { u }}$ 2963-2878 (C-H), 1747 (C=O), 1610 (C=N), 1552 (C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 8.87$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ quinoline), 8.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ coumarin), 8.29 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H4quinoline), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.51-7.50 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{H}_{5,6,7}$ quinoline), 7.23 (bs, 1H, H3quinoline), 7.07-7.03 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{6,8}$ coumarin), 4.40-4.27 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and 4 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), 2.36 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 163.9,162.7,156.8$, 156.1, 154.2, 148.9, 139.7, 135.6, 131.5, 128.9, 126.6, 121.7, 119.7, 113.4, 113.3, 111.3, 109.6, 100.7, 65.5, 64.8, 60.8, 28.4, 14.0. Anal.Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (419.43): C, 68.73; H, 5.05; N, 3.34. Found: C, 68.42; H, 5.28; N, 3.25 .

## Ethyl 2-oxo-7-((5-(quinolin-8-yloxy)pentyl)oxy)$\mathbf{2 H}$-chromene-3-carboxylate (3f).

Red solid; Yield (94\%); mp 66-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\text { u }}$ 2941-2877 (C-H), 1764 (C=O), 1609 (C=N). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz$) ~ \delta: 8.84$ (s, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ quinoline), 8.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ coumarin), 8.27 ( $\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $\left.=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4} q u i n o l i n e\right), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.50-7.47 (m, 3H, H5,7,6 quinoline), 7.18 (bs,1H, H3quinoline), 6.99 (m, 2H; $\mathrm{H}_{6,8}$ coumarin),
4.28-4.18 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 1.93-1.88$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 164.1,162.7,156.8$, 156.1, 154.5, 148.9, 148.7, 139.7, 135.5, 131.5, 128.9, $126.6,121.6,119.3,113.4,113.1,111.2,109.3,100.6$, 68.5, 68.1, 60.7, 28.3, 28.0, 22.2, 14.0. Anal.Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (477.41): C, 69.79; H, 5.63; N, 3.13. Found: C, 69.58; H, 5.95; N, 4.31 .

## 2-0xo-7-(3-(quinolin-8-yloxy)propoxy)-2H-

 chromene-3-carboxylic acid (3g)Light brown solid; Yield (95\%) mp $63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) v̄: $3438(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}), 2930(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1760(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1623$ (C=N). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz ) $\delta: 9.14$ (bs, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ quinoline), 9.01 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H} 4$ quinoline), 8.68 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ coumarin), 8.01 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.80-7.78 (m, 3H, H5,6,7quinoline), 7.59 (d, 1H, $J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3} q$ uinoline), $7.04-7.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,8}\right.$ coumarin), 4.50-4.47 (m, 4H, CH2-O ), 2.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 164.1,163.8,157.1,156.7$, 149.9, 148.9, 145.9, 144.1, 131.5, 129.4, 122.7, 120.0, $113.8,113.5,112.7,111.6,100.8,65.8,65.5,28.1$, 14.0. Anal.Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ (397.06): C, 60.45 ; H, 3.80; N, 3.52. Found: C, 60.71; H, 3.63; N, 3.77.

## 4-Phenyl-7-((3-(quinolin-8-yloxy)propyl)amino)-2H-chromen-2-one (3h)

Cream solid; Yield (48\%) mp 74-76 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\mathrm{v}: 3339$ (NH), 2925-2871(C-H), 1713 (C=O), 1106 (C-0). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta: 8.91$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ quinoline), $8.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$ quinoline), 7.53-7.47 (m, 6H, 5Hphenyl and 1H, H5coumarin), 7.21-7.00 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,6,3}$ quinoline), $6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0$ Hz, H6coumarin), 6.58 (s, 1H, H8coumarin), 5.90 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), 4.31 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), 3.41 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 2.16 (bs, 2H, CH2). ${ }^{13}$ CNMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, 125 MHz ) $\delta: 160.4,156.4,155.6,154.2,152.5,149.0$, 139.7, 135.7, 135.6, 129.2, 128.9, 128.6, 128.1, 127.3, 126.7, 121.7, 119.5, 110.5, 109.4, 107.2, 107.0, 96.6, 66.5, 39.8, 28.0. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (422.48): C, 76.76; H, 5.25; N, 6.66. Found: C, 76.30; H, 4.92; N, 6.33 .

## 7-((5-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio) pentyl) oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (3i)

White solid; Yield (84\%) mp 107-109 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\mathrm{u}}: 3173(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 2929-2880(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1700$ (C=O), $1618(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.04$ (d, 1H, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H4coumarin), 7.61(d, 1H, J = 9.7 $\mathrm{Hz}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{7}$ benzimidazole), 7.50 (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{H}_{4}$ benzimidazole), $7.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ coumarin), 7.17 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,6}$ benzimidazole), 6.77 (d, 1H, $J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ coumarin), 6.73 (s, 1H, H8cumarin), 6.23 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), $3.93(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), $3.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{S}\right.$ ), 1.83 (quint, 2 H , $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.77 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.58 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ) $\delta: 162.2,161.4,155.7,150.4,143.5,128.7,122.1$,
114.0, 112.9, 112.8, 112.3, 101.3, 68.2, 32.2, 29.2, 28.3, 24.9. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (380.46): C, 66.47; H, 5.58; N, 11.07. Found: C, 66.71; H, 5.22; N, 10.89 .

## 7-((5-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-ylthio)pentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (3j)

White solid; Yield (89\%) mp 54-56 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\text { u }}$ : 2931-2974 (C-H), 1711(C=O), $1623(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $3,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta: 7.58-7.62$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ coumarin and $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ benzoxazole), 7.42 (d, 1H, $J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ benzoxazole), $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.29-7.21 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,6}$ benzoxazole), $6.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right.$ coumarin), 6.79 (s, 1 H , $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ coumarin), 6.23 (d, 1H, J = $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), $4.03\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ ), 1.94 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.88 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.69 (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 176.8,162.2,155.9$, 143.35, 128.6, 124.2, 123.8, 118.3, 112.9, 112.4, 109.8, 101.3, 68.1, 32.0, 28.9, 28.4, 25.0. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (381.44): C, 66.12; H, 5.02; N, 3.67. Found: C, 66.32; H, 5.36; N, 3.54.

## 7-(3-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-ylthio)propoxy)-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (3k)

White solid; Yield (50\%) mp 107-109 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\mathrm{v}}: 2952(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1731$ (C=0), $1615(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ benzoxazole), 7.47 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \quad J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H4benzoxazole), 7.41 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ coumarin), 7.28-7.22 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,6}$ benzoxazole), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ coumarin), 6.81(s, 1H, H8coumarin), 6.13 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), 4.20 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ ), 3.51 (t, 2H, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ ), 2.39 (bs, $5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 164.3$, 161.6, 161.1, 155.2, 152.3, 151.8, 141.8, 125.5, 124.2, $123.9,118.4,113.7,112.4,112.0,109.8,101.5,66.2$, 28.8, 28.7, 18.6. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (367.42): C, 65.38; H, 4.66; N, 3.81. Found C, 65.59; H, 4.03; N, 3.98 .

## 7-((3-(Benzo[d]oxazol-2-ylthio)propyl)amino)-4-phenyl-2H-chromen-2-one (31)

Cream solid; Yield (54\%) mp 135-137 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\overline{\text { v. }} 3329$ (NH), $2930(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 1704$ (C=O). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ benzoxazole), 7.48-7.42 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, benzoxazol and 1 H , Hscoumarin), 7.29-7.22 (m, 5H, phenyl), 6.56 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ coumarin), 6.50 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz , H6coumarin), 6.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ coumarin), 5.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.39-3.43 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}$ and $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{S}$ ), 2.19-2.21 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta: ~ 161.8,156.7,156.1,151.9,151.4$, 141.6, 136.1, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 124.4, 124.0, 118.2, 110.2, 109.9, 109.4, 109.1, 98.2, 41.4, 29.2, 28.8. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (422.48): C, 70.07; H, 4.70; $\mathrm{N}, 6.54$. Found: C, $70.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.27$.

Table 1. Inhibitory activity of the target compounds 3a-m against AChE and BuChE


| Compounds | R1 | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | n | X | Y | $\begin{gathered} \text { AChE } \\ \text { IC50 }(\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BuChE } \\ \text { IC50 }(\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 a | H | H | 4 | - | 0 | 48.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 28.30 |
| 3b | H | H | 5 | - | 0 | 8.80 | 26.50 |
| 3 c | Me | H | 3 | - | 0 | 11.29 | 32.40 |
| 3d | Me | H | 5 | - | 0 | 13.96 | $34.60 \%$ at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3 e | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 3 | - | 0 | 16.19 | 46.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3 f | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 5 | - | 0 | 8.94 | 29.16\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3 g | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 3 | - | 0 | 15.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 2.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3h | Ph | H | 3 | - | NH | 30.20 | $37.00 \%$ at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3 i | H | H | 5 | NH | 0 | 11.72 | $38.00 \%$ at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3j | H | H | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25.37 | $14.00 \%$ at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 3k | Me | H | 3 | 0 | 0 | 44.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $11.00 \%$ at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| 31 | Ph | H | 3 | 0 | NH | 13.00 | 26.00\% at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| Donepezil |  |  |  |  |  | 0.016 | 5.41 |



Scheme 1. Synthesis of target compounds 3a-l. Reagent and condition: (I) $\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Br}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3-5$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, reflux; (II) $\mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, appropriate benzoheterocycle, $24 \mathrm{hr}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Enzymatic assay

All synthesized compounds 3a-l were evaluated against AChE and BuChE in comparison with commercial donepezil as standard drug. The activities were summarized in (Table 1) as IC $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values. Also, Kinetic study was used to determine the mechanism of enzyme inhibition by compound $\mathbf{3 b}$. The relative velocity of the enzyme was determined on three increasing concentrations of acetylthio-choline (ATChI) (Figures 2, 3).

## Docking

The most active compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ was optimized and docked into the active site of the enzyme using Autodock Vina program to investigate binding mode of the ligand to AChE (Figure 4).

## Discussion

## Chemistry

Target compounds 3a-l were easily synthesized via the procedure outlined in (scheme 1). The
coumarin derivatives 1a-e were initially prepared via Pechmann or Knoevenagel condensation according to the previously reported procedure (31-33). 7-Hydroxycoumarin 1a was commercially purchased. Compound 1b was synthesized via Pechmann condensation between resorcinol and ethyl acetoacetate in the presence of sulfuric acid as a catalyst (31). Compound 1c was prepared through Knoevenagel condensation between diethyl malonate and 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde catalyzed by a few drops of piperidine which was then hydrolyzed in an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide to prepare acid analog $\mathbf{1 d}$ (32). Compound $\mathbf{1 e}$ was also prepared via reaction of ethyl (3-hydroxyphenyl) carbamate with phenyl acetoacetate (33). Different dibromoalkanes ( $n=3-5$ ) were then employed as cross-linkers to bridge between coumarin ring and different benzoheterocycles. This substitution nucleophilic reaction was performed in the presence of acetone as solvent and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base with an excess amount of appropriate dibromoalkanes


Figure 2. Lineweaver-Burk plot for the inhibition of AChE by $\mathbf{3 b}$
(10 equivalents). Compound 2 was finally reacted with different benzoheterocycles in DMF as solvent and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to prepare target compounds 3a-l.

## Ligand-protein docking simulation

As depicted in Figure 4, the coumarin ring of the compound is placed in the mid gorge of AChE active site in parallel with phenyl ring of Phe330 and makes a $\pi-\pi$ stacking with this residue. The quinoline head is oriented toward the PAS. The flexibility of the linker allows the quinoline ring to form another $\pi-\pi$ interaction with Trp279 in the PAS. The mentioned interactions are responsible for the affinity between the ligand and the enzyme. The aliphatic linker also participates in the binding of the ligand to the enzyme through hydrophobic interactions with side chains of amino acids in the active site of AChE.

## Anti-cholinesterase activity

As indicated in Table 1, target compounds showed a diverse range of activity and different parameters affect their inhibitory. Compounds 3d and $\mathbf{3 k}$ containing 8 -hydroxyquinoline linked to simple and ethyl 3-coumarincarboxylate, respectively, through a 5 -carbon spacer, exhibited the most potent inhibitory activity against AChE ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.80$ and $8.94 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The results revealed that the AChE inhibitory was closely dependent on the length of the alkylene chain and 5 -carbon bond spacer is the proper length for derivatives without any substituent at 4 -position of the coumarin ring. The comparison of compounds $3 \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ showed that conversion of ester groups at 3-position of coumarin ring to the corresponding acid profoundly diminished the AChE inhibitory ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16.19 \mu \mathrm{M}$ vs. $15.00 \%$ inhibition at $35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The results also revealed that the presence of substituent at 4position of coumarin ring could affect the inhibitory


Figure 3. Lineweaver-Burk secondary plot for Ki calculation
activity. Compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ showed superior activity compared with compound $\mathbf{3 d}$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.80$ vs. $13.96 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The comparison of unsubstituted coumarins with five carbons linker ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) in terms of anti-AChE activity showed that the order of activity by considering the type of benzoheterocycle moiety was as follow: quinoline> benzimidazole > benzoxazole ( $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{3 i}$, and $\mathbf{3 j}$, respectively). But, the presence of bulky phenyl group at 4-position of coumarin ring changed the results and the anti-AChE activity of compound 31 was higher than compound 3 h ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=13.00$ vs. $30.20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

All of the target compounds showed significantly less activity against BuChE than those of AChE except for compound 3a. Compounds 3a-c were the most potent compounds for inhibition of BuChE with an IC50 value of less than $3250 \mu \mathrm{M}$. But, all other compounds show no proper activity against BuChE. Compound 3b containing unsubstituted coumarin attached to the 8hydroxyquinoline via five carbons linker was the most superior compound for both enzymes.


Figure 4. Schematic interaction of compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ with the active site of AChE

## Kinetic study of AChE inhibition

In order to depict the Lineweaver-Bruke plot, the enzyme velocity was measured in the presence of inhibitor $\mathbf{3 b}$ at following concentrations: 0.575, 0.115 , and $0.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The Lineweaver-Burke plot was then schemed using the reciprocal of velocity $(1 / \mathrm{v})$ and substrate concentration (S) (Figure 2). A mixed type inhibition of AChE was established by compound 3b. The Lineweaver-Burk secondary plot (Figure 3) was also applied to determine the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value for $\mathbf{3 b}(1.32 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

## Conclusion

In summary, we designed and synthesized a novel series of coumarin derivatives bearing quinoline, benzoxazole, or benzoimidazole to evaluate their anti-AChE/BuChE activity. Compound 3b with quinoline pendent group displayed the highest AChE and BuChE inhibitory activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.80\right.$ and 26.50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). The docking study of the most potent compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ revealed that the target ligand can interact with the PAS of AChE preventing the formation of stable and toxic AChE-A $\beta$ complex. These results make the prototype compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ a promising cholinesterase inhibitor for further developments.
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